W. MELVILLE JONES

Dr. W. Melville Jones joined the English faculty at the College of William and Mary in 1928, retiring in 1971 as vice-president emeritus. He was director of the college's John Marshall Bicentennial (1954 to 1955) and director of program planning for the Jamestown Festival in 1957. In 1958 he became dean of the faculty. When the 1964 Self-Study recommended an administrative reorganization with a dean of the college responsible for all academic aspects of the college's programs, Dean Jones became dean of the college. This title was changed to vice-president in 1968 (later to vice-president for academic affairs), and he served in this capacity until 1971. Since then he has worked on J. E. Morpurgo's Their Majesties' Royall Colledge, a history of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries at the college.

Dr. Jones made a few omissions and stylistic changes in the following transcript.

Interviewee W. Melville Jones

Date of interview November 19,1974

Place Dawson Room, Swem Library, Williamsburg

Interviewer Emily Williams

Session number 1

Length of tape app 60 mins.

Contents:

Coming to William & Mary, 1908

1 A.C. Chandler
Williamsburg before the Restoration

early closses Chandler's office, dealings with English faculty assessment of Chandler

Depression

Bryan's selection as president Charlie Duke's rule

faculty life
Bryan as president
social life

Approximate time:

QO mins

5 mins.

7 mins.

3 mins.

10 mins

15 mins.

Interviewee W. Melville Jones

Date of interview November 26, 1974

Place Dowson Room, Swem Library, Williamsburg

Interviewer Emily Williams

Session number 2

Length of tape 75 mins.

Contents:

Founding of A. A U.P. Lin Stewart Bryan - relations with faculty Charles Duke's role "Kitchen Cabinet" relations with General Assembly entertaining, gifts, generosity suspension of accreditation World War II, Bryan's resignation, Bryan's character

Approximate time:

Interviewee W. Melville Jones

Date of interview January 7, 1975

Place Dawson Room - Swem Library - Williamsburg

Interviewer Emily Williams

Session number 3

Length of tape 35 mins.

Contents: Changes in athletic gragian 1939 Rube Macroy's tenure in athletic dept. Changes in deanship, 1947, 1949 Marshall's invastigation into athletics Faculty committee McCray's resignation, Board of Visitors' hearings Approximate time: 13 mins 3 mins 10 mins 5 mins. 5 mins.

1355etle = #6, #8

Interviewee W. Melv. lle Jones
Date of interview January 9, 1975
Place Dowson Room, Swen Library, Williamsburg
Interviewer Emily Williams
Session number 4
Length of tape 100 mins.

Contents:	Approximate time:
1951 - Board of Visitors' attitude toward president	4 mins
writing of Faculty Monifesto, distribution of	8 mins
Pomfret's resignation	2 mins.
appointment of acting president	18 min.s.
Board's election of new president, reactions of faculty, attitude of Board	la mins,
Chandler's relations with faculty	8 mins
revival of law school, Marshall-Wythe-Blackstone celebration, 1954	20 mins
Vamestown celebration, 1957	(12 mins
beginning of Draper's Scholarship, other exchange programs	(12 mins.
becoming dean of family	1 min

Interviewee W. Melvile Jones
Date of interview January 15,1975
Place Dowson Room, Swen Library, Williamsburg
Interviewer Emily Williams
Session number 5
Length of tape 65 mins.

Contents:

as dean of faculty under Chandler
Colleges of William and Mary
dissolution of Colleges
role of William and Mary - changes since 1928
teaching in extensions (1805)
expansion of programs in 1960s

Approximate time:

Q3 mins.

20 mins

8 mins.

13 mins.

Interviewee W. Melville Lines
Date of interview January 17, 1975
Place Dowson Room, Swan Library, Williamsburg
Interviewer Emily Williams
Session number 6
Length of tape 92 mins.

Contents:	Approximate time:
role of William and Hary,	& Mins.
expansion in 1960s, extension centers	
facilty in 1980s	7 mins.
Colleges of William and Mary, branches	5 mins.
development of physical sciences pregnam	80 mins.
history graduate Brogram	3 mins
School of Education	12 mins.
Desiness school	10 mins
administrative changes in 19600	QO MINS
retirement of Paschall and Jones	2 mins.
search for new president, 1971	7 mins.

nterviewee W. Melville Jones	
Pate of interview January 22, 1975	
Place Dowson Room, Swem Library, Williamsburg	
nterviewer Emily Williams	
Session number 7	
length of tape 52 mins.	
Contents: Approximate time	:
earch for new president, 1971, 35 mins.	
verview - William & Mary in 20th century 17 mins	

Emily: Now I wanted to start with when you came in 1928 And ask you how it was that you came to William and Mary.

Jones: Oh, very interesting I suppose, that everybody always thinks that the way you came to some place or other is interesting. But I was at the University of Richmond. I had come to the University of Richmond from Ohio State, where I had done my masters degree in English. I came to the University of Richmond in 1925, and I was there until 1928. In 1927 I married, and we had spent one year in Richmond. At the end of that year dorner in the summer, I was teaching summer session at the University of Richmond, and the end of that year I had a telephone call one day from the place I was staying during the summer.

My wife wasn't up with me at that time; she had gone up to her home in Pennsylvania. I'm from Pennsylvanianby birth, you see. And this was Dr. J. A. C. Chandler on the telephone and he wanted to know if I would come down to the Richmond Hotel and talk with him. Well it just happened and this is silly to say. I had had my tonsils out just the day before a couple of days before and I didn't feel very good but I thought, Well, maybe I can go down to see him. So I did, I went down and he met me. I was wrong by wife was still with me because that was very important it little incident that occurred with some interesting point to it. Want me to talk on like this My wife hadn't left yet, that's right. So I went down to the Richmond fotel (the old Richmond

Richmond Hotel) and met this little man, and he asked me if I knew anything about the College of William and Mary, and I said, I know where it is.

I said, I have been there once, and I had. I had come down with a friend of mine a couple of years before and explored Williamsburg and old Carters Grove that was then nothing like it is now and so on.

He said, Well, would I be interested in coming to the college? I said, I really don't know because I don't know the place. Right offhand without would much more he offered me an appointment here as an associate professor of English, which was a promotion forme, a young instructor. I said, Well, I can't accept now; I want to come down. He said he'd like me to come down, so we arranged two or three or four days, a week later or something that I would come down. Well, in those days, as I remember, I didn't have a car. So I borrowed a Ford from one of my friends... T-model Ford, you've probably never seen one.

Emily: Only in a car show.

Jones: But anyhow I borrowed this thing. And he said, bring your wife along. So we rotted down in a T-model Ford and I pulled up in front of what is the Brafferton because his office was on the second floor of the Brafferton. The Brafferton at that time had been taken over as the kind of administrative offices of the college because the pean of men, the dean of the college and the president of the college and the treasurer of the college had their offices in that building. So I pulled up and got out and went up myself up the stairs to his office.

He had the office in the room that is now the vice-president of the codemic affairs has it now, George Healey. So he shook hands with mediand and the dealer.

"she's down sitting in the car." He said, Let's go down there. He was a little short man, he carried a little cane with him. He picked up his cane, put on his hat and toddled down the steps. I introduced him to my And he didn't fool around very long. He said, Well got a house for you, I've got a house for you. So he said, Let's go there it's /v5/ around the corner on Richmond Road. Lwas on Jamestown and it was around on Richmond Road. I don't know if you know the little house but right next to the Kappa Kappa Gamma House on Richmond Road there's a little white cottage, still sitting there yet very much as it was then. And he said, This is the house I'm going to get for you. Well, we looked at that. He said, the seamstress for the college lives in it now. But he said, Come on, we'll go show you. She wasn't at home, I guess. He couldn't get in. The windows open from the bottom, you push them up? And he just pushed one of these windows up and we crawled in and he showed us around. He said, I tell you what I'm going to do. I knock this wall out here and that will make this room a little bigger and so forth and so on and just remodel the place. We didn't know anything about Williamsburg and if he was going to do all this [I guess he was going to do it anyhow) it was quite all right, fine. We had no furaniture because we lived the first year in Richmond in a furmished home, which we rented from a doctor who was on leave from the Medical College, It was very nice and we didn't have to bother about buying furniture the fist year we were married. So he said, that's all right. I'll take care of that. Mrs. Jones, you go up to Sidner and Hundley in Richmond. Now Sidner and Hudnley then was a very different store from what it is now. Now it's a sort of discount I don't like to say anything about it but it's not a top-rate furniture store. It was then, it was an old home. somebody-else bought it and changed it. Sidner and Hudmley was an old-

line sort of store like Miller and Rhoads. So he said, you go up there and pick out what you want for this house, and he said, 1311 buy it and then I'll rent it to you for so much, some percent of what it cost. Now this sounded pretty good, too. In the meantime we hadn't even decided whether we'd go or come or not. So 1500 I looked now and talked --talked with him a little bit more spoke with nobody else didn't even talk to something of the way the head of the English department. That indicates, howe the College was operated in that day. And then he just said # would I accept the job and I said, Yes, I will. And one of the reasons of course was I'd rather have been in many ways at that time in Richmond, But one of the reasons was that now others was a promotion there was a considerable increase in salary, and those days, just on the edge of the pepression (1928) salaries were not too good for young instructors or anything else. So $\mathscr D$ Laccepted. Well, we went back and it puzzled me about why he offered me a job without, anything more than he could have know about me. But I finally worked out what had happened. A Dr. Grace Landrum, she was dean of Momen here, but she had been previous to two years before she had been at West Hampton College, the University of Richmond. She was in English there she was not dean she was a professor of English. And the year before fi'm just talking about now, she had come to William and Mary as pean of Women which also carried with it, she was also able to teach at the same time. It was a part-time deanship and a part-time pfofessor of English. She was able at that time to teach the courses she wanted which were Chauger and Shakespeare those were her two favorite courses. And this was what she was going to teach. So, he came here. Now I knew Grace Landrum very well up at the University of Richmond two years before she came down here. We were very close friends. I admired

