construction in 1773–76 when the Revolution intervened. His measured plans for remodeling the Palace c 1779 were doomed by the relocation of the capital to Richmond in 1780. For Perry, Shaw, and Hepburn's background as restoring architects in 1927–34, see note 50, chapter II.

1693. THE COLLEGE chartered. Designer, attributed to Christopher Wren; surveyor of the works, Thomas Hadley. East range and Hall, English bond, 1695–1699; burned 1705; east range and Hall rebuilt 1705–1715 with advice from Alexander Spotswood; Chapel and west façade of Hall built in English/Flemish bonds, built 1729–1732, Henry Cary, Jr., undertaker; burned 1859; rebuilt to a new design 1859, Henry Exall and Eben Faxon, architects; burned 1862; rebuilt to a different design 1867–1869, Alfred L. Rives, architect; restored 1928–1931 by Perry, Shaw, and Hepburn, architects (however, this study illustrates only drawings dating 1929–1931).

1699. The Capitol authorized. Designer, possibly Francis Nicholson or Henry Cary, Sr., and committee; undertaker, Henry Cary, Sr. Built, probably in English/Flemish bonds, 1701–1705; burned 1747; rebuilding authorized 1749; rebuilt in altered form, 1751–1753; burned and demolished between 1793 and 1832; reconstructed 1931–1934 by Perry, Shaw, and Hepburn, architects.

1700. Gaol authorized. Probable designer, Henry Cary Sr., and committee; undertaker, Henry Cary, Sr. Gaol appears to have been built in English/Flemish bonds 1702–1703; debtor's prison added, possibly in Flemish bond 1712; gaoler's house added probably in Flemish bond 1722; partially demolished mid-nineteenth century; restored and reconstructed 1935–1936 by the Colonial Williamsburg Foundation.

1705. Governor's Palace authorized. Possible designers, Francis Nicholson or Henry Cary, Sr.; undertaker, Henry Cary, Sr. Built probably in English/Flemish bonds, 1706–1716; gardens 1714–1718; completed c.1722; ballroom wing added 1752–1754 designed or undertaken by Richard Taliaferro; burned 1781 and demolished thereafter; last dependencies demolished during the Civil War; reconstructed 1931–1934 by Perry, Shaw, and Hepburn, architects.

1711. Bruton Parish Church authorized. Designer, Alexander Spotswood and others; undertakers, James Morris, John Tyler, and others. Built in English/Flemish bonds, 1711–1715; chancel extended 1752; churchyard wall 1754; steeple 1769, undertaker and possible designer, Benjamin Powell; interior restored 1903–1907 by J. Stewart Barney for the Reverend W. A. R. Goodwin; further restored 1939 by the Colonial Williamsburg Foundation.

1714. Magazine authorized. Designer, Alexander Spotswood; undertaker, John Tyler. Built in Flemish bond 1715; first restored 1889 by Walter R. Higham; secondly restored in 1934–1935 by the Colonial Williamsburg Foundation; octagonal courtyard added in Flemish bond, 1755; reconstructed in 1934–1935 by the Colonial Williamsburg Foundation.

1716. PLAYHOUSE (FIRST THEATRE). Probable designer, William Levingston; Built frame 1716–1718; demolished c.1770; SECOND THEATRE built 1751 east of the Capitol. Frame construction, demolition unknown.

1723. THE BRAFFERTON authorized. Probable designer and undertaker, Henry Cary, Jr. Built English/Flemish bonds, 1723; restored 1931–1932 by Perry, Shaw, and Hepburn, architects.

1731. President's House authorized. Designer and undertaker, Henry Cary, Jr. Built English/Flemish bonds, 1732; burned 1781; rebuilt c.1782; restored 1931–1932 by Perry, Shaw, and Hepburn, architects.

1747. Public Records (Secretary's) Office authorized. Building Committee, John Blair, William Nelson, John Robinson, Thomas Nelson. Built English/Flemish bonds, 1747–1748; minimum restoration.

1755. Guardhouse built. Reconstructed in 1949 by the Colonial Williamsburg Foundation.

1757. Markethouse built. Undertaker unknown; demolition unknown.

1764. COURTHOUSE authorized. Designer and undertaker sought, 1769; both remain unknown. Built Flemish bond 1770–1772; burned 1911; rebuilt c.1912; restored 1932 by Perry, Shaw, and Hepburn, architects.

1769. Public Hospital authorized. Designer, Robert Smith; undertaker, Benjamin Powell. Built English/Flemish bonds, 1770–1773; enlarged by 1821; further enlarged with third story added 1842–1846; burned 1885; reconstructed 1983–1985 by the Colonial Williamsburg Foundation in collaboration with Kevin Roche and John Dinkeloo, architects.

Appendix II

Reactions from 1928 to 1988 to Christopher Wren as the Designer of the College of William and Mary, 1693–1695.

For over half a century, Chrisopher Wren's alleged role as the designer of the first College building has provoked both discussion and controversy among historians, architects, archeologists, and biographers. Catherine Savedge Schlesinger, who revised the Colonial Williamsburg Foundation's "Architectural Report" in 1979, has succinctly summarized over forty reactions based on Hugh Jones's attribution. The College, she wrote:

was allegedly "modelled" by Sir Christopher Wren. ... When the term "modelled" was used in 18th-century architecture, it signified the actual construction, to scale, of a wood model for the proposed structure.... Presumably plans were drawn in England, for it seems unlikely that the Virginia colony had a designer of requisite ability. Whether Wren can be credited as architect is disputable..... Assiduous research has failed to locate any proof of Iones' assertion, but disproof is equally elusive. Scholars are divided in their inclination to believe that Wren was the architect of the initial plan, but most seem skeptical. Schlesinger, The Wren Building-Block 16, Building 3: Summary Architectural Report, (Research Report, Colonial Williamsburg Foundation, 1968, rev. ed., 1979), 14, 78-85.

Below is a chronological arrangement of some thirty excerpts of reactions over the past sixty years: 1928–31. The restoration of the second College building resulted in it being redesignated the Wren Building, a name approved by the BOARD OF VISITORS, who, through this gesture, obviously accepted Jones's attribution. The building was rededicated on September 16, 1931.

NOTE: While the author is of the persuasion that the design of the first College building had an English origin, and that it came from the Office of Works and Wren himself, he does not consider that naming the building after Wren is helpful in clarifying the matter of Wren's putative involvement, nor is it a proper name for the building itself. There are few instances in which a building is named after its designer. The University of Virginia did not name the Rotunda and Pavilions flanking the Lawn the Jefferson Campus, even though no one disputes his authorship. If Wren's involvement in the design of the first College building could be proven to everyone's satisfaction, there would be no need to call it the Wren Building. More suitable names, with or without new proof of Wren's authorship, might be the "College Building," or the "College Hall."

