was sick, I mentioned this that I used to we had quite a lot of case materials to write up and I would turn over some of his papers to my wife. I remember sitting there and she'd be here, and I said, Toie, look at this. For a college student, penmanship lovely, word structure, word choice, sentence structure, use of three-and four-syllable words which many college students don't use or use them wrong if they do, but absolutely just perfect. I mean, his thinking he only did B work with me in the course. Of course, if principles and of

Management

managing were in the football season and he was very busy and so on intelligent and had had apparently an excellent high school background. This is what I mean. I've had other students in my class. (in that class) that had had A as athletes. I had Steve Regan a quarterback who started off the fall as fifth-string quarterback in his junior year jI think because he was so little, and others weren't doing well and got injured and he went in and he became a star. He only weighed about 150 pounds. His brother Terry Regan who is now a junior or senior boy that kicks our points after touchdown. But here was Steve Regan, who was too little to make the team really, but he hung on and finally got his chance and he turned out to be just a a pinpoint passer, and he even made a lot of yardage. He was so small he could getbehind our big center and then Age a lot of yardage through the line. So I've been, and then Steve was a strong Ciplus student with me and I've had good luck with him in attitude. They were prepared They are: As a matter of fact we have not lost a student in the last two years here for academic deficiency.

Emily: Moving away from athletics now, how was it that in the winter of 1952 you became the dean of faculty?

Marsh: Well, actually in the fall President Chandler came here of course he didn't know me from Adam, and I didn't know him from Adam, either, but I made his acquaintance then and he knew I was a department chairman, and I was on this athletic committee and he checked through things as you could have I had been for years in these positions. I had been on leave just the year before and worked for the state government so on. So he knew who I was and Mr. Miller, of course, he was desparate President Chandler was desparate that very first day when Marshall submitted his resignation he had no dean. So he asked Mr. Miller, who was the acting president and had been a dean before, if he would please temporarily until he could work things out serve as dean. So Jim did. Now Jim has been rather frail, health emotionally in many ways, nervous health, a superb teacher and a very fine scholar. So he agreed to do this. But of course, it became a very very difficult type of thing because with the new president who knew nothing about College activity really, He had taught physics I think at the naval academy years before but his whole experience was Naval Academy and military experience. And of course being his father's son you know, his father had of course been here and he grew up, well actually he was away in the Mavy much of the time his father was here, so he did not know too much about it. But actually he knew how his father worked and so as a naval officer his whole experience was bingo, make decisions

and tell the people what to do send them a directive. So when this We coming in and soming in with the feeling I think he had clearly been misled by Board members) that the faculty was in chaos and they absolutely needed a strong hand and so on...One of my businessmen friends at Rotary Club last night got to talking with me and said, Don't you think that Alvin Chandler was the best president we ever had here atk the college? Wasn't he really the man of the hour, the man that was really needed at the time? And I said, Well, I think very highly of President Chandler in many ways. I worked closely with him, But I certainly cannot buy that because he was not the best president the gollege had. He created as many problems as he sought; But on the other hand, who should have come in. I don't know. Maybe he was the best president at that point because it kind of chrystalized issues and so that may have been the case. And so this is why I had to disagree with him, quietly, but he is sort of a bombastic chap who doesn't know much about adlege work.

So we got acquainted and knew that I was a responsible member of the faculty and so as I indicated the other day to you on New Year's Eve or New Year's Day, New Year's Eve I Brink it was, or maybe it was the 30th, at that I was reception over at the Nelson House in Yorktowna/Mrs. George Brist Blow gave the whole faculty were there) He buttonholes me and pulled me over and he said. Now Dr. Marsh, he said I'm up against a problem.

Mr. Miller has real health problems and feels he can't stay on until

February. This was the plan that he would stay on until the February

Board meeting the first week in February. And he said that he will not certainly be can't go on beyond that. At that time he said he goes

out of office in February and he will not continue on he has health

problem and so on. And he said, Now, I know this might be a surprise

to you, you may want to think about it, you may want to talk to your

wife and so on, But he said, I have looked around and I think that

probably you would be the best person to come in as the pean of Me

Faculty. Well, I was bowled over, of course, honored and pleased in

a way. So I said, Well, I'll certainly think about it and talk to Mrs.