P

apparently her very much and granted she liked me. So she must have come down here and told J. A. C. Chandler that why didn't he go up and bring down this young man in Richmond that she knew. That's how it happened, figured it out. So anyhow, that's how I got here. And we didn't occupy the little cottage because after my wife had gone up to her home in Pennsylvania, one day I had a telephone call from Dr. Chandler in which he said, I'm sorry I can't rent you that cottage because the seamstress who lives in it has threatened to leave and do no more work for the girls and so forth if I take her out of that house. So I can't do I've got to keep her! it., She is very important to us. So I have to have her. I said, What will I do? Whe said, you come down here sometime, and we'll figure it out. We'll find you a place. Don't you worry you come down. don't you come down so-and-so date. For I did. I took a train that day after my classes in summer session up there came down to Williamsburg again. I remember walking up from the station and going up to the President's House which had a porch on the front of it them and a swing on the porch and there he was sitting out there on the porch waiting for Grothmey -So he and Dr. Ed Walthney Ed Gwanthney was at that time a Williamsburg person and (lived in Williamsburg for sometime), He had a house down at the other end of town near where the Capitol is it's -- nothing was thereo gone, the house is. The Capitol wasn't there then, The only thing that was there of any sign of restoration was the Wren Building which had a big green fence around it and they were just beginning work on the restoration of the Wren Building. The rest of the twon was a very very unattractive pretty much run-down quiet charming little place. But a 198 of the houses were beginning to run down in spite of their, they were And then this modern sort of thing had come in, a wooden structure on the Duke of Gloucester Street and not very attractive, In fact, quite unattractive the service station on the corner there was right across

from Caseys

Gasey's on that other corner; service station over there. The bank was down for the middle of Duke of Gloucester Street. There was an old church. Emily: The Baptist thurch?

Jones: The Baptist Church was still further down. That's gone now, too, SO Dr. Gwothmay of course. Well, Dr. Gwathney said he would find me a place and the took me into some houses that I couldn't have possibly taken my wife to him? because she wouldn't have lived in them. They were, dirty, messy, unlived in as I could see at that time and I suppose they would have been prepared maybe, I don't know. I don't remember where they were Boundary Street and Henry Street and so on. Wells they were run down badly, and I knew they wouldn't work. So I was beginning to get pretty desparate about it on yes, he said, Well, come on home with me for dinner and he said / we'll look into something. We got down there (he lived at the other end of town, And he said, Oh, I've got an idea! He said Mr. Lane has an apartment on the side of our house. Now that houses he was the regasurer of the college. He was a very old Williamsburg resident and this Mrs. Lane was I believe his second wife Emily Lane was her name. Well, he took me over there, just down if you go down Duke of Gloucester Street to the end and turn at the Capitol and go on down you come to, I can't remember what the name of the street is now I've forgotten but it's the one behind the Capitol. Do you know where Mrs. Campbell's place is. Waller Street, that's the street. And just across the street catta-cornered from Mrs. Campbell's was the Lane house. It was a white house rather large, rambly house with on a pretty good-sized lot that's all cleared now, nothing on it at all. Took the house down colonial Will make the house down a long time ago. It was an old sort of place. I wouldn't call it an old 18th century house, I don't think it was. I think it must have been

a ninetcenth -

nent on the side and it had a bedroom kind of what you'd call it with a breat big high ceiling and a living room and a little kitchen off to the side with an oil stove in it. If you've ever used an oil stove you'll know what I mean. So then the bath though was on the second floor. We had to go into the hall of the main house and up the stairs it was a private bath, it was our bath all right and walk up and there was the bath. It was high and private but not very convenient. It was the best thing I had seen so I said, well, I'll take it by all means. I would work on it. So that's how I came to Williamsburg. We came down Helen and I came down two or three days before college opened and went to the Lanes on Saturday.

MIS-Some

Commotive

Commotive

Motion of

Control of

Control of

Control

we moved up to an apartment of the college Dr. Chandler said he would fix up for us in the next year. That's that little pretty much run-down place on Richmond Road next to the Theta House. It's still there, It used to be called the Montiere Cottage. He fixed that pice up and it we

very comfortable);

and we lived on the second floor. At that time Billy Gooch who was the pirector of Athletics here fust came the same year I did he and Elizabeth lived on the first floor. So we really lived in the middle of things at the College, in the middle of the sorority houses because one was on one side and one on the other, and sorority court was just a few doors down the street and right in the middle of things. It was good because we were definitely secure. That's how we came to William and Mary. When I first came here when I first went to class the college had around, 1200 students at that time, codeducation of course. become cofeducational back in 1919. I went to my first class, which was a survey course in English literature. The class was schedule to meet in room 100 in Rogers Hall. It's downstairs in the big lecture room in Rodgers, very big lecture room. There was no James Blaire for what we call James Blaire now. Washington Hall, the \$\psi\$1d Phi Beta Kappa Hall. (only the front part of it now where the President's office is ^ There was a building back of it, the big auditorium was back there, which burned later. What's there now was not there then. That was built and 15 used now by the music department and so on find of course the old buildings in front. And there were two buildings in front on the front campus that are gone now. One was called Citizenship on one side of the Wren Building and to save my life I can't remember what the other one is called.

Emily: It was Ewell, pld EwellHall, I think.

Jones: Yes it was Ewell Hall

Emily: Now we call Phi Bete Ewel] Hall.

But those buildings are gone, and I taught in those old buildings, and sometimes I kind of liked them because they were so, pretty, and they had a lot of atmosphere. But we did not, the Wren Building was used of course. Well, when I went to the first class here I was told. I had been told that classes averaged about A students. I went into that class, that room was nearly filled the big lecture room. I couldn't believe my eyes and I started the class, went ahead with it and I told the head of the department who was then Dr. Gwathney, told him that I couldn't put up with that size class, that I couldn't do that it wasn't a lecture course particularly. It was not to be that and I didn't want it to be that. The finally relieved me of a lot of those students and moved it was just after registration straightened it out. I was still in that room but a smaller group. Well, now what shall we do.

Jones: No, the Wren Building as I said told you when I came here in 1928 it was fenced in and they were restoring it. It took a long time. It was not really finished I think for use until about 1932 or 3. I'm not sure I can't rember if it was 32 or 33. But I do know that Dr. Chandler died in 44 and he, when the building was finished he moved his office into the Wren Building. He was only there I believe one year.

No, I didn't move into the Wren Building until much much later.

Dr. Chandler moved in there, though. His office was on one side of the first floor. He moved his office out of Brafforton and was in the Wren Building and then he was also in what is now Phi Betta Kappa, what was then Phi Betta Kappa and is now Ewell in the same room that the President uses now except that it was not cut up like this. During Dr. Paschall's

period a petition that large room was petitioned. There was a room for the secretaries made there and the President's office was cut down But before that petitioning and so on that was Dr. Chandler's office in there. And this was a very imposing room, a big room. And as where you went in he had his desk in the far end of the room so that you walked actually down a carpet. You know the old phrase about going on the carpet. Well, you really were put on the carpet. You entered in the doorway, and you walked right down this carpet to the desk which was at the other end of the room. And there sat J. A. C. Chandler. Now H was while he had his office there that he became head of the English department, took over the headship of the English department because Dr. Gwathney This was I believe just a year after I came. I took it was 29 but I might be wrong perhaps 30 But I think it was 29 Dr. Gwathmey was transferred from the College to the Norfook Division where he was made prirector or whatever they called him in those days / I've forgotten Director of the Norfolk Division would be the same thing as Fresident of the Norfolk pivision but it wasn't president. And that left the college left the English department without a chairman, without a head. We didn't call them chairman then but we called them heads of departments. For a long time this went on, too, called that title. The reason there wasn't a head of the department was that Dr. Chandler had brought in a man by the name of Jess Jackson, Dr. Jess Jackson from Harvard who was an instructor or something at Harvard, had brought him in with the idea of his becoming head of the department, but at the time he wasn't ready to make him head of the department so he served, he came in as a

professor of English. Of course, Dean Landrum was also a professor of English you remember. The department was very small: There were in all not more about five people. So hear you might say the became head of the department mention that he had the department meetings in his office. And when we had a meeting we would all assemble in a semicircle around his desk in front. There were just about five or six chairs there and we all sat in a semicircle. And he would conduct the affairs of the department briefly I don't know how often, but not too often. One do you want little incidents?

Emily: Chandler stories? Yes.

Jones: Well, one of these meetings was very interesting because he called the meetings, at about four o'clock in the afternoon, something like that. He called the meetings and we were all in there only about five or six of us including Jackson, Jones (I'm trying to remember) McChane (there was a man named McCland and I'm not sure whether Horris Remaids had come yet 6 Conword Clark was here had just come a year or two befor e I had. He had taught at the Richmond Division Richmond Professional Institute) before he came here so he had, he used to claim connection with the College long long before he ever came to William and Mary here He was at Richmond related And I don't know, I'd have to go back to the catalogs 1 I think this was pretty much the group. This day we were all sitting there but Dean Landrum hadn't arrived. It wasn't very long _ _ we were chatting there. And it was not very long before in came Dean \$ Landrum, bustling in you know. She was a cute little person. She sat down and looked around and Dr. Chandler looked at her and said, Dean Landrum when was Chauser born? That scared the poor little lady to death to have this question thrown at her. She said 14,1349 she said 39 __ _

Sometimes people think 39 but we think it's 40, 1340. He said, you're right, 1340. This was so funny and just about of a bombshell he popped this question at her deliberately to confuse her, no question about it, She couldn't even remember when her poor dear close friend left ary Chauser was born. She forgot for a minute & But not long.