1937. PRENTICE DUELL, archeologist of the restoration, wrote the Reverend W. A. R. Goodwin in August: "I lived in London for some months, with Chelsea Hospital just around the corner. I went through the building thoroughly and it is

so reminiscent of the Wren Building in feeling and design . . . that I believe it is most probable that the same architect did both buildings." Duell, in A. Lawrence Kocher and Howard Best Dearstyne, "The Wren Building," (Research Report, Colonial Williamsburg Foundation, 1950, rev. ed., 1951), 13.

1942. Duell's positive opinion was bolstered when Arthur Bolton and H. D. Hendry produced the nineteenth volume of *The Wren Society* edition of Wren drawings. They considered it "possible that some key drawings may have been made" by Wren because of the interest in the project by Queen Mary and Tillotson and because of the close working relationship between Wren and the Queen: Bolton and Hendry, eds., *The Wren Society*, 20 vols. (Oxford, 1942), XIX, xiv.

1946. In the June 30, 1946 issue of the Richmond Times-Dispatch, Thomas Tileston Waterman, who had just published The Mansions of Virginia, rejected the Wren attribution. His argument was based on the statement Wren made about 1662 that the proposed quadrangle for Trinity College, Oxford should instead, be "a lame one, somewhat like a three-legged table." Waterman and others believed Wren would, therefore, never design quadrangular colleges unless he was "compelled to execute" them. Waterman obviously assumed that if Wren did design the

College, he was given a free hand and, therefore the quadrangular building could not possibly be by him because he would never design such a building: *Richmond Times-Dispatch*, July 14, 1946, as reported by Kocher and Dearstyne in "The Wren Building," 106–108.

1946. Waterman's article elicited an immediate rebuttal from OLIVER LODGE, an English architect, then Carnegie Visiting Professor at the College, who pointed out that Wren had designed quadrangular buildings: Richmond Times-Dispatch, July 14, 1946.

1950–51. A. LAWRENCE KOCHER and HOWARD BEST DEARSTYNE, who had undertaken more research on the College building than anyone until this time, wrote in one report that "the authorship... has been questioned from time to time" but that the first building "must have borne still greater resemblance" to Chelsea Hospital than that restored: Kocher and Dearstyne, "The Wren Building," 13. In another report, they wrote that there was "no valid reason for rejecting [Jones's] statement about Wren:" Kocher and Dearstyne, "The Four Forms of the Wren Building," (Research Report, Colonial Williamsburg Foundation), 2.

1952. Hugh Morrison discussed the restored building as though it was every bit a work by

Wren: "Blair returned ... it is said, with plans drawn by the Surveyor-General, Sir Christopher Wren. . . . A pavilion juts forward in the center of the [east] façade to accent the middle, but Christopher Wren's scorn of academicism is shown in the gable over it: where the Palladians would have placed a low-pitched classic pediment, Wren used a sharp-pitched gable to give it greater emphasis and continuity to his vertical axis. Other features reveal Wren's signature: the round windows on front and back, reminiscent of his Royal Hospital at Kilmainham, Dublin; the lofty cupola; but most of all a bold and large simplicity combining classical breadth and repose with a suspicion of the nervous angularity, the sharp and brittle aspiration of his church steeples:" Morrison, Early American Architecture: From the First Colonial Settlements to the National Period (New York, 1952), 322-323.

1953. In his book on Wren S. MARTIN BRIGGS found Jones's likening the College to Chelsea Hospital "apt enough," and concluded by advancing the same reasons put forth by Bolton and Hendry that "it seems possible that Wren did send designs or sketches:" Briggs, Wren The Incomparable (London, 1953), 231 and passim.

1954. John Summerson wrote that Jones's attribution "cannot be lightly dismissed" and that one would not "expect the plan to be very strictly adhered to at such a distance from Scotland

Yard:" Summerson, Architecture in Britain, 1530–1830 (Harmondsworth, 1954), 336.

1954. Howard M. Colvin, after presenting the Jones attribution, wrote: "The accounts show that workmen and materials were brought from England, and that Thomas Hadley was the Surveyor in charge. There was a fire in 1705, after which the College was rebuilt:" Colvin, A Biographical Dictionary of English Architects, 1660–1840 (London, 1954), 707. This statement was reprinted in the revised edition, published as A Biographical Dictionary on British Architects, 1660–1840 (London, 1978), 924–925.

1956. Eduard Sekler noted that Jones's remarks on the building made it "quite clear that even if the Office of Works sent designs to Williamsburg, they were altered before being executed:" Sekler, Wren and His Place in European Architecture (New York, 1956), 152.

1956. VIKTOR FUERST wrote that "there is no evidence, however, to support this 'probability' [of Wren having made a design], as the assertion that the college 'closely resembles Wren's work in Europe' must be treated with reservation due to the lack of specification [as to] which of Wren's works the college is supposed to resemble." It appears that Fuerst had not read either Jones's statement or other pertinent literature on the College: Fuerst, *The Architecture of Sir Christopher*

Wren (London, 1956), 227.

1958. MARCUS WHIFFEN presented the arguments for and against the Wren attribution in "The Wren Problem." Citing the many misattributions of buildings to Wren as a reason, Whiffen felt he "Must take the risk of seeming to impugn a clergyman's honor" by setting down the reasons that he saw for doubting Jones's statement. "Yet," he continued, "when all has been said against Hugh Jones' attribution, there remains much to be said for it." He concluded: "So those who like to call the main building of the College of William and Mary 'the Wren Building' perhaps need not deny themselves that pleasure, although they would do well to remember that it is only the first form of the building for which Wren may have supplied a design. The more historically-minded will recognize that the question whether Wren did supply a design for it is as open as any such question can be, and will be content to rest in uncertainty until some new evidence turns up to settle the question one way or the other:" Whiffen, The Public Buildings of Williamsburg (Williamsburg, 1958), 32 and passim.

1960. Historians, especially those who write on Virginia, have tended to accept Jones's attribution. RICHARD LEE MORTON thought Wren would have been "the most suitable person to consult:"

Morton, Colonial Virginia, 2 vols. (Chapel Hill, N.C., 1960), II, 185n.

1969. GLENN PATTON chose to avoid the matter of Wren in Williamsburg entirely. He wrote that the Wren connection "must remain [a legend] until some more convincing proof is forthcoming:" Patton, "The College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, and the Enlightenment," Journal of the Society of Architectural Historians, XXVIII (1969), 218.