Marsh and come in and see you the next day or the following day or

yes sure go ahead.

whatever it was. And so did and I decided my wife said, Well

I don't know whether I told you this or not, the previous just the previous spring the spring of 1 I had been invited to become a candidate for the of Bowling Green State University in Ohio. My name had been thrown the fact the hopper by one of my friends who had gone to graduate school with me at Illinois who was chairman of the department of economics. And their dean who was chairman of the faculty selection committee screening committee came down to see me along in March I guess for so. So it worked out that I was asked to come up for an interview. Well, I was sort of misled in a way. Bowling Green was originally a normal school, you know like Fredericksburg and

rick share and Radford and Longwood, and things of this bind. I found later on that the Board had insisted that this screening committee bring in at least two mames so that they would have a choice and not just say, Well, here's our candidate, take it or leave it. Well, I found later they pretty well decided on a man from North Carolina, by the way Lathink McDonald I believe for something like that was the name. Yery dynamic man in the field of professional education. He was pretty much their first choice but I was the stroking horse. They didn't let me know that, you know. And so my family got all concerned and we were interested and I was sort of ambitious and and interested in having wider responsibility, so we went up there. And They entertained me royally and met all the faculty and visited alot of people and asked a lot of questions and so on. Then a few days after I got a telphone call from this friend of mine, that well, he said, I know you're probably disappointed but the Board has selected this other man. My little girl went out and cried. She thought I going to be president it's the most fortunate thing in the world that I didn't go because it went on and he stayed for many years, but he had terrible student drives and things of this kind because he became very unpopular toward the end of 115 to or years, that he was there. And it became a large, what we second state university. is sort of like East Carolina, you know what I mean? I don't want to www shop off on it but I mean the it moved on out real fast and it began to give doctorate degrees and everything else. Of course they re a reputable institution in the Ohio State system. I would never have had the wonderful experience that I had at Wofford which was much more my

this bowling freen in cident? style of x college. So anyway, I think President Chandler had known So that was a part of the fee that I was considered Ally Telection I think, well; I s position a The faculty accepted my was welcomed by them. I was able to be a real help to President Chandler Lthe faculty and Chandler In in softening some of the antagonism that existed between them, And \vec{I} had to take over, though, on (as I think I told you the other day) about January 10th because Jim Miller int virtually cracked up. told him he just had to stop everything that he was doing ${\mathbb M}$ and get out of town for a rest. So he and his wife went, down to Florida I believe and were gone for three weeks or so. I moved right into that office with his secretary was very helpful. (I thought the other day and it could be that this is something that we don't want to put in but Alyin Newberry had been brought in by Dean Marshall as secretary and then had worked those two years you see there. She may have come in under Dean Umbeck, I think not though and then worked under Dean Miller and so she was in charge of the office. Then she was my secretary all the time I was there six years. In many ways a very fine person, But she had difficulties. Then when Mr. Jones tame in the in the difficulties became very severe because, of course, she had her own family diffe with a mother that was very domineering and so on. So the tended to be domineering toward other secretarial help that we had and really in some relations with other faculty and so on; overprotective of my office and this type of thing. So she just worked herself out of a job she had

problems and she went to other places and finally was in the state

system and was really secretary to a man who became President of R.H.

R.P.I. in Richmond wasn't working out and then came down here expecting she could step right in to a senior position at the college. She and her mother are here and her mother is retired didn't work. So the didn't have a normal 'a young woman. home life Her father died and had become an alcoholic. South Carolina said he became an alcoholic be cause of the Virginia lady This was there. So I was helped by Alma Newbury, I was helped by being there. She lives in town / could be that she could shed some light on it. But I'm afraid it would be so warped by her own experience and the fact tha obtained the job and has had some mential health problems biproduct of this whole thing. [secretary Alma Newbury]

I came in on January 10th and she was helpful and then the Board elected me as Dean not any acting but elected me as Dean at the February meeting. I stayed on until my resignation to go to Wofford. So that's how it happened.