He was a very interesting man in many ways. I don't think I need to go into that but he was an ingenious person in many ways. He built the College really. He Not only was he able to get funds but he was able to use funds where they were needed, not where they were always appropriated for but this is one of his problems; he got into some trouble later. But he had an unckanny ability to put the funds where they were most needed whether they were appropriated for that or not. I think all the funds that were used were used with no question of honesty, But the point was that they were sometimes used not for where they were supposed to --Integrate for this purpose but they needed it for this purpose and this so that purpose is where it went. The plan of the college on the old campus (not the new campus, the plan, back of the Wren Building and the sunken gardens and the buildings there, all of those and all of that was planned. He had plans for all that the college really didn't go much further than the old library. Do you know where it was, just across from the \$\phi\$1d Phi Betta Kappa Hall?

Emily: Right.

Jones: It's now the law school, But the old building was not like anything

But the old building was not anything like the size it is now because that building was added to several times. It was really just a small? square building at that time but it was gradually added to (Dr. Swem managed to get it done so it was now much bigger and was before we moved out of it and into this library. But that was, and right in the center of that, that was the end. Washington Hall was open, I think the year I came (1928) I remember that, it opened then. We did not have James Blaire it came later. And right in the middle was an old tree, I rememberand there was no sunken garden. The campus just ended there. Now most of that part of the college and so on was all Dr. Chandler's part of the college and so on was all Dr. Chandler's part of the college and so on was all Dr. Chandler's part of part of the college and so on was all Dr. Chandler's part of part of the college and so on was all Dr. Chandler's part of the college and so on was all Dr. Chandler's part of the college and so on was all Dr. Chandler's part of the college and so on was all Dr. Chandler's part of the college and so on was all Dr. Chandler's part of the college and so on was all Dr. Chandler's part of the college and so on was all Dr. Chandler's part of the college and so on was all Dr. Chandler's part of the college and so on was all Dr. Chandler's part of the college and so on was all Dr. Chandler's part of the college and so on was all Dr. Chandler's part of the college and so on was all Dr. Chandler's part of the college and so on was all Dr. Chandler's part of the college and so on was all Dr. Chandler's part of the college and so on was all Dr. Chandler's part of the college and so on was all Dr. Chandler's part of the college and so on was all Dr. Chandler's part of the college and so on was all Dr. Chandler's part of the college and the col It dates from 57. Phi Betta Kappa was open the new Phi All right. Now in 1929-30 in 1930 we began a session Dr. Jackson who was a specialist in Islandic-Anglo@Saxon Tstandie and so on got a fellowship, received a fellowship from one of these (I think Islandic societies or something of the sort and he was off for the year. So you see, he didn't become head of the department that on ENE year, he would have normally but he was off. They went to Iceland first So the department went along without a head again for that year. He was in Iceland for a while and then he moved over to Denmark and he was supposed to be doing some sort of research there on this Icelandic language. And Copenhagen had the big library that he wanted to work in_{γ} so he was there for a while. I visited him there in 1931, hereo the summer of 1931. Then he came back, Well, when he came back when Jackson came back he became head of the department. And at that time? then most good number of our offices was in Washington Hall. He had

we had one side of the first floor and several offices along the side.

I remember I had an office there with some**h**ody else. It was really one \dashv \forall h \in

(Dontosad)

classroom buildings, Washington was. Rogers was the chemistry building. The physics department was in the basement of Rogers Hall. Rogers At the end of that year 1931, session of 31 he came back. He left in Hall had been built several years before. 30 in the fall and came back the next September during the summer of 31 and he became head of the department. Well, there's nothing special until 31, I went on leave. I had been here three years, and I requested a leave of absence to continue my graduate work toward the doctorate and I was going to Harvard. I received a leave of absence and but now remember that 1931 was the period that the depression hit worst. The 1929 Stock Frash really did not become evident to most people until a year or so later, you know; it took a little while for the conditions to develop. We had very bad I hope we never have another one. I hope this is a recession we're having; I hope it never gets to the state like that one because that was finot only in this country, but in Europe, too. Anyhow, that was at the beginning, the worst times to go off on leave, But I had planned on doing this. In fact, I don't think it would be anything wrong to say that when I came to the College of William and Mary in 1928 I had no idea of staying at this college. I thought it was, and again as most young people do in the teaching profession / When they are younger they are looking for new opportunities and new jobs and better jobs and so on. So I had the feeling that when I left here or shortly thereafter when I left on the leave that when I finished my doctorate I would be going somewhere else up north probably. That didn't happen and I think I know why now. Well, that year (1931, 32

we were in Cambridge and I was working on my doctorate. Toward the end

of that year the Depression was very bad, very very bad. We just managed to squeak out that year and made it financially. Chandler wrote to me at the end of that session (the spring) and wanted to know if I was going to return to the ϕ ollege. He was going to have to reduce the faculty that the pepression had hit so hard and the state was cutting the funds. If I returned he was going to have to let somebody else go in the English department, which made me feel pretty bad, of course, But on the otherhand & I needed a job, too. I couldn't go on at Harvard then. I did go back and finish of course but I couldn't then, I couldn't financially do it. So I wrote back and said, ∜Yes, I intende∉ to return to the ¢ollege in September. Well, that's what I did. I came back here in September of the worst part of the perression. I guess to return then was what although I wouldn't have recognized it at that time but the return to the College then was probably what was a turning point in a way in that it probably was the reason we remained and have remained ever since. Of course we like it, too and liked it all along The most vivid thing that I remember between 1932 and Dr. Chandler's death in 1934 We was really in many ways an ill man then; He was not well) that I remember that the Kollege took we on the faculty took two cuts, five percent cuts in our salary. This was not to be a permanent cut but it was temporary and we took two five percent cuts, that were made, We didn't have any choice about it, The state did it. The state just cut salaries all over five percent and then another five percent. Now I remember one dyay -it must have been 32, must have been that Dr. Chandler called a faculty meeting. He had the faculty meetings in those days in the Great Hall Great Hall? of the Wren Building. Have you been in the Wren Building, the Queen Anne portrait, that was much much later. The tables ran along the

Confising

wall, they still do tables and benches. We used to meet and sit on those benches and have the faculty meeting there. And why I don't know, I don't remember why it was there; but it was just big enough to at that timeo hold the whole faculty, I remember that he called this meeting and he presented this to the faculty. He said, Funds have reached the place where we cannot, we must save more money. Therefore, I am giving you? the faculty the opportunity to decide what you want to do. Either you will take another five percent cut/which we hope will be temporary and we hope we can return or I will have to dismiss five members of the faculty, one or the other. Well, this was, pretty serious and awful thing to place before a faculty. Fither it voted to take a cut or it voted to dismiss five of its members. And knowing what that meant at that time, there were no jobs to be had for five people. And one of the questions was asked, what five will you, are you going to choose the five. The last five appointed, he said will be the ones who would unified have to go. They were the last five appointed. Well, of course, the faculty voted to take a five percent cut. A He wouldn't have turned anybody out if he could have helped it. I'm not going to tell you who those five were, one or two of them are still here. Anyhow we voted Now that cut was, maybe this was as late as 33, that this happened, again I'm just not sure because we received that cut was returned to us just after Dr. Chandler's death in the spring of 1934 that last five percent; Later we got the other back, too. But that last

confusid

Dr. Chandler then became so ill that he had to havebe did not have his faculty meetings in the buildings. He had them in the President's House and we used to go into that President's House in those two main rooms there and we had enough room for the whole faculty ϕ

that went to the meeting. He jused to sit in the other room and talk Then later he went to the hospital in Richmond and died there in the spring of 1934. It was at that time, and this was customary in his day and the days that I remember, we always had a faculty meeting on the day after commencement of all things. This was partly to keep the faculty here until after commencement. They wanted everybody to go to commencement and so he always had this faculty meeting you had to be there, you just had to be at this faculty meeting, unless there was to it? there was some good reason why you were excused. So he died in May (I think it was May, and this faculty meeting that was held after commencement was addressed by Mr. Charles Duke who was then on the Board of Visitors from Norfolk. Mr. Duke was the I think, I'm not sure whether he was the rector of the Board at that time or Nice-Rector but I have a feeling he was the rector. He address, the faculty at that meeting and informed us that the Board had decided to restore the five percent cut that we had taken voluntarily. When you say voluntarily put quotes around it because we had to, there wasn't any question about that. anyhowwe had taken that and were pleased about that, The problem came then, who would be the new President? (I said that Mr. Duke was the Rector, I would have to go back and take a look at the catalogs

Emily: I think he was secretary of the Board at that time.

Jones: Probably you are right and probably I am wrong Secretary of the Board. And I wouldn't have been surprised if Mr. Bryan hadn't been Rector.

Emily: He was Vice-Rector.

Vice-reator, Probably Mr. Bahannon was rectors Jones: Then there was a lot of discussion about who was going to be resident and so on. And Mr. Duke was very anxious that Mr. Bryan accept the presidency in fact, he said so. But Mr. Bryan had not yet done so. It was not very long after that after the news meeting at the end of the summer that it was known that Mr. Bryan had been elected by the Board to be the new President and that Mr. Duke would be his chief assistant here. Mr. Duke, therefore, was going to move here and be on the grounds all the time. Mr. Bryan was also also owned the Richmond Newspaper and he lived in Richmond and would have to spend some of his time there, so he would not be an altogether shall we say full-time President as far as actually living here. Mr. Duke and Mrs. Duke (Virginia Duke) moved into the President's House. occupied (I'm not sure I think the third floor of the President's House, at least certainly _ _ fes, I think it was the third floor, maybe part of the second. They occupied the third floor, and Mr. Bryan, of course came. He used the President's House, entertained there and so on. This was a very different administration from Dr. Chandler's. Dr. Chandler's administration was $a_{\mathbf{A}}$ the atmosphere was frequently tense. The social life of the college was not extensive. We used to among the faculty we had some little social life, we used to make formal calls in those days when we had new members of the faculty coming which was not too many we always called on the ladies always called on the wives, and in a formal way you returned the call. This was done. & I can't remember how long that went along but it died out somewhere along the run. I guess so many people came in new that..., Well, Mr. Bryan devoted himself to developing the faculty and developing in many ways the academic life of the college and to a certain extent,

NOT

although I don't think he intended it deliberately, but the social life of the college. He was wealthy himself and could afford to entertain a good deal and entertain well. So the faculty had I think this was a very good thing for the faculty. It brought them out and gave them a certain dignity and gave them a feel, of something of their importance to the college. This was not felt before. There was less emphasis placed on buildings and so on and developing the physical aspect of the college and more on the internal college. Mr. Bryan himself—this was his general influence. He himself was a cultured person. I wouldn't say scholarly person, you understand but a cultured person.

