

Washington April 21. 1803.

Dear Sir

In some of the delightful conversations with you, in the evenings of 1798. 99.
which served as an Anodyne to the afflictions of the crisis through which our country
was then labouring, the Christian religion was sometimes our topic: and I then promised
you that one day or other, I would give you my views of it. they are the result of a
life of enquiry & reflection, & very different from that anti-Christian system imputed
to me by those who know nothing of my opinions. to the corruptions of Christianity I am
indeed opposed; but not to the genuine precepts of Jesus himself. I am a Christian
in the only sense in which he wished any one to be; sincerely attached to his
doctrines, in preference to all others; ascribing to himself every human excellence,
and believing he never claimed any other. at the short intervals since these
conversations, when I could justifiably abstract my mind from public affairs,
this subject has been under my contemplation. but the more I considered it,
the more it expanded beyond the measure of either my time or information.
in the moment of my late departure from Monticello, I received from Dr^r Priestly
his little treatise of 'Socrates & Jesus compared'. this being a section of the
general view I had taken of the field, it became a subject of reflection while
on the road, & unoccupied otherwise. the result was to arrange in my mind
a syllabus, or outline of such an estimate of the comparative merits of Christia-
nity as I wished to see executed by some one of more leisure and informa-
tion, for the task, than myself. this I now send you, as the only discharge of
my promise I can probably ever execute. and in confiding it to you, I
know it will not be exposed to the malignant perversions of those who make
every word from me a text for new misrepresentations & calumnies. I am more-
over averse to the communication of my religious tenets to the publick, because
it would countenance the presumption of those who have endeavoured to
draw them before that tribunal, & to seduce public opinion to erect itself into
that inquisition, over the rights of conscience, which the laws have so justly

proscribed. it behoves every man, who values liberty of conscience ^{or himself}, to resist invasions of it in the case of others; or their case may, by course of circumstances become his own. it behoves him too, in his own case, to give no example of concession, betraying the common right of independent opinion, by answering questions of faith which the laws have left between God & himself. accept my affectionate salutations.