Emily: You were speaking the other day of this. What qualities would you say this situation called for in a dean of the faculty at that time?

Marsh: Of course the main thing. I had th be the reconciler between the members of the faculty many of them leaders of the faculty they were hostile to the resident. By effectivitually no one on the faculty was enthusiastic about his company under the circumstances you can see how that would be. Some were very vigorously opposed and just decided that it was a complete mistake and didn't see how it could ever work out.

Well, I knew, and I found as I worked with him he is no man was ever a harder-working man and more devoted he of course had his affection the College? had his affection for his father and knew that his father had helped build it and he sort of felt, that the Board had led him to believe that the time had come for another strong Chandler to come in and make his mark. And he did do this. He opened the way for stronger financial support to the college by the state. He went up there and he really made progress and he get things started so that Mr. Paschall could come along and have this first glorious ten years. You've seen this, of course? Mr. March pointed to a copy of highlights of Progress 1960-1970.

the College

Marsh: But Mr. Chandler got things started that way. The One thing about thin is the head a man that never did any delaying or postponing. He got an idea and he would go to work on it right away and would force it through the could. He could be and he was to me and sould be a very charming person and absolutely lovely wife who was very helpful to him in smoothing him and even went with him and did a lot of driving for him when they went on trips because he worked so hard, many hours, that he would have a tendency to go to sleep on the road. So she did a lot of his driving as they'd go out, talks to alumni groups and things of this kind. But they had no children. They were very gracious entertainers at the President's

and he could be just as gracious and thoughtful as could be at times.

Then he could just turn on you when he thought things were coming to a head and just be a very difficult to work with, even though you were working with him. I think I mentioned the other day he looked at me a time or two and shad, The trouble with you Chuck is that you're just

He approved In sure of So you may have heard the quote from Leo Dorocher "Nice guys finish last." Well, of course, in the college teaching world you're working people who work with college teachers you don't key achieve success/to? forcing college teachers and order, them around. They have to be attracted. They have to be led, They have to have a feeling of confidence in the persons that they are working with, the presidents? the deans under whom they are working. I was conscious of this, of course. While sometimes you lose speed in achieving some solutions to problem in the long run you gain through it. This is the diffuculty of the resident, He never won the support of the faculty. You would just get going, and you'd be all ready to say, Isn't he a wonderful person? WHis father was the same way. You'd get the feeling, Well, think of what this man is doing for the college and then bingo would do something utterly arbitrary and petty. They'd get so angry with particular individuals. In a way Mr. Nixon did some of this same sort of thing. This was some of his problems with getting up his list of enemies you know, and this type of thing. And with Congressmen he did the same thing with Congressmen that Chandler did with faculty. And redidents have to work through congressmen they have to lead them and so on This is where Johnson with all of his weaknesses he knew how to work with Congress. Mr. Nixon, poor guy, didn't. This is where a lot of his diffuculties came, with Congressmen and cabinet members as far as that's cented. He worked with these young that he chuld chieve complete loyalty remember this is this is the Madison Avenue boys, the

men who came in and this proved to be his undo

Emily: What did the Admiral expect of his faculty?

Marsh: Welline expected them to just move ahead and do everything possible to improve the College in terms of his own frame of mind, in terms of his own philosophy as to what was best for the College. I don't believe there was too much real conflict in basic objectives between the president and the Jollege, But there was tremendous conflict in short-term obectives and the means of achieving the objectives. And of course, I mentioned the other day he would have grandios, patterns quicky doing one in particubar that I had to really lock horns with him on and that was this business of trying to set up a school of business which would include the Norfolk pivision and R.P.I. and would have a dean of the School of Business here who would in effect be boss of the Sort of have a sub dean down in the other places but working under the dean up here. And you just can't work that way. And of course, we weren't ready I felt and the faculty in general felt that we were not ready to move to this much of a professional program at that time. school of business our department of business here with a related field of accountancy This is the way he worked it out and worked very closely with the economics department. We were doing a good job. were a strong outfit, by in large for the int, We simply were not ready to leave all of that. We had won the battle finally of relating this important professional area, business management to the liberal arts because we continued it within the pattern within the liberal arts the first two years our students were exactly the same as the students in any of the major fields. And even in the last two years they were encouraged to take work in the other fields. Indeed of putting in