Mr. Bryan could speak out at a moment's notice; he was a wonderful speaker. He had great wit, wonderful wit. He could quote a lot from the past and even go back to the Greeks and so on quote. The quotations

I'm sure are often the tings

he had a wonderful retentive memory you see. And he had a charming personality, charming be wasn't very long after he came when he would come down, maybe spend a week, maybe three days he would bring his secretary with him. For a while she lived with us as a matter of fact. We moved from the Maybe a couple of years after Mr. Bryan came that we moved to what is gone now a corner house down across from the old Methodist thurch. That's a vacant spot in there even yet but not as big as it was. The street was widened, the street was much narrower, and we had a very old house, one of the houses that was occupied by the head of the English department (I would guess) until the year before I came old Dr. Hall lived in this house. Then it was occupied by various faculty members and finally by the Southwords who was in economics.

The corner house-13 With De SOUTHWOOTE He completed his house, he left it and we moved into it. It was a corner house here was was an old thing the floors of the house you got sort of seasick looking at them if you didn't have furniture in them when we first moved into it and they redid the place, floors and all just kind of wavy, the floors were so old. The house was in many ways & a wonder place wonderful place to live in the you could stand the wound of rats running through between the doors. Once in a while they sounded like a cheriot was going through. We never saw them

much. Don't mention, take that out of there It was a nice old place, comfortable and big and all that. We had a

couple of rooms and Miss, I've forgotten her name now, Mr. Bryan's secretary, a lovely person. When he came, she came, she wanted a place to stay so we would give her this room. So we got to know her very well. Mr. Bryan entertained quite a lot when he was here. He didn't like to be along, so he was always having dinners of one sort of another. He invited faculty members, administration, and a whole lot of other people and he hooked up somewhat with the restoration and he mixed inc he knew a lot of people and he was very well known in the East particularly. So Mr. Bryan was a wonderfully social person. And once a year he entertained the faculty at his home in Richmond, and the faculty trackled to Richmond for this dinner party that Mr. Bryan, wonderful party and we tracked back. one occasion a couple of faculty members had accidents on the way back. May attributed that to the fact that they had too much champaigneat Mr. Bryan's House or something of the sort. But anyhow, this was the general atmosphere. He also had parties for the students.

I remember around Christmas before Christmas Mr. Bryan would have this wonderful party in the evening. I've got some pictures of it. We'd have one of these pagent affairs. Everybody had to dress up in colonial clothes and the lord of the manager put on this party. Mr. Bryan was the lord of the manner, you see. We had to wear wigs. A New York firm came down here and rented us these colonial clothes and we all had to go and get them. In those days we had to pay five That was or six dollars to get these tings. They were rather expensive in before Christmas those days. He put this big party on for the students. And then in the spring we had an outside ball, a big ball for the students. This was outside in the sunken gardens. We had a special platform made for the dance floor. There were all sorts of decorations all around up By that time we had got, the sunken gardents Mr. Bryan had developed it. The boxwood, you see, on the side came from Mr. Bryan, Mr. Bryan brought it from northern Virginia and had it moved down here. And we had this big dance there and he had the It was much longer then. that was set up there fine arts department make this big urn, with all the fancy lights and what not. Oh it was, beautiful, beautiful party. Refreshments were served. Mr. Bryan paid for most of it, put this party on every June, usually around commencement for all the students and everybody. This is the kind of atmosphere. And he brought into the faculty a number of very good people, very good people. This was the year in which he brought in two or three years in which he brought in people like Charles in English later Jim Fowler came Harrison of the EnglishMary during 1934 or 5. There were several others who came in from good schools and good people. The faculty was considerably strengthened during Mr. Bryan's yearso

November 26, 1974

Emily: Last time we had talked about when your rist came to Williamsburg, what Williamsburg was like and about J. A. C. Chandler And you had talked a little bit about Mr. Bryan and about how he was selected as President and you told about his parties and his entertaining ...

I told about being a different type.

Right, a different type of person. Emily:

change, altogether a change. Is that on now?

Emily: Yes.

Jones: Altogether a change in the atmosphere of the College Mr. Bryan brought that. There was a more easy relationship with students. faculty for a while after Mr. Bryan came were much easier relations. American Association of the University of Professors (AAUP) Mhen I came here it was a secret organization. It was 506-7050 on this campus. you see, I hate to say these things because The reason it was it steps on somebody's toes. Now Dr. Chandler (that's J. A. C.) was a remarkable man he really was remarkable, energetic man, did much for this college. But he also had ideas that were not always

pemocratic and open and liberal you know. But one thing that Dr. Chandler did not like was the AAUP. He looked at it; I'm sure; as a sort of labor union of the college / faculty. This is why, his son is still living and I'm very fond of Alvin Chandler. Thate to say things about his father that I wouldn't want him to know.

Emily: Well you know that anything that you say can be sealed up and put in the Archives like you talked about Dr. Paschall doing anything

can be sealed.

Jones: I think this Affair about the AAUP in those days was very interesting because the AAUP has changed so much. Well, when I came, I didn't know much about the AAUP, when I was a young associate professor I didn't know much about the AAUp. Nobody ever told me anything about it. I didn't belong to it. As far as I know at the University of Richmond we didn't have a chapter. In other words, particularly, certain institutions in Virginia, were always a little behind in things like after I came here this lithink. Well, two or three years I was asked quietly sort IT is WILL KNOWN THE of, would you, do you belong to the AAUP? See you can belong to the AAUP as long as you're a college professor teaching college. All you have to do is be recommended by somebody and anybody can recommend anybody. You pay dues and that's it. So they wanted to know if I'd like to belong and I said, I guess so. Well, we meet down in the Wythe House in town. Wella I went to the first meeting of the AA Pand here was a group of faculty members whom I knew. See the faculty wasn't then; it was as big big very small You knew everybody. So I went down there and here I was in this kind of smoke-filled room and here were all these people sitting around and sort of hush-hush and one of the people who was one of the ring leaders was Dr. Goodwin who was so responsible here for the was professor of religion at the College as well as the derector of Bruton I can remember that the restoration was startedand we were in the middle of it then. So I became a member of AAUPnationally, and I went to the meetings. Because Dr. Chandler, you were suspected if you belong to the AAUP that's about it. Well, this went on until Mr. Bryan came. And when Mr. Bryan came, a year or two

or two after the AAUP opened up, you know became out from underground up on top and we had meetings in Phi Betta Kappa, one of the rooms it was the Apollo Room and the other room, Inforget the other room, Emily: Dodge Room.

Jones: The Dodge Room, that's where we met. The Dodge Room was a big room then It was known as a meeting room with sofas and chairs it was a nice room, We used to have faculty

meetings in there. That's where Mr. Chandler, for a while I remember

going to faculty meetings there in that sort of in the Dodge Room. Then

I told you later he had them down in the Great Hall? And finally

one in

when he became very ill I remember going to the President's House. But

Anyway so it opened up as we elected officers and everybody came in and

do you know that there were some members of the faculty looked upon this character as very very wrong that the AAUP still should remain a closed organization; that

Everybody shouldn't be able to come into it; you should be able to pick whom you wanted.) So it took a long time to get over that. But that

all happened during Mr. Bryan's administration, that's one of the things.

That and as I said Mr. Bryan was a very social person. Many of the faculty were invited to Mr. Bryan's home when he was here for dinner oh,

The also did some terrible things. See in those days, you know? Prohibition

was just geting over. In 34 or 35 Roosevelt came in 33 and one of the first things he pushed was to get the prohibition out of the road.

Well, Mr. Bryan used to serve wine and things like this which shocked many of the old ladies in Virginia and Williamsburg. They always blamed Mr. Bryan for dought things like this which shocked with the serving wine the servi

President's serving wine the management whether he serving wine the management of the serving wine the servi

Mr. Bryan was always social and he always brought faculty in there

and many people enjoyed this, of course. This made a different atmosphere.

Shovid MOTO

Mr. Bryan improved the faculty. He had connections in Harvard. He was on the Board of Oversears at Harvard I think for a while. And so he had connections there and he would go out and bring these people in himself and would be a connection there and he would go out and bring these people in himself and would be a connection there are departments don't think we ever recruited that way again. During that time Mr. Bryan was responsible for bringing some very good people. This went along for say I think Mr. Bryan came in 34, I'd say along toward 37 38 these social affairs, students, I told you about having the Christmas party. Gradually the feeling on the part of some of the faculty that Mr. Bryan was showing favoritism toward other members of the faculty more so than he should have done. It don't know whether this is going to be too thick. But anyhow, this gradually grew up. You could feel it you could see it.

den't know

and all this and he'd be Lord of the Marner. That old thing was just to the eight century again again and I told you we all got costumes and went to this party. I've got photographs of it, treasure them very highly because I don't think there are very manyof them left. The only reason that I happen to have some of these photographs is that when the office, just the time the war broke out, I took over what was called the News Release, I started the direction of it not the work of it, but the direction of it. We dismantled the old News Release office which was over in the President's Kitchen, the kitchen there at the President's house. Among the things that we moved and so on the there were a lot of old photographs, and a few of these things I

picked out and kept. I put them in a scrapbook.