1970. WILLIAM H. PIERSON, IR., wrote both of the Wren Building and the "Wren-Baroque" in the colonies: "The name of Christopher Wren is part of the American legend—so much so, in fact, that it would be difficult to enumerate those buildings of early eighteenth-century America (and some even later) which local tradition has ascribed in some way to Wren. This is nonsense, of course, for no architect so deeply committed to the high patronage of court and state would have either the time or inclination to design unimportant buildings on the fringes of the empire . . . the first coherent example of the Wren style itself was begun in the Virginia Colony in 1695. It is the Wren Building ... the earliest example of the style in the colonies, but according to one revealing though not conclusive document [Hugh Jones's statement], the building was designed by Christopher Wren. ... We cannot be certain whether the design was actu-

ally prepared by Wren himself or by someone in his office, but Hugh Jones was certainly in a position to know the facts and there can be little doubt that the connection is real enough. In this respect, Jones' reference to Chelsea Hospital is particularly revealing. Comparison of the Wren Building with either of the side wings of Chelsea Hospital . . . shows them both to be long horizontal blocks with central pedimented pavilions and with a similar type of fenestration and dormer windows; the cupola over the center of the Wren Building also appears over the main portico of Chelsea Hospital. Beyond these obvious relationships, however, the similarity between the two buildings begins to break down. Where the window openings of the wings of Chelsea Hospital are amply proportioned in relation to wall, those in the Wren Building are meagre and altogether domestic in scale; where the central pavilion at Chelsea Hospital is broad and sweeping and embellished with giant Doric pilasters, that of the Wren Building is tall and narrow and topped by a steeply pitched pediment which is more medieval than classical in its proportions. It is perfectly apparent, therefore, that whatever design for the college was submitted by Wren's office, whether prepared by Wren himself or not, it was drastically modified. . . . Even Hugh Jones was aware of this. ... The important thing ... is not that it was designed by Wren but rather that it introduced into the Virginia Colony the major aspects of his style... Because

the name of Wren was associated by a document with the building of the college, efforts have been made to ascribe to him the Capitol and the Governor's Palace. The fact that the new capital at Williamsburg was a government project approved by the Crown suggests the high probability that the two later buildings were, indeed, designed in London:" Pierson, American Buildings and Their Architects: The Colonial and Neo-Classical Styles (New York, 1970), 69–73.

NOTE: The College pavilion Pierson compared to Chelsea Hospital was different from that of the original design. The smaller windows might have been due to limited funds and, therefore, have been one of the adaptations Jones mentioned. The circumstantial and documentary evidence that points to Wren or his Office as the source for the original design of the College stands on its own, as shown in the concluding discussion of Wren in Chapter III. The source of the College's design is, for purposes of the Wren attribution, no more related to the Capitol or Palace than it is to the source of the 1698 design for Hai vard's Stoughton Hall.

1971. Parke Rouse, Jr. considered that "Wren, as surveyor of their Majesties' Public Works, would have had supervision of a college erected by royal grant and charter:" Rouse, James Blair of Virginia (Chapel Hill, N.C., 1971), 91.

1971. MARGARET WHINNEY based her judgment on the alleged Wren connection by relying mainly on the Michel drawing, which, however, she did not cite: "The original College was burned in 1705, and the only known representation of it suggests that, if Wren did indeed send a design, the adaptations of the Gentlemen in America were such that it bore little resemblance to his architecture. Although it was of brick and stone (sic) with dormer windows in the roof, and therefore had some general affinity with English work in the materials, the proportions appear to have been clumsy, and it cannot have been exactly based on a Wren design:" Whinney, Christopher Wren (New York, 1971), 195–196.

1972. John W. Reps went so far as to suggest that Nicholson may have paid a visit to Wren's Office of Works for assistance with the design of the College and in laying out Annapolis: "It is almost inconceivable that Nicholson, in London from the end of 1692 until the spring of 1694, would not have spent a considerable amount of time on college affairs. In such endeavors he almost certainly would have visited the office of the surveyor-general of the King's Works, headed by the illustrious Christopher Wren, where the College's first building may have been designed:" Reps, Tidewater Towns: City Planning in Colonial Virginia and Maryland (Charlottesville, 1972), 125.

1972. ELIZABETH HAMILTON wrote briefly that the College "was founded by royal charter and built to a design provided by Wren:" Hamilton, William's Mary—A Biography of Mary II (New York, 1972), 317.

1975. Bryan Little wrote that a design for the College "could have been prepared in the new office over which Wren presided" but that this design may "have been so changed as to bear little relationship" to one by Wren or the Office of Works: Little, Sir Christopher Wren (London, 1975), 181.

1976. HAROLD HUTCHINSON dismissed the attribution of the first College building to Wren without giving a reason: "There is an intriguing tradition that the William and Mary College at Williamsburg, Va., U.S.A. was built to an exported design of Wren's, and it is certain English craftsmen and materials were used. The tradition cannot be substantiated and the original building was destroyed by fire in 1705 and redesigned by local architects:" Hutchinson, Sir Christopher Wren (New York, 1976), 156.

1976. J. E. MORPURGO in his history of the College before 1800 considered Wren may have provided "no more than a preliminary sketch," writing further: "A building for a college in Virginia? A rough outline, a hasty drawing and then the project could be handed over to the

'Gentlemen of the Country' and to the man on the spot, Thomas Hadley. Perhaps that's how it was." Morpurgo concluded that the case was "non-proved:" Morpurgo, *Their Majesties' Royall Colledge* (Washington, D.C., 1972), 36, 38.

1981-82. CLIFFORD CURRIE, librarian at the College of William and Mary from 1978 until 1985, researched Fulham Palace Archives now housed in the Lambeth Palace Library in London in the hope some new documentary evidence would be found "which would have enabled the Prince of Wales, in his speech of acceptance of the College's Honorary Fellowship, to assert positively that Sir Christopher Wren, even by delegation, had designed the first building for William and Mary. Also, the [Lambeth] Palace] Library is the most likely one in which to do such research because it contains what records survive of the Diocese of London under whose jurisdiction both Virginia and the College lay at the time:" Letter to the author, Mar. 15, 1988. As this book goes to press, Dr. Currie, as a consultant for this project, is undertaking research on Wren in various British libraries.

1982. Kerry Downes wrote that "improbable claims have also been made for Wren as the designer of such buildings as William and Mary College in Williamsburg. While Wren may have given advice or at least cast an eye over a project in more cases than we know, the criterion for his

authorship must lie in the consistency of his mind rather than in the persistence of his name:" Downes, *The Architecture of Wren* (London, 1982), 51.

1984. PAUL VENABLE TURNER offered an entirely new twist in 1984. He considered that the College as rebuilt after the 1705 fire and restored in 1928–1931 probably looked more Wren-like than what had first been built. He appears to have felt compelled to refute a Wren connection with the second building before it was ever advanced: "There is evidence that while in England Blair also engaged in some kind of architectural planning for the college, but its nature is unknown.... Possibly [Wren], or his office, would have produced plans for a royally endowed college. However, Wren disliked enclosed quadrangles for colleges . . . the building as it was reconstructed after the fire of 1705 is closer in spirit to Wren's work, but Wren was not likely to have produced a design at this time, for he was in his seventies and doing almost no work:" Turner, Campus: An American Planning Tradition (Cambridge, Mass., 1984), 46–47.