certain special courses in which we now have courses (in behavioral science courses in management) we would ask them to so take sociology philosophy, and psychology courses, you see, to take these outside the department, self-contained. True you may take courses outside and our even now, but it's a different situation, and yet we have now reached the point where our courses in the school of business (a good school of business) are so affected by the social sciences and the natural sciences, mathematics and so forth that really we are working with them but we are developing our own courses, working with the approach this material. Ne ready for it by 1968, We weren't ready for it in 1954. Emily: You mentioned that this was one of the occasions when you and President Chandler disagreed. Was he willing to take advice of his deans? Marsh: Not if it completely opposed any of his pet projects. If, on the other hand he was feeling his way in marginal situations, yes he would take advice there. But he would be more likely to come along and say, This is my decision, Whylich is all right. He was the top officer of the I have no objection to that. But there, during my own College presidential career nothing I enjoyed more than to call attention to the fact that this is a contribution of such-and-such a faculty member. offerd was a smaller college, there at wofford. But even so, But of course, that I feel that the president is a coordinator and a leader, and I do not like (I've never liked) college presidents who have gone out and said, You see what I have done, And many of them do just that. And many people

want to do that. They say, Well, isn't he a great president, when often times he's been a great president because of the way in which he has chosen people and worked through them and got things done and given credit to these other people.

Emily: You mentioned the other day that Chandler did not often meet with the faculty-was this part of whole problem?

Marsh: I think so, yes. Well, I think he thought that it was better not for him to do that. Now he did come on occasion. My memory is a little foggy on that. I think he came in fairly often but he was not the residing officer of the faculty. I was residing officer of the Wofford faculty. Nown there in a small college you are. But of course now particularly at William and Mary when we're set up with our separate schools the President is always welcome and the academic vice-president is welcome; but when the faculty of arts and sciences meet Dean Fowler was presiding, and Dean Whitmar resides as dean of the school of business, and Dean Brooks did reside at the school of education meetings and so on; you see. This is a normal thing for deans to reside at meetings but the college was a unitary college and I think. I believe Pomfret presided at the faculty meetings isn't this right?

Emily: Yes.

Marsh: But you see, President Chandler did foresee the fact that we were growing. As we were growing we were really becoming more of a university.

He and I were in that rocky period of moving toward that status, you see;

which did not really come until after the expansion of the college and particularly getting more money, and so are. We were a college of liberal arts with a few professional fields but the professional fields closely geared to the liberal arts program. Well we still are in that situation compared with many institutions but nevertheless we do have the separate full schools now.

Emily: During your period as dean of faculty the question came up more than once about faculty morale, and a gentleman who later became a member of the Board of Visitors wrote a report to the Board of Visitors that the faculty had the mistaken idea that they were to determine policy. Also, you were on a committee that set up the faculty advisory council to was wondering what sort of a role, how would you refute this man's statement? That the faculty did have this idea?

Marsh: Well, I would say this: that the faculty did have the idea multicate and rightly so of determining academic policy and they should have it, always recognizing that they act as advisors body the Board may overturn that because to the degree that academic policy involves additional financial obligations and additional faculty and new building, and all this sort of thing, we a new public images will quite obviously, the Board of Visitors can't abdicate final authority. So the faculty comes along with recommend for things. Now the situation should be developed in such a way that there is a clear understanding of this. Even at Woford, there

