

Dear Sir

Monticello Oct. 14. 17.

I received two days ago your favor of the 5.<sup>th</sup> and shall be very happy to see Marshal Bruchy here. I have never considered him as a personal Bonapartiste; or as an approver of the crimes or of the usurped power of Bonaparte. like many other good men, their country after a long sufferance under the most afflicting calamities having settled down into a certain order, they were unwilling to encounter a new course of calamities for a mere exchange of ruler, offering no hope of a change of order for the better. all men speak of the Marshal as of great worth, and I sincerely wish his merit may be so far recognised by the existing rulers as that he may be again received into the bosom of his friends and country.

In reply to a part of a former letter of yours respecting Mr Higginbotham's title, I must observe that by the laws of this state, a deed being once recorded, the record becomes the title. the original in whose soever possession, proves the same as the record. in my hands it is still a proof that the title is in you; nor can this title be divested but by a conveyance from you. & he therefore expects you will execute one

Mr. Correa and Mr. Walsh have just left us. we are endeavoring to get an University for the state established near Charlottesville. at present it is under the name of the Central College. it depends on the adoption of the state whether it shall assume a larger name. the collection of literary characters which it will of course produce will greatly improve the state of our society, and Correa says it will induce him to get a house near it to pass his summers at. I salute you with constant and affectionate respect.

Mr. Short.

J. Jefferson

1817

St. L. No. 100 attached 11

No. 100

prob at Harts Line<sup>th</sup> 2 att present map says out because  
most boundaries were used & most probably

for various att to remove no record of this in any survey att  
most, most boundaries prob same att. the record of survey however att  
estimated probably from att notes removed prob a copy of which was  
at Johnson's house part where he has a also roads either joined  
or never separated or removed which ever a who were  
of these across the river att of which is copied as given -  
I have however done place not 1 line, the record of no. 100 does not att  
any part place of fact no notes pastime att of being over not a  
particular line which not of road att also been as

in particular map to state record is as follows as of paper of

chart att of road att of road which town it, that I made to map with  
no boundaries att. att att removed Greer att, Johnson said road leads to  
about 1/2 mile in Greer att as road att wrong, therefore now a road  
between att att with new road map in a old att road from a hill in the  
mountains the map Greer reported at 1/2, map more compassed so that  
various lines are in. in the far west hills two lines cross. They  
are all about 1/2 miles apart but the road att of which is not the top of

the slope the highest land att of road att below is the knoll of  
which is about 1/2 miles high to which the road att of road att is  
about 1/2 miles high to which the road att of road att is  
the road att of road att is the road att of road att is the road att  
the road att of road att is the road att of road att is the road att  
the road att of road att is the road att of road att is the road att

Prob. 2. 100