1985. THE COLONIAL WILLIAMSBURG FOUNDATION'S official position steadily shifts away from an endorsement of Jones's statement. Kocher and Dearstyne were basically positive in 1950–51; Whiffen was neutral in 1958. In the seventh edition of the Colonial Williamsburg Official Guide-

book, a simple statement was made that Wren "may have shaped its original design:" (Williamsburg, 1972), 55. The current guide states equally simply, but with decidedly different emphasis that seems to have as its main intent the motive of weakening the Wren attribution, that Wren "was reputed to have inspired its design:" Official Guide to Colonial Williamsburg (Williamsburg, 1985), 13.

1986. The most elaborate book yet to appear on Williamsburg and its buildings tells us that the College building, presumably that standing today, "resembles some work of English master builder Sir Christopher Wren though no proof survives that he designed it:" Philip Kopper, Colonial Williamsburg (New York, 1986), 58.

1987. MARCUS WHIFFEN revised his views as follows: "Having reread [The Public Buildings], I find that the only thing I would change is that I would give more weight to Hugh Jones' statement; I was really rather nasty to Mr. Jones. I think now that it is more likely than not that Wren did supply a design, in view of Jones' statement and because the college was a royal foundation. That the Office of Works sent James Road to Virginia to make and plant the college garden certainly increases the likelihood of Wren or at least the Office of Works having supplied a design for the building:" Letter to the author, Nov. 21, 1987.

1987. Kerry Downes wrote, before reading the draft of this catalog, that "only some fresh and decisive information can settle the possibility of Wren's share in the design of William and Mary College, and in the meantime the evidence is insufficient. Wren's own son prepared a draft catalogue of his father's work which tends to inclusion rather than the reverse, and he did not mention the design. As the King's Surveyor and a prominent public figure Wren was constantly in demand, both officially and otherwise, for advice of all kinds. I think there may be a parallel here with Michelangelo in the 1550s, when a number of Roman buildings we know to have been the work of other architects were stated at the time to be his design. The answer there is that comments, and sometimes the initial suggestions, of the great man were so highly valued as to approach the virtue of St. Peter's thaumaturgic shadow:" Letter to the author, Dec. 12, 1987. After reading the draft of this catalog, Downes remained as unconvinced that Wren himself had anything to do with the first design, acknowledging that what we see today is very different from what was originally designed. He wrote: "I think I ought to ask myself why the more expert English scholars (myself included) are so reluctant to deny categorically that Wren had any responsibility for the design. It cannot be merely for fear of being proved wrong if the documents turn up, since that has not stopped categorical denials in other cases. . . . Even the argument

that we know nobody else it could be by is a faulty one, which amounts only to saying that we know nobody it could be by ... this matter is ultimately one of belief rather than of knowledge:" *Comments* to the author, 1988.

1987. JOHN HARRIS wrote that he has "always been highly skeptical that Wren had much to do with the College of William and Mary; but then you may have new evidence beyond the comment in 1722(?) that he did. It simply does not match up to a Wren design; but I am sure there were links, and that a design out of his office may have been sent:" *Letter* to the author, Dec. 18, 1987.

1988. The present Colonial Williamsburg Foundation architect, NICHOLAS PAPPAS, considers that "the design could very well have come from the Public Works Office, but nothing other than Hugh Jones (3,000 miles away and twenty years later) actually connects Wren with it. Even then, we don't know if he meant Wren personally or Wren's office. Even though I don't agree with you that Wren designed the building, you have amply proved that he certainly could have. You have convinced me that Blair must have come back with a plan from somebody, probably the Office of Public Works:" Letter to the author, May 5, 1988.

Index

Α St. John's College, 122, 154n Aberdeen, University of, 19, 25n Anne, Queen of England, 70n, 117n, 147-148 Adams, John, 16, 23n, 24n Anthonisz, Adriaen, 154n; fig. 95 Ashdown (Berks.) (Winde), 84, 89, 115n, 136; fig. 67 Addison, Joseph, 16, 23n, 24n Association for the Preservation of Virginia Antiquities Alexandria, Virginia, Christ Church, 158n (A.P.V.A.), 158n, 160 Alberti, Leo Battista, 75 Aldrich, Henry, 96, 100, 110-111, 117n, 118n Athens, Ohio University, 146; fig. 121 Aldrich, William, 64 В Alewijn, Frederick, 71n, 82-83 Allington, Lord, 89 Bachegraig House, Clwyd, Wales, 113n Bacon, Edmund N., 159n Alston, Edward, 102; fig. 25 American Revolution, 14, 15, 16, 22, 24n, 112, 141, 142, Bacon's Castle (Surry Co.), 34 Badslade, Thomas, 100 143, 148 Amsterdam, Baker, Samuel, 26n, 36, 69n, 109 Ballard, Thomas, 35, 67n Admiralty (Stalpaert), 31-32, 67n, 85; fig. 12 Admiralty Storehouse (Stalpaert), 85; figs. 63a, 63b Baltimore, Cathedral of the Assumption (Latrobe), 155n Ancillary Building of Admiralty Storehouse (Stalpaert), Banckes, Thomas (attrib: New Parke, Surrey), 115n; fig. 107 85-86, 105, 106, 144; figs. 64a, 64b Bankes, Ralph, 89 Barney, J. Stewart, 161 House, Heerengracht 460 (Roman), 71n Ioan Poppen house, 83 Bentham, Jeremy, 86, 115n Bentinck, Hans William, first earl of Portland, 116n Town Hall (van Campen), 76, 78, 83, 129 Town Hall (Vingboons), 78, 83, 92, 93, 129; fig. 60 Berkeley, Governor William, 73n Ampthill (Henrico Co.), 25n, 155n Bernard, Francis, 142 Andros, Governor Edmund, 15, 25n, 26n, 35, 36, 37, 53, Beverley, Robert, 27n, 43-44, 49, 128, 132, 155n, 158n 68n Blair, The Reverend James, 23n, 25n; figs 6, 22 Annapolis, Maryland as founder of the college and president, 13, 14, 18, 32, Bloomsbury Square, 121 34, 36, 43, 57, 70-71n, 109, 123, 139, 144 King William's School, 122, 154n chartering college, 19, 20, 21, 24-25n, 26n, 32, 35 Maryland Statehouse, 122, 129, 155n Commissary, 13, 18, 26n, 133 plan of, 121, 122, 125, 132, 136, 152, 154n, 159n, 168; conflicts with Andros, 15, 24n conflicts with Nicholson, 25n, 38, 68n, 69n fig. 93 design of the college, 17, 20, 29, 30, 31, 32, 35, 37, 44, 45, St Anne's Church, 122, 129