A speak of Mr. Bryan as Ford of the Manner of sort of thing. Now he considered

himself in these Christmas parties, got all dressed up in the wigs

9111

All right, let me get back to Mr. Bryan. Being a wealthy man Mr. Bryan contributed frequently to the college in various ways, but he did come to have favorites.

I don't know how you avoid this. I guess you just do.

Well, this didn't please all the members of the faculty.

And then, of course, he was also known as an absentee president. One of the complaints that developed was that Mr.

Charles Duke was running the college. And he was, in mamy ways, because Mr. Bryan was frequently not here. We might go on for two weeks, and Mr. Bryan would be absent. Mr.

Bryan would be in New York or seemewhere else. Generally he mam averaged three days a week at the college, I should say. I told you about the secretary who came with him and was a very nice person. We all knew when he was here. But he was a lonely person. But I think Mr. Bryan when he

took $_{\mathcal{A}}$ the Fresidency took it with the understanding that he would not be able to spend all of his time here and that if Mr. Duke would come with him and serve as kind of bursar / treasurer and at the same time do many of the things he would do if he were here all the time. I think that was the understanding when he came with the Board/ And so, but this became? as I say, kind of / It gradually grew to be a kind of sore spot Mr. Duke's running the college and his way of doing things | I knew Charlie very well. By just by really for no reason except social reasons either Mr. Duke and I can't remember whether it was Mr. Duke or the President (Mr. Bryan) encouraged some of us a group of us / I gues maybe twelve to come over to the oth kitchen of the President 's house will He fixed that up and had a big fireplace in it then and used to build @ Uned about a lourge roam fire it wasn't a bedroom. It was very nice, very pleasant. And we would go over there about once a week and meet and just talk. didn't do anything else but talk, I guess we had a drink or something maybe, guess we did. But there were about ten or twelve of us, Jim of the fourty ofter Dean Holle Fowler was one of them, Jim Miller who to be dean, became dean for a while I can't remember the others, Dean Hoke Dean Corey, Bill who's now deceased () Guy, Lambert. Those are the ones I can think of now there were others too. We would go over there, maybe once a week in the evening. Usually Mr. Bryan wasn't there; it was Charlie Duke who was there. talk and chat around. But it got to be known that we met over there and we were very close to Mr. Bryan and so we got to be called the Kitchen Cabinet. It was generally known that there was a Kitchen Cabinet. Now this was very ridiculous because we didn't run the college duling mos miss Timgs with Dukon at all.) We may have talked about things at the college A and we may have talked about certain things that needed to be done or something of this

29 Kindo But group, it was a social group, that's all. It did not, I suppose you THUS PSOPIE WERE might say it was the favorites of Mr. Bryan's yes, maybe. But anyhow, that Kitchen Cabinet became a very scandalous thing thing in a way. It was talked about among the people who were not in the Kitchen with facility Cabinet. It caused the dicotomy I'm sure. While we were not too oonscious of itabut looking back on it now. When, well the College prospered in many ways during Mr. Bryan's day although the commonwealth Mr. Bryan was not the kind of person to go up there when the General Assembly met and work out hard to get money. That's what most presidents have had to do. Neither Mr. Bryan or Mr. Pomfret enjoyed doing this; Mr. Bryan wouldn't do it. He had friends up there who helped him, I'm sure. Emily: Mharlie Duke Jones: Charlie Duke. Charlie Duke was a politician there is no question

Jones: Charlie Duke. Charlie Duke was a politician there is no question about that. He was definitely so. We got our share at that time. It was a bad time really 34 just moving out of the depression. Well

about and can probably place it. One of the things that often happened,

Mr. Bryan he wasn't a bachelor but he was alone when he came down

here Mr. Bryan always liked to have friends around. So so he might arrive here call you up and say, come on over for dinner. You and Helen come over here for dinner. We would go over and maybe there would be two or three other couples that would be there from the faculty. I remember associately sometimes on weekends in particular he would call up and say, come on over here for breakfast with me. I don't like to have breakfast by myself, come on over here. So Helen and I would go over. We lived close. He was always mice in giving some faculty in particular I don't know how many he had gifts at Christmas.

Every Christmas morning A used to remember somebody, one of the boys from the President's House would arrive with a basket or package of wine, Portuguese wine or something like this very nice. And I guess he did this for a lot of people; I didn't know how many. They did alot low of things for the colored emphasyees for the college, too. It was always a known that the, we had a bellringer, Henry Bellups, had been here for years and years, wonderful person, wonderful old gentleman.

Well, Henry and his were always told that they could come over to the President's House on Christmas Eve and Christmas morning and go down into this little basement place from Graves has sot it all fixed up.

Emily: The playroom?

Jones: Notit wasn't even that. Then it was just a hole in the ground.

And they had a bowl of eggnog down there for them and that sort of sold to be It was this sort of thing that got, the ford of the Manner, 5007 37 you see. Well, I just can't remember whether it was 38,39 or whether it was as late as 40, it may have been as late as 40. I'd better mention my own personal incident there. I was working on the 18th eighteenth century folk literature, most of this was known as chap books. were little folk books that were sold by itenerant pedlers. were two big collections of these: One is in Harvard Library and the other is at the British Museum. I had wanted to I was working on my doctoral thesis and I had wanted to go to England to work with I had already done most of the work on Harvard. So I wanted to go to England ? and I wanted to do it for a year but I couldn't afford it then. So I mentioned to Mr. Bryan that I'd like to work at the British Museum. What did Mr. Bryan do but go∧after the Carnegie Foundation, I think

it was the Carnegie Foundation, somebody he knew AI guess) @ He said he had

on the faculty who wanted to do some work on this kind of thing. [a man] couldn't they give him a fellowship. And of course they did. Mr. Bryan got me this wonderful fellowship to enableHelen and my son mote quite(six, years old) to go to England to work for a year, So all this is extremely greatful to Mr. Bryan for getting me that. Well, what happened of course was that was the year the war broke out. September of 1939 war was declared and I couldn't go This was the year I was going to have my fellowship. I couldn't go, So I talked to Mr. Bryan and he said Why don't you go up to Harvard and do the best you can and if it gets so you can go, of course you can. Of course it didn't get over. And so we did spend a year in Cambridge. Welliso much for that. That is just personal, nothing to do with the ¢ollege^except that it indicates something of the considerations 🕰 Mr. Bryan trying to give to the members of the faculty. It was somewhere in there, it must have been after 39 somewhere in there that I'm going to say this because this is the how it happened. Another thing about Mr. Bryan, I hope everybody who talks about him and knew him - -Lambert, Fowler and so on will point out that Mr. Bryan was a strong Southern Virginia gentleman. He loved Virginia and loved its traditions and its history, And he was very proud. One of the reasons I think he came to this College as President was that he thought William and Mary was the old college and that it had for many years been the center of Virginia cultural and Virginia political life in Williamsburg. Somehow or other this was one of the things that he so loved and was so proud of. So Mr. Bryan when he came was one of the things he was very proud of was the history of the College of William and Mary. He was very proud of this, maybe moreso than he

1.3

your pride in something can carry you away into things, you know what I mean? I mean you sometimes do things because of your pride in something, for something. It may not be the best for that particular institution or whatever it may be Mr. Bryan was anything, any way through any dispersion of the

-College of William Cand Mary caused Mr. Bryan to flare up and be very aspersions upset. Nobody, no institute, no committee can say throw any dispersions on this college, you see. The first I knew about it and I don't know of the if it was the first, I think it was that anybody in the group, the faculty one evening Mr. Bryan invited a group of us to dinner, and it was a or to fresidents in or soc very wonderful dinner, I'm not sure I can tell you who was there and I don't know if I need to but there were members of the faculty and the there were also close friends of Mr. Bryan's. Helen and I were there. Charles Harrison who is no longer here who is now at Sewance and retired there, wonderful man in English, he was there, he and his wife. Vsnd for a to an organic I think there must have been six couples, maybe twelve, A During the course of the dinner Mr. Bryan said to somebody on his left or right, he said, Do you know what a Northern body of some sort, American Association of Colleges, Universities, you know what they have done to this college? They have put us on the black list. Can you imagine a Morthern group like that doing that to this ancient college, you see We looked at Mr. Bryan and I remember somebody said, Mr. Bryan, what did you say? And Mr. Bryan repeated it. And it was obvious that Mr. Bryan did not realize the importance of this act. We didn't know / But I don't think he ever realized how important it was to an academic institution to be

put on what was known as the disapproved or black list or whatever it was, we didn't know why! Later it came out. It seems that Mr. Bryan had just received a letter. Now in those days, you didn't have the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools or the New England Association or the whereever it is, the Middlewest or the far west, divided up into various areas, it got so big that it had to be in In those days there was one accrediting associaton and that was association I think the Association of American colleges and Universities. Sometimes that's broken up, too into national, American Association of the Groverman Colleges and American Association of Universities, But they not 800100H the accrediting, the local bodies like we're accredited by the Southern with signer orso ago Sociation of Colleges and Schools. And that's why the college has to go through the ten year self-study. We did it back ten years ago and you have to do it every ten years in order to keep your accreditation What we four's Okay, this was the national association. What happened, it which was at four The wasn't the College in Williamsburg it was our branch college in Norfolk ! That had been, finally we had been, since we were responsible m The Nortolk brough for it, the branch college. It had a very very poor, almost impossible UP North For whatever reason, people don't know what the library situation. reasons are; All they know is that the College of William and Maryf is not on the credited list of Institutions And you know, that's pretty serious and we all knew this was terribly serious/

Emily: Dr. Bryan didn't realize it?