would approve them subject to approval by the Board. And there need be no conflict there. And this man I think that he was thinking that in . terms in part of the athletic situation where the faculty really issued its manifesto and came out....This rangled of course in the eyes of the Board because the faculty had to take this position because the Board simply were part of a dispersional group at that time, to explange white The Board were really strong builders-up of that, very frankly young man who took my position as President at Woford, Paul Harden who had been a professor of law at Duke extremely able person, accomplished an awful lot in four years, so much so that he well known resident He lasted at S.M.U. two years when his the resignation of S.M.U. was requested because he dared to again athletic asses Kearing on it could be the spark of other things also that happened. seventy -two-They had a 72 member Board of Trustees, and then under that they had a 20 member 1 ve forgotten what they called it but a smaller Board of governors. But actually then it was a group of around three tosix little insiders who said, This is our college. We're the ones who make the decisions. They didn't want a president to come in and make decisions. They wanted to make them, especially on big-time football, and so forth. and yet as it came out, the young President, he was only 41 when he went forty-three there and was 43 when he left, be came out of the whole thing smelling like roses and the S.M.U. Foard came out not looking good at all in the national publicity. New the University of Texas has just come through a similar situation with the same oung foresident who saired guestions about overlugh asis & same fapi and often times lit has been the

athletic, situation with the same people with money who up there and you

see S.M.W, they've got a perfectly good stadium on their campus, but to use that, they want to use the Cotton Bowl stadium downtown where the national football are This is what, broke that out The better institutions do not have this faculty and Board because there is understanding on both sides both on the part of the faculty and on the part of the Board as to what the situation is and by standlarge, the faculty are in the position to go ahead and do the spade work of study and come up with recommendations as to policiffe that affects the academic and related athletics as far as that's concerned to come to recommend it. should recognize, on the other hand, that the Board has different responsibilities, and they have to be concerned with the broader constituency of the college. Many faculty people are narrow and selfish and opinionated as all get out and usually there are members the enough faculty, so that, decisions that come out are pretty good. But not always. And so the Board has a final authority and of course, the can hire and fire the Board, is an entire president. The statement has been made semetime by one observer of colleges who made the statement that a good Board it is impossible to have a strong college without a strong board. It's the Boards that make it because they is appoints a president, you that same of server also said this that verethe leading item on the agenda of every Board meeting should be Shall we fire the Fresident? If not, why not? Well, this is true, you see. It does emphasize the fact that this is the basis function The other thing is this that in general diseassions of true not just of colleges, you se

we're talking about but for corporate Boards also And the other thing

of the

It should be emplayed also that a major duty of college board members as well is this in talking about duties of trustees, duties of directors,

every meeting. They cannot and should not get into the details of operation as they try and do often times and overturn things as they did to the details of the operation as they try and do often times and overturn things as they did to the details of the operation as they try and do often times and overturn things as they did to the operation with this football the operation. They had no business and overturn things are they did to the operation with this football the operation of the operation of the operation with the operation of the operation operation of the operation ope

see in connection with this football . They had no business the William and Mary Board had no business) getting into trying to build up big-time football on their own with no recognition of the faculty, role, On the other hand the faculty cannot go ahead and say we think football should be abolished except on a club basis, or something of this kind. They can make their statement but then it's up to the Board, up to the President and the Board to finally make the decisions. And if the Board doesn't like what the President's doing they can fire him. He has no tenure. College Presidents have no tenure whatsoever, And this is as it should be. But of course, there are plenty of college presidents who Chaite umbeck did I Terved for have served their 20 years? at Woford until I retired and we had no squaffles. And indeed we had no it was an air of good feeling because I had a lot to do with this because this is the way put ha place of good feeling or you can't do it Now this doesn't mean it's a place in which everybody gets together and agrees the lowest common the least common denominator, not at all. But you work cooperatively and get the best fruits of thinking from everybody and come out that way. I think President Graves is doing an excellent job. Of course, he's had tremendous administravie experience and takknows what a president of any organization is supposed to do. And he is doing that and that is to listen, give everybody a chance to stylineir pass it on a recommendation to the Board and the the

want to fire him,

Emily: Did you feel that your experience here have as dean of faculty perhaps a reenforced this belief?