•	man	

94, 96, 110, 165, 169, 171 Harvard Hall I, 66, 73n, 98, 109, 144, 157; figs. 45a, 45b in London, 16, 18, 19, 21, 104 letter to Nottingham, 26n Harvard Hall II, 141-142; fig 112 Blair, John, 162 Harvard Hall III, 142 Bland, Theodorick, 35, 49, 57, 67n, 123-125, 127, 132, 141; Holden Chapel, 142 figs. 13, 97 Hollis Hall, 142 Blathwayt, William, 15, 20, 21, 24n, 25n, 26n, 116n Indian School, 66, 140 Blondel, J. F., 75 Massachusetts Hall, 66, 142; fig. 112 Bodleian Plate, 16, 32, 34, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45-47, 51, 53, Stoughton Hall, 66, 141-142, 167; fig. 112 57, 58, 61, 62, 63, 65, 71n, 72n, 73n, 86, 105, 107, Campbell, Colen, 75, 76, 89, 92, 119n 140, 141, 155n, 157n; fig. 21 Vitruvius Britannicus, 84, 89, 92, 94, 111-112, 113n, 135 Bolton, Arthur, and Hendry, H. D., 164, 165 Campen, Jacob van, 114n Honselaersdijk, 81-82; fig. 57 Boston, 121; Massachusetts Statehouse (Bulfinch), 155n Botetourt, Lord, 57 Huygens House, 79, 80, 83, 84 Mauritshuis, 77, 79-80, 83, 90, 113n, 114n, 136; Bourne, Ruth, 26n figs 54a-d Boyle, Robert, 20, 25-26n, 68n, 134 Nieuwe Kerk, 78, 116n Bray, The Reverend Thomas, 24n, 119n, 122, 154n Bridges, Charles, 32, 45, 57, 109, 157n; fig. 22 Noordeinde Palace, 81–82, 90, 114n; fig. 56 Town Hall, Amsterdam, 76, 78, 83, 85, 129 Briggs, S. Martin, 165 Bromley College, Kent, 95, 100-101, 118n; fig. 82 Canterbury Cathedral, 17 Cappon, Lester, 25n Brown, Joseph, 143; fig. 117 Carter, Robert 'King', 73n, 119n, 158n Brown University (see Providence, RI) Cary, Henry Jr., 68n, 119n, 140, 155n, 156n, 159n, 160, Bruce, William, 25n, 77, 78, 91, 94, 116n; fig. 77 Brunelleschi, Filippo, 75, 83 161, 162 Bulfinch, Charles, 155n Cary, Henry Sr., 68n, 114n, 119n, 127, 135, 155n, 156n, Burley-on-Hill, Rutland, 26n 159n, 160, 161 Burlington, Lord, 75, 129 Cary, John, 19, 155n Burnet, Gilbert, Bishop of Salisbury, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, Cary, Miles, 36, 68n, 155n 25-26n, 68n; fig. 7 Cassiobury (Herts.) (attrib: May), 91, 92, 115n; fig. 74 Byrd, William, 69n, 73n, 96-97, 138, 158n; fig. 78 Catesby, Mark, 71n, 158n Byrd, William I, 37 Chapel Hill, University of North Carolina, 146, 159n Charles I, 77, 79, 82 Byrd, William II, 121, 146, 156n Charles II, 17, 32, 77, 78, 80, 82, 83, 103, 117n Charles, Prince of Wales, 169 Cambridge (England), 19 Charlottesville, University of Virginia (Jefferson), 48, Emmanuel College (Wren), 95, 97-98, 99, 110; fig. 79 144-145, 146, 149, 159n, 163; fig. 119 Pembroke College Chapel (Wren), 90-91, 95, 97, 115n, Charleston, South Carolina, 121 117n Chatsworth (Devon) (Talman), 78, 92, 93, 115n Senate House (Wren), 95, 100, 111 Chicago, University of, 66 Cambridge (Newtowne, MA) Claremont Manor (Surry Co.), 157n Harvard College, 79, 141-142, 143-144 Clarke, George, 96, 110-111, 117n, 118n Burgis print, 66, 141 Clarke, William, 117n

Dyrham Park (Glos.) (Talman), 25n Clifton-Taylor, Alec, 68n Codrington, Christopher, 108 E Codrington College, Barbados (Lilly), 24n, 52, 71n, 86, 106, Easton Neston (Large House Design) (Wren/Hawksmoor), 107-109, 119n; figs 90a, 90b Coleshill (Berks.) (Pratt), 52, 70n, 80, 83-84, 89, 115n; 101; fig. 84a Edinburgh, figs. 61a-c Heriot's Hospital, 25n College of Philadelphia (see Philadelphia, PA) University of, 19, 25n College of Rhode Island (see Providence, RI) College of William and Mary (see Williamsburg, VA) Eltham Lodge, Kent (May), 80, 84, 90; fig. 70 Effingham, Lord Howard of, 19, 20 Collinson, Peter, 71n, 156n Columbia University (see New York City) Elizabeth I, 131 Ellicott, Andrew, 152 Colvin, Howard, 67n, 73n, 96, 97, 100, 113n, 116n, 165 Enkhuizen, Town Hall, 155n; fig. 104 Combe Abbey (Warks.) (Winde), 91, 115n Evelyn, John, 22, 25-26n, 26-27n, 34-35, 44, 45, 77, 78, 89, Compton, Henry, Bishop of London, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 24n, 26, 29, 34, 69n, 70n, 100, 110; figs. 8, 110 90, 113n, 114n, 116n, 121, 154n Exall, Henry, 32, 59, 72n, 161; fig. 30 Cook, Margaret, 72n Cook, Moses, 92 F Corotoman (Lancaster Co.), 73n, 158n Faxon, Eben, 32, 59, 161; fig. 30 Country House (Talman), 62, 88, 93-94; fig. 37 Finch, Daniel, earl of Nottingham, 20, 21, 26n, 29, 104 Cranstone, J., 59, 60 Finch, Philip, 137-138 Crease, Thomas, 34 Fontana, Carlo, 75 Cromwell, Oliver, 157n Four Mile Tree Plantation (Surry Co.), 157n Cryer, George, 26n, 36, 69n, 110 Freart, 113n Currie, Clifford, 113n, 118n, 159n, 169 Fuerst, Viktor, 165-166 Custis, John, 138-139, 156n, 157n G D George, Prince of Denmark, 14, 23-24n, 117n Dartmouth College (see Hanover, NH) Gerbier, Balthazar, 113n, 115n Davies, Edward, 20 Gibbons, Grinling, 64 Davis, Alexander Jackson, 66 Gibbs, James, 119n, 147 Dawes, Thomas, 142 Glorious Revolution, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 22, 23n, 24n, 76, Dawson, Reverend William, 34, 67n 111, 112, 116n, 121, 122, 129, 133–134, 153 Dearstyne, Howard, 46, 68n, 70n, 72n, 164, 169 Gloucester, William, Duke of, 129 Delawafer, Lionel, 20 Gooch, William, 156n Delftware, 113n Goodwin, Mary F., 71n Dinwiddie, Robert, 156n Goodwin, Mary R. M., 26n Downes, Kerry, 68n, 96, 101, 115n, 116–117n, 118n, 169, Goodwin, The Reverend W.A.R., 26n, 67n, 154n, 161, 170-171 163-164 Dublin, Royal Hospital, Kilmainham, 165 Ducerceau, J. A., 75 Greenberg, Allan, 153, 159n Duell, Prentice, 38, 64, 69n, 70n, 73n, 163-164 Greenspring (James City Co.), 73n Durham House, Greenwich (Webb), 83 Greenwich.