Jones: I think he gradually came to joh yes he came to it but at first he didn't. That's what I mean. That's why I was telling you about his pride in the institution that he felt that no group or body like that

(M)

blacklist

like that should ever this is the College of William and Mary, couldn't do it, couldn't do it. Your feelings about these things sometimes cause you to over fail to see the importance of some things. It wasn't e wouldn't be expedication his fault. Λ Mr. Bryan wasn't an academic man. He had not been associated with, except on Boards and things he had not been closely associated with the academic phases of the College. He left that to others rightly 30 / Well, there we were. We got into the war in 1941, Mr. Bryan s President and of course things got, more and more mixed into it even though we weren't actually in the warend more and more preparation we - Crid de alared could see it comingand finally during the war the college, like every other college, was seriously hurt by this because it lost so many of its men. Many of the boys who were in college would have to go and serve. They were the right age and everything else. So the college as you know ? gradually came to be a college of wmen, some men that were either too young or were handicapped in one way or another were not well or wable to do es service. There's a respectable name for them but I can't remember it. \ We had a lot of those. Gradually as the war went along Mr. Bryan T'm sure came to feel that he could not cope with the war situation at the college and that her this lack of accreditation was enough hanging over him and he came to realize how important it was that something had to be done. All these things I think came to the not sure what else may have entered into it I really don't know whether Mr. Bryan was not well, as far as I know he was then. But whatever it was, Mr. Bryan resigned as President. And I think it was simply that he did not feel he could cope with all the conditions

the war was bringing as well as being a need to bring us back to the

and a reaccedited a of Colleges,

Emily: Was this a surprise to the faculty when he resigned?

Jones: You know, I can't remember. 42 Just after the winter of 41 Mr Bryan;

I think; left sometimes he stayed on until the new president was elected.

But I think it was September or maybe, before that. Mr. Duke may have served sort of as acting president.

Emily: Now the college was faced with finding a new Fresident.

Jones: Mr. Bryan was then made thangelor. I was trying to remember-I think
that the man in Norfolk seem thangelor. Colgate Dardon from 46 to
47 just a year before he became president of the University of Virginia,
he was governor and then in 46 or 47 he was changelor. Bryan was
changelor from 42 to 44 from the time he resigned and retired until
he died. So that was it. Now we were really distressed, terribly
distressed about Mr. Bryan. Many of us loved him a delightful delightful man, he really was. He could talk about anything he could make
some wonderful speeches. He was widely cultured. How deep the culture
was one never knew, but he was widely cultured. He would meet you on
the campus, merning early morning sometimes and he'd startle you,
maybe speaking in French. Or one morning he met me and he said, Mel,

(it was early in the morning, it was cold Mel, what are the first lines
of Sampson Agracias?

I knew the first lines of Sampson Agaistics

them to save my born days, And so he quoted them for me. I'm just giving you an illustration of the kind of person he was. The colderation who we've got to be the question, who we've got to get a new president. Who is it going to be and so on. Well, in those days committees weren't appointed to select a president. The board did this. The faculty had very little to do with

it. The faculty could play that they didbut they really didn't.

When he was

, shorp division

The toouty)

As I remember, when Mr. Pomfret was elected we had almost mothing to do with the elections of It was a fight between certain members of the But one that we were very concerned about it naturally the faculty and one of the things that concerned us so very much was that there was some reason to believe, there was a certain man whom none of [and he] have been Teasonso This Board was strongly political.

Judy Strong Was Spoard, this was a hourd in the strong of the strong us on the faculty a few of us, had much respect for might be elected of the Board should have served and more than any board members hould have served. Maybe Shewmake was not the rector of the board at the time at the time. He couldn't have been; It must have been somebody Bohamon or someone elses else,

Emily: We can look it up.

I don't remember. This went ongo We heard rumors and rumblings Jones: about it off and on. I don't know, I dan't remember it the faculty was ever consulted or not at that time. I suspect it probably was, at But I never heard least in a superficial way. There wasn't anything about a faculty committee going to the Board for Mr. Pomfret. Finally it was announced that a man by the name of John Pomfret, who was at the time dean or something of the graduate school at Vanderbilt had been elected Fresident of the College. Now this was unusual in many ways: He was not a Virginian; He was not an alumnus; He, as far as it was known, had no connection ever with this college. And the big question was where and how did it happen that John Pomfret would be elected. He

was from Princeton, New Jersey background Just, by the way published Lord recently a book, just is about to publish is appart of the series for the bicentennial this on the history of New Jersey). It came out finally that one of the reasons that this happened was that a certain man connected with the Richmond newspapers who was somewhat influencial man in the Richmond newspaper Mr. Bryan knew him well, you see and many of the board —

and people on the Board and he had some influence because his sister was Mr. Pomfret's wife.

Emily: I think her name is Wise.

Jones: Wise, that's it. That's the man's name. So he may have at least recommended to the board Mr. Pomfret. He was elected President and we all thought very highly of Mr. Pomfret, many of us did. Mr. Pomfret was an academic man, for one thing. He had a doctorate, he was a dean of graduate work and had written some things. He was an academic person and a historian to boot. So we all, I think felt generally quite pleased about this election. But there were some things we did not know / That the Board of Visitors was divided on this election and that some of the Board did nyot (definitely did not) want Mr. Pomfret. One of these people I think, I'm sure sure one of them was the later rector Judge Shewmake. I don't know why except that maybe they felt he was too much of an academician and not of enough of a politician. Maybe they thought he could not that they needed some more of an outgoing person I don't know But there was a very strong THE BOARD MELLY DEVIS Many of them, wanted this other gentleman whose mame

Most of us did not want him hereo

I can't think of. He was Virginian I think he was Fresddent of a Anyhow Mr. Pomfret came college somewhere at the time in Virginia. and for the first time we had a woman in the President's House, Mrs. PomfretSarah She was a lovely person, just lovely. And they had a son who was in Princeton at the time, young, his first year. And all we were quite pleased in all it was a great pleasure to have Mr. Pomfret here and of course he had the war to contend with and conditions. He used to say about his administration that it was a housekeeping in the administration. In other words it was, a matter of keeping house, keeping the place together during thewar. We lost all the lower student body and most of the women and alil this kind of thing. (And he was borrowing and lending to the service institutions all around. And we'd take up folding beds if we had them we'd lend them to the town and everything else,

which ware

on a Kings

It was that kind of thing. He tried to keep things going, finances were bad and so on, But we struggled through. Now during during that time, during the, I would start at 42 or 43 sometime, an Army (ASTP) unit was stationed here. Now this was supposed to be very good because we needed people.

We were fortunate in some ways of getting these, We had the Chaplain's school, the Navy Chaplain School. That was they had their headquarters in what is now James Blair Hall, what used to be called Marshall—Wythe Hall but is now James Blair. (That's where they had their headquarters and the then Dean of Men, Mr. Lambert joined the Mavy and just moved from his office downstairs upstairs to the second floor and became a sort of liaison between the college and this Naval Chaplain's School. He was a young lientenant in the Mavy. Have you got, that far with him?

Emily: No, I haven't talked to him yet.

Jones: Well, he'll tell you about this. He knows all about this chaplain's school and be very interesting. He was here all through the war. He was stationed up in and he was in uniform and they used march to march tomeals and this kind of thing. I don't know how many of them Wy me they had I guess at one time they must have had one hundred of them.

And they'd move out and a new bunch would come in or new people would come in. And they were here right till the end of the war. They were trained here and sort of chaplain Naval training you see here.

They had different training than the other people because they were going to be chaplains.

Emily: Did they take any courses with the students, would you have taught any?

Jones: Oh, no, I don't think they took any courses as I recall. They could on their own but no. I don't know how long the training period was; Lambert can tell you that. It was not too long. I think they would be here maybe for a couple of months three months or something like that and then off they were stationed in the Pacific or wherever it is all over the world. So they were trained here. They were young ministers most of them. They came in and got this waval training and in haval procedures and things like that you know that they got. They marched around to meals and what note at least that was one thing.

Then we had this other army group. They came in one summer, during the summer of it must have been 1943, it just must have been. It wanted to be able to tell you what they call that program. I have it

in my head that it was the letters heading it was ASTP but I cannot to save my life. I tried to think of it this morning what those letters stood for. But I have that in my head ASAP? but it just doesn't make sense because what could T be?

Emily: Training

Jones: Army something Training Program,

Emily: Specialized

the Army and who had seither had had some college or wanted and they
had the intelligence to take college work in they were given tests
and they were picked out. A lot of colleges had units of these. We
probably had as many as I suppose five hundred of them here. I don't
remember now, but there were an awful lot of them here. They used to
march around the campus and it was military all over the place every
time you turned around. They used to march to class. They had their classes
but in groups, you see, maybe 300 of them would go to class and they'd

but in groups, you see, maybe 300 of them would go to class and they'd march in all at once and 300 going somewhere else another course, maybe chemistry and 300 to history or something

30 sping somewhere else, another course, maybe chemistry and 30 to history or something. Then they'd switch around. Well, I'm telling you it was a very very unfortunate very serious period. I used to see these-boys, nice-looking kids. Anyhow they were here for, I can't remember, six months, seven months, maybe. I know they were taken out during the year, during a session, While the other people were going to college (girls. But we would place them in the dormitories and all around if we had to I got very attached to some of these boys. Many of them were quite nice. This was a fine program. They were being trained as officers, no question about it. And they were permitted to go to college classes and what was going to be done with them I never did know, whether they were noting to be moving and new ones come in I suppose, but the same group stayed until they were taken never forget the first class I went to in the Wren Building I taught E had that summer, I had three or four a day, I them English you see. think, And they'd fill that room. That was the first room on the right, Roomo MISMOY I ON the big room, the Half Leslie Hall, there was a tablet on the wall, what is now the grammar school, they fixed up. They had filled that room, just jammed. You'd come in there and there they were, just about 40 or 50 of them. It was a hard teching, awfully difficult teaching because there were too many in class and there wasn't any good room; any how and acoustics was very bad in there. Well, we struggled through the summer and after that summer session was over I was exhausted. But they were here until end of the fall and I'm sure it must have been pretty late in the fall. With the Naval Chaplains and this Army group

They were paying us for all this.