I was a far better college President due having had this

experience. Now I was too old I was 54 years old when I went to Woford as President. That's an age when colonels in the Army all retired. They re out, considered too old for that. But it so happened by then. that Woford had been through the experience of a young in his early 30s and there were some real difficulties. He was actually dismissed although it was covered as a resignation. He was there almost five years and this almost tore the college apart. ollege and alsorelations with the church he was a man that had a drinking problem a church-affiliated college this was fierce. He was a handsome six-foot-five man and pring in a dumpy, stubby five-foot-five person \(\lambda \) was a describe But they knew they needed someone who had a strong academic background, who knew what the academic world was about, someone who knew the Methodyst church worked with it as a layman and I knew that my job was a heathing reconciling as it was hered and certainly, by the time President Chandler left here and by the time I left things were moving along pretty harmoniously. In that way I was successful as a dean and w. Moss, my neighbor across the way who was the leader of the faculty opposition the had no use for

him. wrote me an extremely nice letter as I was leaving indicating that I had done a good job. I'm not saying this to be cocky or anything like that but looking at it facultally they needed somebody like me with my experience here and Woford had the same broblem. I am not a great innovator of the same broblem.

Mog/s?

ith both parties to Cooperate but I'm apparently a person who sees the need of work I told you, didn't I about my experience with the proffessor in philosophy this is what I had to do at both of these places, you see although my job was very easy because there was not two sides there. Marpredecessor at Wofford ! - just this, everybody recognized that the did some good things. the college and so on go But most people he was gone by the time I got there and they got him a job; He resigned but actually the got him a job as vice-president in a bank in charge of new business and he just stayed there months and then went on to the University of Arizone. Est Wolford & I was received, with open arms. They needed somebody like we who was not glamorous and who academic experience and ability to work with the church. And that's what I did. And so we had really (a)

Emily: Your last couple of years at William and Mary appeared to have been fairly peaceful and harmonious; but just before that there had been complete uproar in 1955.

great period of growth and development in peace and harmony.

Marsh: in 1955, that's right. Now this was very interesting. As it came out, really Jim Miller was in the center of it to some degree. He had at least precipitated it at that time a smaller colleges generally and I think they were right had antinepotism regulations, particularly with respect to husbands and wives in the same department. Now you can say all you want to about it, say oh well, there shouldn't be any problem,

problem but there is, especially small departments. Supposing there there are four people in a department, a husband and wife is one and and husband becomes chairman of the department and his wife is one of the factors three members. You've got some extra factions there that complicate accentuate the normal friction and problems of a department. And well, dafter Jim (he kept on as chairman of the philosophy Miller who resigned as deanhe brought in a man names Sidney Roam about through the with a Harvard degree. Well, Sidney was Jewish and he was a friend of mine later and a good academic person them I talked with later said, Yeah, Everybody at Harvard thought was a slob. And he was an honory sort of person in many ways that he married a perfectly beautiful and brilliant very gracious lady. was Jewish also, Beatrice. She was a graduate student at Harvard. Well, when she came down here, they came on and she wanted to do something. So they made her almost as a graduate assistant and even though she was doing her work and was behind doing her work at Harvard. And what she did, the philosophy department then, I don't know if they still do it this way or metabort for. Miller bad this marvelous course inintroduction to philosophy degreewhich is really a history of philosophy, and a big lecture section of 250 or so and mot two times a week, and then once a week he had quiz sections small groups of 15 to 20 and frankly, a good system for a course like philosophy or a course like Mr. Fowler's big history course. It works in them. So they did this and Beatrice was assigned quite a lot of the grading work for all the sections and things of this kind. Gradually she was sections of her own and then also moved on;

and she was approach the her doctorate and the post a graduate assistant shouldn't she be an instructor next question was& shouldn t she be an assistant professor? Well then w e were running head up against rightly or wrongly regulation of the colleg e Board against wives and husbands well, being at the college as far as that's concerned But less vigogous enforcement than in the same department. Well, this gradually came to a head; because she became increasingly popularas a teacher. She was superboand she taught a course in philosophy of religion which was just great and this was what she was writin So this went on and then in the meantime Chandler had arrived you see So here it moved and Students and Sidney and Beatrice began to work on it -- propagandize on Il from their rostrums, in their teaching position, began to talk about it, isn't it terrible the college has this regulation and so on. Well there were a few other situations. There are always ou know you can fill up a college this way. moved much more feministically, and We ve gotten away well, except I think it is still a very the same department de at one of them becombbe chairman, and so our. There are difficulties but I won't get into what's done now I think we've eliminated at college here. But that went on and frankly that was the issue that came to a head and in 1955 the year my son was a seniorand (he was opposed to the revolution 🔏 But the president of the student body and others, they had