Hooke, Robert, 78, 92, 94, 115n, 116n Durham House, 83 Bedlam (Bethlehem) Hospital, 91, 92; fig. 72 Queen's House, 77, 78 Stanstead, 92; fig. 73 Grim, David, 129 Grosfils, Cathy, 73n Horseheath (Cams.) (Pratt), 89-90; fig. 69 Gunston Hall (Fairfax Co.), 157n Hunter, Robert, 156n Hutcheson, Harold, 168 Huygens, Constantijn, 80, 114n, 148 H Hadley, Thomas, 21, 26n, 33, 35, 36, 37, 68n, 109, 161, 165, 169 Inglis, Mungo, 21 Hamilton, Elizabeth, 168 Hampstead Marshall (Berks.) (Winde), 89, 115n Hampton Court, 13, 14, 26n, 30, 33, 34, 67n, 75, 92, 93, 103, 104, 106, 107, 109, 116n; fig. 88 James II, 14, 15, 17, 29, 30, 82, 117n, 118n Hanover, Dartmouth College, 143, 146; fig. 118 Jamestown, Virginia Statehouse, 22, 68n, 125–126, 127 Jefferson, Thomas, 15–16, 24n, 25n, 47–49, 50, 53, 54, 56, Hague, The 57, 64, 71n, 75, 119n, 136, 144, 145, 148, 149–153, Binnenhof, 81 157n, 158-159n, 160-161, 163; figs. 17, 23, 119 Honselaersdijk (van Campen), 81–82; fig. 57 Huis ten Bosch (Post), 76, 82, 89, 113n, 114n, 130; fig. 58 Jeffries, Jeffrie, 19 Jones, Hugh, 33, 34, 44–45, 49, 61, 70–71n, 73n, 96, 104, Huygens House (van Campen), 79, 80, 83, 84, 114n 106, 107, 111, 117n, 131–132, 139–140, 163, 164, 165, Mauritshuis (van Campen), 77, 79-80, 83, 90, 113n, 114n, 166, 167, 169-170, 171 136; figs. 54a-d Jones, Inigo, 29, 30, 33, 34, 52, 70n, 76, 77, 78–79, 80, 82, Nieuwe Kerk, 78, 116n 83, 84, 85, 89, 90, 91, 94, 97, 98, 102, 113n, 114n, Noordeinde Palace (van Campen), 81-82, 90, 114; fig. 56 Sebastiaansdoelen (van s'Gravesande), 80, 84, 90; fig. 55 116n, 136, 144, 147, 155n; figs. 51, 52, 53 Harris, John, 25n, 88-89, 93, 113n, 114n, 115n, 116n, K 118n, 171 Keith, William, 138, 139 Harvard College (see Cambridge, MA) Kendrew, A. Edwin, 70n, 72n Harvard, John, 151n Kennett, Basil, 22, 27n, 129, 132, 155n; fig. 9 Hawke, David, 23n Kent, William, 154n Hawksmoor, Nicholas, 75, 95–96, 100, 101, 110–111, 117n, 118n; figs. 83, 84a Keppel, Joost von, Arnold, 115n Keyser, Hendrik de, 76, 84 Hegemann, Werner, 158n Kingston Lacy (Dorset) (Pratt), 89-90, 92, 99-100; fig. 68 Helm, Willem van der, 91-92 Kinross House (Kinross, Scotland) (Bruce), 91, 94; fig. 77 Henry, Patrick, 157n Kip, Johannes, 76, 94, 119n, 136 Hepburn, Andrew (see Perry, Shaw & Hepburn) Britannia illustrata, 89, 92, 111-112, 113n, 115n, 116n, Het Loo, Apeldoorn (Roman), 79, 81, 86-87, 115n, 118n; figs. 65a, 65b 135, 156n Kirkwood, James, 20, 25n Higham, Walter R., 160, 162 Hill, Edward, 50, 54 Kneller, Godfrey, 14, 16; figs. 1, 2, 4, 8 Knyff, Leonard, 29, 30, 31, 32, 67n, 94, 96, 102; fig. 10 Hinson, John, 20 Hippodamus of Miletus, 132 Kocher, Lawrence, 68n, 70n, 72n, 164, 169 Kopper, Philip, 170 Hobhouse, Hermione, 117n

Kuyper, Wouter, 82-83, 86, 88, 91, 113n, 114n, 116n, 118n, Fulham Palace, 17 Gresham College (Royal Exchange), 117n 155n Kensington Palace, 14, 30, 31, 33, 34, 87, 104, 111, 118n, L 133; fig. 5 Langley, Batty, 119n Clock Court, 88 King's Gallery, 88, 104, 118n Laslett, Peter, 24n Maltravers House (Jones), 79, 136; fig. 52 Latrobe, Benjamin, 73n, 155n Montagu House (Hooke), 92 Law, Ernest, 67n Leiden, Cloth Hall, 80, 89 Sir John Moore's Writing School (Wren/Hawksmoor), 95, 101, 102, 117n, 118n; fig. 83 Leigh, Francis, 19 L'Enfant, Pierre-Charles, 149, 152-153, 159n; fig. 125 Norfolk Estate, Duke of Norfolk, 103, 86 Le Nôtre, André, 92, 154n plan of, Evelyn, John, 121 Leoni, Giacomo, 76 Le Pautre, Antoine, 75 Wren, Christopher, 121; fig. 94 Royal Hospital, Chelsea, 19, 40, 52, 68n, 70n, 71n, 86, 87, Le Vau, Louis, 93, 144n 92, 100, 104-107, 108-109, 118n, 119n, 144, Levingston, William, 148, 162 Lilly, Christian, 52, 71n, 86, 106, 107–109; figs. 90a, 90b 163–164, 165, 167; figs. 89a–c St. James Palace, Queen's Chapel (Jones), 97 Little, Bryan, 168 St. Paul's Cathedral, 121, 129, 151 Lloyd, William, Bishop of St. Asaph, 19, 24-25n Locke, John, 13, 15, 22, 23n, 24n, 37, 116n, 123, 149; fig. 4 Whitehall Palace, 133; fig. 10 Banqueting House (Jones), 29, 78, 102, 155n Lodge, Oliver, 164 Council Chamber (Wren), 29, 102-103; fig. 85 Loggan, David, 98 Georgian House, 32 London, George, 13-14, 25n, 34-35, 107, 116n Horse Guards, 32 Brompton Park Nurseries, London, 92 Hampton Court, 13, 14, 26n, 30, 33, 34, 61n, 75, 92, 93, Pebble Court, 29 102, 104, 106, 107, 109, 116n plan of, 31; figs. 11a, 11b Kensington Palace (see London) Privy Gallery (Wren), 29, 30, 68n, 79, 102-103, 104, London 111, 118n; fig. 85 Privy Garden, 29, 30, 31 Admiralty, 31, 32, 67n, 88, 96, 117n Alston (Edward) House, 53, 102; fig. 25 Queen's Apartment (Wren), 30, 33 Arundel, Rowhouses (Lord Maltravers's Estate) (Jones), Roman Catholic Chapel (Wren), 29 78–79, 82, 84, 85, 90, 98, 113n, 144; fig. 52, 53 Scotland Yard, 29, 31, 32, 116n, 165 Bedlam (Bethlehem) Hospital (Hooke), 91, 92; fig. 72 Star Chamber (Jones), 155n Berkeley House (May), 90 Terrace, 30-31 Bridewell, 53 Volary Building, 30, 80, 82 Louis XIV, 103 Brompton Park Nurseries (London/Wise), 92 Lowndes, William, 26n, 29 Buckingham Palace, 151 Louw, H. J., 68-69n Burlington House, 115n Ludwell, Philip, 36, 37, 73n Clarendon House (Pratt), 80, 90; fig. 71 Cockpit Theatre, 155n Ludwell, Philip II, 138 Luttrell, Narcissus, 118n