Emily: How did the girls who were here react?

we were doing pretty well, We were getting revenue from both.

We were feeding them, educating them we were doing something to them.

Condusard

Jones: I can't remember. I guess it was fine for the girls, it must have been, we wouldn't have had them otherwise. The Chaplains seemed to me that they were much more to themselves, I think. I don't remember them being... But one man who came with the Army, one of the officers, was Dr. Richard Brooks, who was until this year pean of the School of Education. I've god Dr. Brooks from Longwood College here some six, seven, eight years ago as pean, but he had been here before. He was at that time he was the officer who was known as the personnel officer. He was supposed watch, their grades. He met with our faculty Brooks didwhile he was here he was a member of the faculty he came to the faculty meetings and all things pertaining to the Army group Dr.

Brpoks he looked after and he watched their grades and all this sort of thing. He was personnel advisor, counselor. After the war Dr.

Che Still had a reached the doctor of the war Dr.

Brooks he came back here. He came here in psychology and counselling and was here until until he went to Longwood. I don't remember when it was. 1947 he came after the war and was here, I really don't know how Loolege Catalogy and the sold say four or five years. I'd say in the 50s somewhere he went to Longwood College.

During that time he completed his doctorate and was pean of Longwood Collegebut came back here in 1967 as Dean of the School of Education and retirement. He was the first of that group. Anyhow whatever happened,

Emily: The next day they had to leave or something.

they pulled them out ? Pulled them out all at once

Jones: We heard, I don't know. When did the Battle of the Bulge occur, in 1944?

The Battle of the Bulge was in the winter of 44. When did we invade

France?

Emily: June of 44.

Jones: All right then, this was after that

Emily: Six months later.

And it was in the winter and these boys were all pulled out of here and we understood they were all sent home. Many of them were killed. It was terribly tragic because the were so many of them we liked so many of them. Anyhow so much for that. So when the war came to an end the Chaplain 's gradually got back to normal \$chool ended and of course Then was the period of the great influx of the service people. We had a lot of them come here, come to the College. Many of them, some of them had been a year before they had to leave freshman and they came back again on the Gate bill. We had a lot of them who had never been to College at all. Now this was a very wonderful experience for us, the faculty. At least I thought it was because for the first time we had a group of people who were older, and they knew what they wanted: They wanted an education. They would grim about this education and they were serious about it. Nothing would they allow to get in their way of this education. They had a chance, and they were going to take it. They They might not have ever had it. We had a lot of these boys, and I remember them very well because sometimes they would get very discouraged. They'd come to you and tell their problems - I can't make it, I can't make it, They would be frustrated, the Hord left them and in this kind of situation, they were confused anyhow. The return to

civilian life and then plunging into the college here - - every where else too-

it was difficult. There are two or three of them still in Williamsburg. One boy I remember very well who teaches at the high school here. I haven't seen him for a while & But I meet him on the street once in a while. He was one of the people who had a very bad time for awhile while I think he came to me and said, I have to quit, I have to quit. I can't make it, I can't make it. And I said, Oh, yes, you can, yes you can. Stick at it. Keep right with it. If you're not understanding come in here, and we'll talk about it. Well, we pulled him through and here he is teaching. He had an unhappy life. He was married and his marriage didn't work out very well and that was one of the problems, the marriage didn't work out very well Some of them were married, some of them weren't. It was really a wonderful experience to have these people, older than most of the college students that have certainly much more mature. They were serious about education? And it was wonderful to teach them. That started around $^{\prime}48_{3}^{\prime}47$ and even as early as $^{\prime}46_{5}$ but certain $^{\prime}47_{5}^{\prime}$ and went on until A or 5years we kept having these GL's. It was quite a period, a wonderful period for the college. Well that brings us up to, this comes pretty close to the end of Mr. Pomfret's administration. Now one thing that tell you? d did not say and it slipped my mind. As you would expect and this I think was what Mr. Bryan may have somebody had to do something of the American Association right away to get the college back on the accredited list, And of course,

Mr. Pomfret realized the seriousness of this situation. You know what it meant, all of thisit means two things. First of all it meant that

something,

faculty people were not counted? Whatever reason, it wasn't the sort of place they didn't want to be. Maybe if they looked into it carefully and found out what the reasons were they might but other than that, no. It was very difficult. And second, same with the students. Many of your good students were finding out that this was not accredited.

We have a reference book of accredited schools when I was in the dean's office and some people would be looking that up all the time if we a college were college accredited and by whom. Many of them werent so Mr.

Pomfret was the man who knew how serious this was. He set himself, the first one of the big pig job was to get this college back on the accredited with the committees and so on. We made our report, big report put together and made it to the association and finally we improved the conditions that brought it about in Norfolk to meet

the acreditation requirements. A committee came here and spent some time and went back and recommended that we now get back on acredited. It took us I've forgotten. It must have been two years before we got back and that was during the war, about two years before we got back on the acredited list. Now the other thing that happened in Mr. Bryan's administration that I guess I should mention is the matter of the law school. Mr. Bryan, among other people that he brought here, he brought a man by the name of Cox the adore Cox as bean, we didn't have a law school really. It was a department of jurisprudence in the Marshall—Wythe School of Citizenship or something like thesi. So Cox came here the Un received of think it was. He was quite a person. He wanted to

to see how they converged no Phi Bet a Kappa Hall. That's where the Board meeting was.

Emily: Were there a lot of them?

Jones: There were quite a number of them. They were supposed to be alumnious schools and what did the Board do with this influence?

with this influence? The Board reversed itself and within a week had put the lawschool back on good standing. Mr. Cox and all these people.

Emily: What about Mr. Bryan?

Jones: Well, I don't know. I think Mr. Bryan felt that the lawschool was—

I think he felt that the lawschool might as well go, too; But he finally changed his mind. He was forced to change his mind, and the Board changed its mind and it put the lawschool back. It's been back everyince. Now we'll come to a time when it actually became a school again if you want to, in 1954. That's when they really revived it and it was taken out of the ditizenship school and brought independent and ever since it has been pretty sound. That's an interesting development which I'll have to tell you about later. But one other thing, most of us', I don't think, dever struck too much about the lawschool maybe it was always the department of jurisprudence, It was a weak

development which I'll have to tell you about later. But one other thing, most of us, I don't think, hever struck too much about the lawschool maybe it was always the department of jurisprudence, It was a weak lawschool, and had very small faculty. It couldn't compete with the larger lawschools and therefore because of that and because the commonwealth of Virginia was going to put money into lawschools it, better put it into established ones, at university of Virginia in particular.

That was the lawschool that was well-known. And there was also the lawschool at Washington and Lee, a rather old one. Not as old as ours, but rather old. Then there was also one at the University of Richmond. There was a T. C. Williams school of law there. So there were three lawschool of law there.

SOJOHIS 15 d

why continue a weak, and low sector!?

Another reason that really until now, until recently in the last ten years has survived is because of its historical nature and its tradition. The claim that it is the first lawschool in the country may be a little doubtful but there isn't anybody, that can contest that. That was tried back sometime back and they failed at it. It was said that there was a man in the North who had a kind of little lawschool in his office or something and this must have been the first. It was a year or two before this one was established with Wythe as the first professor of law in the country. Well, I think that's been pretty well dispelled now and I think it's pretty well recognized that William and Mary had the first professor of law in the country and that was George Wythe.

January 7, 1975

Jones: FranteRithe coming of Coach Royles & Athletics at this college

had been minor. We were playing relatively small schools. I remember

we played Bridgewater every year. You know Bridgewater College,

part of the state-I don't know if it's still there I guess it is but things like that.

We used to over there at that field where it is now was this wooden some bunch of seats and I think they had lights and used to play games at we didn't come night of all things. But you know that stadium, that stadium came after I came here. It was built during the perfession. The stadium was

built, and this may have been one of our problems, this may have been _ a manufacture to the control of the The stadium was built, you know by, W. P. A. Elko These were the people who didn't have jobs and who were employed by the government. Here, for instance, They did a lot of fine things. They cleaned out our college woods and put paths in it, and we had, places to walk, and there were bridges over the little streams and all this kind of thing. They did that? and they practically built that stadium. J. A. C. Chandler got some of that started. The design of it wasn't very goodand I think, pretty in that things awful in many ways -- But a lot of wasted space, But nevertheless //that's how it got built. During Mr. Bryan's day and I can't quite remember the date, it could have been 1936 or 7, somewhere around in there (maybe you'll run across this in your reading when Carl Woyles came 35 00000

Emily: 1939.

Jones: 39? Was it that late? I didn't think it was quite that late.

Up to that time as I say, we played football and basketball. Basket-ball I think we played better teams than we did in football as I really remember. Nobody was fussing about athletics. It was not big-time.

I don't remember if they gave very many scholarships. It was always a feeling here that athletes. I was shocked to find that sometimes after.

we were expected to do things for athletes **and Faculty:** One particular college official here at the time was quite dishonest about this and his dishonesty showed up later when he when be finally had to be removed from the Norfolk pivision. He was sent to the Norfolk pivision as director of our Norfolk pivision, and there was some dishonesty there, too. So he had to be removed. I'm not going to give you the names but you may run across it. His sons one of his sons is right here now on the faculty. He was in the Army, retired and now is director of rooming and housing. But he was the PMS here for a year or two professor of Military Science and he retired from the Army and just stayed because I guess maybe he was born here his father was here. His mother, I don't know if his mother is still living. If she is she is a nursing home. But anyhow, we 💝 Whether I should I'll never forget one incident and I don't know if you went to put this in but there's one incident that that shocked me terribly long afterwards. People were, in a way, expected to give athletes better grades.

Emily: This was special treatment in the 40's talking about?