big mass meeting, and it came about this way. That the idea as to whether Mrs, Rome J she should be promoted to assistant professor or whether she was already assistant professor and whether she should be promoted to the fire frame professor and have tenure and things of that kind. And the Board took the we recognize she was fine in classes I think we did position th okay, she can be assistant professor but no farther and would be limited appointment of three years or something of this kind. Weellowe were approaching the end of that the thing that came to a head over it and President Chandler took the very vigorous position, and the Board ded. We had hearings, forethe Board and we got into the facts as to what other colleges were doing; and the vast majority of colleges did have similar regulations. So that's what happened and came to a head. And then the Romes decided to leave and the got a chance to go with the Ram Corporation, Wence Se they're leaving. Jim Miller resigned; He got a job at McGill. There was another thing the year earlier both the dean of students and the dean of women resigned and went to other places, and part because they didn't like President Chandler. Lean't remember now, the dean of women went to Jackson College at Tufts? and the dean of students went to Delaware John Hocutt) He's still there. He's an alumnus of the college. They moved out, and they said that actually this was not, really had nothing to do with President Chandler but they had these better openings? But it was a part of this type of thing.

Emily: It was often hinted at that perhaps there was a great turnover in the faculty because of Chandler.

Marsh: Well, there was. It wasn't serious but it was dramatic in the case of Jim Miller, who had been here since 1936.

Emily: Or in the case of the Romes. Did it ever occur to the board to keep her and terminate his contract?

an idean

Marsh: No.

Emily: That's a modern idea.

some difficult situations.

Marsh: No, that was too modern. That would have never occurred. He was already there with tenure. He was associate professor. I believe. He had come in as assistant, and became asociate professor. But that was it. But interestingly enough she was one of the problems with this nepotism business. So often the wife is better than the man and this creates

Emily: Was there any problem during the time you were bean of the Faculty this, but because of with the faculty hiring not because because of low salaries or at one time I think the faculty-student ratio was jeopardizing the accreditation

Marsh: Well, yes, we did have to keep working on it. Now faculty salaries were beginning to improve in that period fortunately. The period which was really bad was from 45 to 53 or 4. The McGraw-Hill Company dramatized this very sad situation of the faculty salaries with a series of articles and wonderfully expressively diagram showing what had happened to other classes, industrial workers, business executives, professional people and so forth, and college teachers. We were at the bottom of the heap as far as what happened, the real income of the college teachers during that period of rising prices, and so en. And But by the mid 50s that was beginning to change, and President Chandler was beginning to get some relief from the state, you see in connection with this, whereas his predecessor had not gotten anything. We were just falling

behind. And so there was improvement in salaries but again they were low. But we were not in danger of losing creditation. Now there was another situation during that period which you may have run into, I don't know what the minutes would show on it. We had a young man who was teaching French and he got into there were some problems of his relationships with one of the male students. His relationship, I mean it was a oneto-one relationship of being together night after night and so-on. The boy was a very brilliant student and the man was a bachelor and a fairly good teacher. And other members of his department that raised the questions about it and just felt that it was a homosexual situation where the teacher was. Again it came to a head one night when the I think the teacher had been out to dinner in town and both of them did some drinking and the teacher walked the boy back to the dormitory drunk one night late. This and other things came to a head were we took action with respect to this man. We had no basis for saying what it was but at least, beyond a professional relation, accessive friendship Well, and this was right in the middle of this other business, and we carried the and this went to the AAUP and we had hearings and but that went on and on and on. I wish we never heard of the case. But the man did resign finally but then he resigned under pressure and I had a problem with the letters I had to write to provide some measure of support for him to teach of other colleges. The time I was dean, really something.... But it was one of those little specific things, thoughtless, improper conduct on the part of the faculty, and the student, This is what deans have to do sometimes, suffer through ite Things of this kind, and they go on for years.

This was one of the undercurrents in this and of course there were other things. Faculty had to be let go and so on. But in general we have no gradule was to we lost people but all colleges do.