Covent Garden (Jones), 78 Doctor's Common, 155n M N Maarseveen, Ganzenhoef House (Vingboons), 85, 136; Nelson, Thomas, 162 fig. 62 Nelson, William, 162 Maastricht, Town Hall (Post), 130, 155n; fig. 103 New Brunswick (NJ), Queen's College (Rutgers University), Madison, University of Wisconsin, 146 95, 96, 100, 143 Maltravers, Lord, 78 New Haven (CT), Yale College, 66, 79, 142, 143-144, Marot, Daniël, 84-85, 86, 87, 88, 115n; figs. 65a, 65b, 66a, 157-158n; fig. 113 Newmarket, Suffolk, The Prince's Lodging (Jones), 78-79, Martin, Peter, 138, 149 80, 89, 113n; fig. 51 Marx, Leo, 116n New Parke (Surrey) (Banckes), 115n, 136; fig. 107 Mary II of England, 13-22, 23n, 25n, 34, 67n, 79, 86, Newport, Rhode Island, 121 94-95, 103, 109-111, 113n, 118n, 164; figs. 2, 3 New York City, New York, 121 Mary of Modena, 117n City Hall, 129-130, 143; fig 102 Maryland, Statehouse, 122, 129, 155n King's College (Columbia University), 142-143; fig. 116 Matthews, A., 155n Trinity Church, 154n, 155n May, Hugh, 77, 78, 80, 84, 90, 91, 92, 94, 113n, 115n; Nichols, Mr., 26n figs. 70, 74 Nicholson, Governor Francis, 20, 23n, 119n, 156n McCully, Bruce T., 156n Annapolis, 22, 32, 121, 122, 129, 132, 136, 148, 152, Melton Constable, Norfolk (Pratt), 89, 115n 154n, 168; fig. 93 Michel, Franz Ludwig, 32, 38, 40, 42-43, 44, 45, 47, 52, 53, as founder of William and Mary, 13, 18, 22, 109, 126 55, 56, 58, 68n, 70n, 84, 86, 98, 99, 104, 107, 128, Biography, 19, 24n, 27n 155n, 168; fig. 20 Capitol, 126-129, 155n, 161 Michelangelo Buonarroti, 170, 171 conflict with Blair, 25n, 38, 68n, 69n Middleton Place, South Carolina, 157n design of college, 29, 31, 32, 35, 37-38, 69n, 94, 96-97, Millar, John Fitzhugh, 71n, 113n, 158n 110, 123, 126, 134, 160, 168 Millington, Thomas, 57 draft of charter, 19, 20, 21, 26n Milner, Thomas, 103 governor of Maryland, 13, 21, 24n, 26n, 35, 37-38, 129 Mollet, André, 82; fig. 57 governor of Virginia, 15, 67n, 128, 130-131, 134, 156n Morden College, Blackheath, Kent, 95, 101, 103, 118n interest in education, 18, 118n Monticello (Albemarle Co.) (Jefferson), 145, 149 influence of Wren, 32 Morpurgo, J. E., 23n, 25n, 26n, 70n, 168-169 lt governor of New York, 129 Morris, James, 161 lt governor of Virginia, 13, 14, 18 Morris, Robert, 119n May Day celebration, 125 Morris, William, 158n 'Olimpick' games, 22, 27n Morrison, Hugh, 155n, 164-165 plan for Williamsburg, 125-126, 131-133, 137, 159n Morton, Richard Lee, 166 Nicholson, William, 118n Mount Airy (Richmond Co.), 145, 147, 157n Nivison, Frank, 72n Moxon, Joseph, 113n, 119n Noble, Dorothy Louise, 23n, 25n, 26n, 159n Murray, John, earl of Dunmore, 47 North, Arthur, 19 Mylne, Robert, 25n North, Roger, 77, 96, 113n, 117n Norfolk, Duke of, 103 Norfolk, Virginia, 121

109a, 110, 111 Perry, Shaw, Hepburn, and Dean, 142, 143 Perry, William Graves (see Perry, Shaw & Hepburn) Pevsner, Nikolaus, 97, 100, 114n, 117-118n, 151 Pierson, William H. Jr., 166-167 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 121 College of Philadelphia (University of Pennsylvania), 142; Pennsylvania Statehouse (Independence Hall), 62 plan of, 121, 122, 125, 154n; fig. 92 Pleasant Point (Surry Co.), 157n Pocock (Linke), 26n, 69n, 109 Pope, Alexander, 16, 24n Porteus, James, 33, 109 Post, Maurits, 71n Post, Pieter, 78, 79, 93, 114n Les ouvrages d'architecture, 76; fig. 49 Hague, The, Huis ten Bosch, 82–83, 89, 113n, 130; fig. 58 Maastricht, Town Hall, 130; fig. 103 Swanenburgh, 82-83, 91; fig. 59 Powell, Benjamin, 161, 162 Palladio, Andrea, 75, 76, 77, 79, 82, 88, 89, 92, 94, 101, 111, Pozzo, Fra Andrea, 75 113n, 114n, 116n, 142, 145, 147, 155n; The First Book of Pratt, Roger, 77-78, 91, 94, 114-115n, 118n, 147 Clarendon House, London, 80, 90; fig. 71 Coleshill, 52, 70n, 80, 83-84, 89, 115n; figs. 61a-c Horseheath, 89-90; fig. 69 Kingston Lacy, 89-90, 92, 99-100, 144; fig. 68 Melton Constable, 89, 115n Prescott, Frederick C., 151 Primatt, Stephen, 113n, 119 Prince's Lodging, Newmarket (Jones) (see Newmarket) Princeton, College of New Jersey (Princeton University), 144, 145; fig. 115 Providence, College of Rhode Island (Brown University), 143-144; fig. 117

Parke, Daniel II, 26-27n, 36, 67-68n Parkes, Henry Bamford, 23n Passe (Paesschen), Hans (Hendrik van), 113n Patton, Glenn, 23n, 149, 159n, 166 Pavlovsky, William S., 27n, 68n, 70-71n; figs 24 a-d Penn, William, 15, 33, 121, 125, 154n; fig. 92 Perrault, Charles, 75 Perry, Dean, Rogers, and partners, 73n Perry, Micaiah, 19, 21, 26n, 37 Perry, Richard, 21, 26n

Perry, Shaw, and Hepburn, 33, 38, 41, 51, 60-65, 72-73,

161, 162; figs. 15, 18, 19a, 19b, 34, 35, 36a, 36b, 38, 39,

40, 41, 43a, 44, 100a, 100b, 100d, 105a, 105c-e, 108,

Norton (MA), Wheaton College, 72-73n

Christ Church, Peckwater Quadrangle, 96

Trinity College, Chapel of (Aldrich), 64, 96

Wadham College, 66, 70n, 73n, 98, 117n; fig. 46

All Souls College, Wren drawing, 88, 93, 101, 102, 117n;

Williamson Building (Wren), 95, 99-100, 144; fig. 81

Trinity College (Wren), 53, 95, 97, 98-99, 103, 110, 117n,

Sheldonian Theatre (Wren), 90-91, 95, 96, 115n, 117n

Ohio University (see Athens, OH)

New College (Byrd), 96; fig. 78

164; figs. 80a, 80b

Architecture, fig. 50

Louvre, 133, 151

Nôtre Dame, 151

University College, 73n, 96

Worcester College, 113n, 117n

Pappas, Nicholas A., 70n, 71n, 159n, 171

Queen's College, 95, 96, 100, 143

Nott, Edward, 133, 135

Oxford University, 19

fig. 37

 \mathbf{O}

P

Paris.

Page, John, 125

Q Queen's College (see New Brunswick, NJ) Queen's Creek (Custis Plantation) (James City Co.), 138 Quintinie, Jean de la, The Compleat Gard'ner, 22

Index

R Serlio, Sebastiano, 70n, 75, 97, 114n, 136; Il Settimo Libro, fig 47 Ragley Hall (Warks) (Hooke or Talman), 91, 92, 115n Ramée, Joseph-Jacques, Union College, Schenectady, 144, Seymour, Edward, 18 s'Gravesande, Arent van, 78, 80, 84, 89, 90, 114n; fig. 55 145; fig. 120 Randolph, T. Edward, 26n Shaw, John, 90 Shaw, Thomas Mott (see Perry, Shaw & Hepburn) Rawlinson, Richard, 71n Reps, John W., 26n, 67n, 123, 125, 132-133, 148, 154n, Shepley, Rutan and Coolidge, 72n Shirley Plantation (Charles City Co.), 145, 158n 159n, 168 Shurcliff, Arthur, 65, 136, 137, 156n Richardson, H. H., 72 Richmond, Virginia Shurtleff, Harold R., figs. 45a, 45b Simcoe, General, 125, 132 Ampthill (Cary Jr.), 155n Sixtus V, Pope, 154n Capitol (Jefferson), 149–153, 157n, 158–159n Smith, Robert, 142, 148, 160, 162; fig. 115 Rives, Alfred L., 33, 59-61, 161; figs. 31-34 Society for the Protection of Ancient Buildings (Anti-Road, James, 26–27n, 34–35, 67n, 103, 110, 170 Robinson, John, Bishop of London, 70n, 162 Scrape), 117n, 158n Society for the Preservation of New England Antiquities Roche, Kevin, and Dinkeloo, John, 162 (S.P.N.E.A.), 158n Roman, Jacob, 71n, 84-85, 96, 114n Society for the Promotion of Christian Knowledge De Voorst, 86-88; figs. 66a, 66b (S.P.C.K.), 24n, 107 Het Loo, 79, 81, 86–88, 115n, 118n; figs. 65a, 65b Society for the Propagation of the Gospel to Foreign Parts Rome (Sixtus V's), 154n Capitolium, 22, 128-129, 132, 155-156n; fig. 101 (S.P.G.F.P.), 24n, 107-108, 119n Soestdijk (Post), 71n Farnese Palace, 151 Pantheon, 22, 129; fig. 101 Solms-Braunfels, Amelia von, 82 Southall, Mary F., 40, 58-59, 62, 72n; fig. 29 St. Peter's Cathedral, 151 Southall, Travis, 40, 58-59, 62, 72n; fig. 29 Rosewell (Glos. Co.), 146, 158n Spence, Joseph, 24n Rouse Jr., Parke, 25n, 26n, 167 Spotswood, Alexander, 119n, 132, 135, 137-139, 147, 148, Rutgers University (see New Brunswick, NJ) 156n, 157n, 159n, 160 Ryder, Richard, 118 Bruton Parish Church II, fig 22 College Building II, 40, 45, 51, 161; figs. 23, 26, 27, 28 Saint-Evremond, Charles de, 77 "Falling Gardens," 137, 156n; fig. 105e Governor's Palace (see Williamsburg) Salmon, William, lt. governor, 70-71n Palladio Londinensis, 119n, 147 Magazine (see Williamsburg) Sancroft, William, 14 Sandywell (Glos.), 136; figs. 106, 136 Stanstead, Sussex (Hooke or Talman), 91, 92, 115n, 116n; Sawyer, Robert, 21, 26n fig. 73 Scamozzi, Vincenzo, 114n Stalpaert, Daniel, 31–32, 76, 78, 84, 85, 86, 105, 106, 115n, 118n, 144; figs. 12, 63a, 63b, 64a, 64b Schenectady, New York, Union College, 144-145; fig. 120 Schlesinger, Catherine Savedge, 163 Stillingfleet, Edward, Bishop of Worcester, 13, 16, 17, 20, 25n Schless, Nancy Halverson, 23n, 82, 91, 114n, 136 Schoepf, Johann David, 132 St. John's College (see Annapolis, MD) Stoke Bruerne (Northams.) (Jones), 114n Sekler, Eduard, 165