Emily: How would you evaluate Chandler's administration, just sum it up when you left in 1958, what was it at that point?

Marsh: Well, I must say this: One progress in various areas. He was very much interested in building our relations with the English outfits, the

Www. Exitor strengthening that program and developing the Draper scholarship. However, that came to a head really after I left, and Mr. Mel Jones did a lot lote and had received recognition for it as dean as to what he did to really systematize the program. But President Chandler was right in the middle and back of that type of thing. And he was very energetic in doing this in opening the way toward greater financial support for the college of the legislature. Along with this was the unnecessary frictions that we had to work with all the time here at the college. I was in the middle of that type of thing. They had begun to ease toward the end of my career and Mel did not have as difficult, problems as I did yet he still have the same problem of the Fresident and the faculty not having respect for each other. Now as I said, Mr. Chandler was an Aoutstanding worker -- Not a lazy bone in his body. He developed trends for the college, He brought in some people that provided some financial help for the college and certainly opened the doors of the legislature, was respected in the community in general. But both faculty and students for the most part didn't have too great the was not a man who inspired

Taffection from the people he worked with. He was too used to the old Maval approach. He suspected this type of thing. Nice guys finish last, if you're too nice to people they take advantage of you you've got to let them know who's boss, you've got to give orders and directives and see that they are carried out. But as he said, these faculty people, they're strange birds. Well, they are. But that's the way they are. He never understood them, never fully respected them, and they certainly nevers, there were very few facultypeople that had any real feelings for the and well, we have to work for him and recognized the good things he'd done, bringing the additional help. But it was not only faculty members but staff members too. Hugh Sisson who was the business manager before Mr. English came in inst had health problems and finally resigned, and went to a position in the North somewhere, and now he's at 01d Dominion. He just couldn't take him at all. There were others: Mr. Oliver who was the head of the department of education and left here to become President of R.P.I. and he was the last president of R.P.I. I don't think he was, the President of V.C.U. I think that came after he retized but he had the same problems and indeed, all of us the administrative staff would go into these meetings which were every two weeks, I think every week, we would go in and sometimes they were very constructive meetings, looking at specific problems of the college in the admissions field, academic field, faculty recruiting, and so on, and we would keep him up to date with our various administrative areas and keep each other up to date, Well often times they would develop into harangues he would spend the whole time harranging us, not necessarily about what

what we were doing but about what some faculty member was doing where what was going on elsewhere, or these students or something of this kind. And sometimes you'd come out of there thinking, poor man, what ticks with him, anyway He must be a little tetched ! But times we would have some very constructive meetings. He could be just as nice and constructive and positive as could be. often times there would be these other periods in which he would be just to beyond a launch a tirade on something that was really not a major factor in the college but something that was bothering himpersonally. through high-level Yet with all that he made contributions to the college and get the ideal financial resources and in this way maybe he was the man that was needed to at least fight our way into fight our way out of the inertia in the relations between the college and the state which existed previously. Paschall, of course gets most of the credit for breaking that open because he came gets of course, with such guilfedged associations with the legislature, having been state superintendent of education for some years and being the type ? Pelaverer of **celaborer** he was. He was abl**z** to pat people on the back and so on. Well, Mr. Chandler could do some of that if they weren't people that were no working for him, you see. So he was pretty careful with his relations with the legislature understood many of them never quite understood quite why he was having troubles down here and were inclined to assume faculty or the that it was entirely the students fault and administrators ts rather fault of

than his. But it was a joint problem and I think he was just cast that way. I don't think he could help it. I mean he was himself, and you had the good with the bad as you do with all of us. My problem has always been that I have been a little bit too much more harmonizing and at times have not been forceful enough to break things open. I think in the college field generally my type of weakness and my type of strength were less harmful than they would have been in industry and probably less harmful certainly than the approach of Mr. Chandler.

Emily: I want to talk to you also about your role in the business department and the conflict between the liberal arts and and profession but I think this would be a good place to stop for today.

Marsh: Fine.

I The sequence in which the two interviews were toped has been reversed here to put Mr. Marsh's account into Chronological order]