Jones: No, no T'm talking about something much earlier than that, when I first came here. I was just said as far as I know athletics was

never any great shakes here. But there was always the feeling somehow

and I got this earlies that athletes were given special treatment. never forget for one the first class I met, which was a big survey course lecture course a certain football player who was a sophomore at the time sat in the very first row I remember. And later on he came to be a member of the Board of Visitors at this college. He's dead now. We used to give monthly grades at that time and the first month, at the end of the first month, I gave a quiz or something along in there and I flunked this fellow right badly. He met me in the hall after this. He was a great big man he met me in the hall and wanted to know if I knew who he was. And I said Yes, I know who you are. You play football \I know Well, I'm just not used to being treated like that. I'm not used to being flunked. I said, I'm sorry but you flunked and that's it unless you can improve. Well, he finally did it: He got to work and improved his work. He came out pretty well before everything was over, But he expected me, and there must have been some kind of feeling through the faculty but I'll skip that and come back to it.

In 1939 Mr. Bryan brought Carl Voyles here. Indon't know how Mr.

Bryan got Mr. Voyles. He was apparently & pretty well-known then in football circuits circles.

He was a nice enough person to meet stocky dark sort of, I would say kind of sinister-looking man, but nevertheless quite pleasant in many ways, and he tried, I think, to get along with the faculty. I think he did. Well, Mr. Bryan seemed to think that this was going to be one of the great things for the college. I'll never forget when he introduced Mr. Voyles to us to the faculty generally in the Dodge goom of the Apollo Room, and I think this was in the Dodge Room.

The Dodge Room, he introduced us to Mr. Voyles, made the speech about him in Mr. Bryan's inimitable way and Mr. Voyles answered it very nicely. A hoped a could get along with everybody and that football-the athletics will improve and so on. Well, it did, of course.

Mr. Voyles built a football teams

where he got the money I never did knowlif it was entirely alumni money, it must have been. Mr. Bryan was giving some. And he brought a lot of really good athletes here to play football. Gradually we got into a bigger bigger group of teams to play. Billy Gooch was director of athletics at the time. Billy came the year after I did.

He was from he came down here from the University of Virginia. He was director of athletics, and he had a relationship with the Navy, and so we got onto the Navy schedule. Then gradually onto other bigger teams and so on and Voyles then came to really develop a really kind of football team like you have in most of these big institutions. They have a separate training table and they have Voyles demanded this and demanded that and so on.

Emily: Mr. Bryan wanted this type of program, is that why he brought in Voyles?

Jones: Well, I think he must have. Well, I think it got out of hand. I don't think Mr. Bryan ever thought it was going to get where it did.

But I think he must have. And I think maybe the Board helped push it some, And I think alumni, I don't think Mr. Bryan cared

too much about athletics as such, But I think he was being pressed pretty heavily by summand so or to develop our football it would be good for us and so forth.

Well, this certainly was the beginning of what might be Voyle's

Rensey was an all-American;

error because we had an All-American; first team all-American during

that period. You don't get that unless you have -- Inless you're

paying these bys.

We started bringing them in and giving them the ition. Nobody quite knew it was all subrosa then,

But we suspected things and so on and then after Mr. Bryan retired, he floy less left

weren't any men. He went off to coath Auburn for der re were from there with a professional team w Causas. Then he went to Canada and so on. I don't know whether Voyles is still where he is a living, don't have any idea, He was succeeded by his assistant, Rube Rube McCrey was one of the nicest people you'd want to know. SOHUES He was a great big giant of a man with a hand on you, my golly he might crush you, with a lovely lovely wife, jst as sweet and nice as she could be, and a nice family. We knew them well because they lived directly behind us in one of the college houses while we were in the college houses for a while. So we knew them quite well that way and we always liked them. But Rube McCrey was involved with Voyles idea and he wanted this college to have a top football team and he wanted far with it to get as forward as he could. The further he went shall I say the more autocratic he became McCray) At home, no. Around his neighbors, no. But with the football players and so on he was very autocratic, treated them like they were slaves of his. You do what I tell you that sort of attitude. That's the way these chaches, slot of the big conches do.

went to that in the earlier days. I know it's a big one, one of the bigger ones but in those days it wasn't so big. It was in Florida, I think I can't remember the name of the thing. It's still going on They had one in December. Anyway, we went to a Bowl and I think we won it.

During McCrey's era I was on the athletic committee, I think I was on think I was chairman. So on one trip we went the football team went to Houston, Texas and they invited me to go along. Quite a number of other people went along, alumnus and alumni, some of trem in team.

[Phone 1 195.]

Well, McCrey then succeeded Voyles and went along in the same way.

with this?

So McCray went right on and he developed a winning ball team with we were

thing; and it became obvious We were just developing the same, wayand we had a lot more; we had pranious kinds of ocaches, you know coaches, We didn't have one or two coaches, we had, one coach at one time. Now we had three or four assistant coaches and so forth, the usual kind of organization, developed. McCrey, as I say, would have never McCrey, I always thought was a nice person. Some of us \including Kernodel he's a professor here now and he and I wanted to It was my first airoplane flight as a matter of Houston with the team. fact. We went on a four-motor thing, we went all the way down there to Houston. We played Houston which was out of our class And even then they beat us fand beat us right badly. But we were playing things like University of Cincinnatin Cincinnati was not too much out of our the University of class but Houston certainly was. Navy of course and one time one time in there we had beaten Navy.

In fact we have beaten Navy more than once. We've beaten them two or three times. But anyhow it finally, we had a dean at the time who was " William to a dean at the

Nelson Marshall. This was Mr. Pomfret's ... Jim Miller I was trying to remember why Jim Miller left the deanship when he did. I think I know! Jim had to leave because he was ill. So Mr. Pomfret first made Mr. Sharvy Umbeck, dean. Now Sharvy Umbeck was another pice man, nice person but he was a sociologist, he was head of the department of sociology here at that time. At wasn't a big department. He was a very tennis player and he coached the tennis team. And it was during this Mr. Umbeck's deanship and so on that we developed the fine tennis team that we have that was nationally known. They won all their games and played everybody. Not long ago, I think it was just last fall that they brought the tennis/team back at homecoming, the old group of five or six or eight boys who played on this famous tennis team. Well, the appointment of Mr. Umbeck was one of Mr. Pomfret's I don't think Dr. Pomfret ever wanted Mr. Umbeck as dean. He recommended to the Board another man from the faculty who was a mathematician here and his name slipped me now ...

Emily: Phaeland

mendation down. Now this is unheard of. When the President makes a recommendation a man for dean of the college at that time it was dean of the college, we didn't have any other administrative except Dean of the College and the board turns him down and elects somebody else it is almost an insult to the President. This was the first indication of perhaps that Dr. Pomfret trouble was aboard. He might very well have resigned then and maybe should have. I'm not saying whether he should have or not but I mean normally that would have been

resignation reason, you see. Well, we lost some good people on the faculty at that time, one perbousery good man who left because of disappointment over the deanship approximation.

You might say why? Well, it was the feeling that Mr. Umbeck was not the academic kind of person that many of us wanted, you see? That's was the reason. That which chief reason. Later of course, as you may known. Umbeck left here, not long after that left here. He wasn't dean very long and went to become president of Knowns College in Michigan, and developed Knowns College to a really, very fine place before he died. He died about three years ago, two or three years ago. He was president of Knowns and really became quite a distinguished president in many ways, probably for different reasons. Umbeck was a developer and expander and that is one reason why he was able; he got funds.

Known College is a private school and developed greatly under him.

and he got quite a lot of money quite a lot of money

DEGN.

Then Mr. Umbeck resigned because he was going to take the residency of at Knocks College. So I don't think Sharvy Umbeck was dean for more than you can look this up and find out but I don't think it was more than a year or two at the most, two years certainly. All right, the deanship became vacant and this was now about 1948, 49 and who was

Mr. Pomfret going to appoint as dean. He surprised us all by going over to the parine science. At that time we had a department of Marine science. It was state department really, it was state agency but it had started at this college in a small way and then moved over to Yorktown on the other side of the York River Cloucester I should say.

And it was a rather small operation operation. But neverthe less it those parents are the parents of the Institute of Marine Science. I don't think it was called the Institute --I think that came later with think it was called the Institute --I think that came later with the present the state of the state of the state of the later with think it was called the Institute --I think that came later with the present the state of the stat

I think that Nelson Marshall, I think it was called simply the State Department of Marine Science or something with some academic relationship to this college, of course.

Emily: So he wasn't even involved with the faculty here, Jones: Yes, that's right. He was a member of the faculty here only because he was teaching and he had a few students there. It was a very small operation at that time and so on. This became much more important Dr. Ponfreto later. And he brought Nelson Marshall over here as Dean. And he was a marine scientist, a biologist. Well, nobedy knew him nobody knew Nelson Marshall. Nelson was a serious man. Nelson was very serious - took his job seriously and gradually became generally respected of 64 the faculty as he had academic standards, very high academic standards. So one of the things he watched apparently, was keeping his eye on was this athletic situation. And one day I got to know Nelson Marshall They, lived in Yorktown and they kept their pretty wellî I think. residence in a little down in Yorktown in a little house just off the side, big Nelson house down there then owned by Mrs. Blow One day and hear Lambert can the details more than I can o more than I came I think it was Lambert who ran across, as registrar, he was registrar and maybe also pean of Men, I don't think he was pean of \$tudents yet I think he was registrar and Dean of Men^but I may be He had two jobs But as registrar he ran across some records of some entering students, some strange curious things in these recor ds. It looked to him as though there had been changes in grades on these records. So he reported it to Nelson Marshall. Now I say Lambert can d stants collaborate this. Some of these things are things somebody else knew knew more about than I did.

Emily: I'll ask him about it.

And Nelson Marshall took this very seriously and went to Dr. Pomfret before he did with it was Why do anything else, apparently. And Dr. Pomfret asked him if he would go ahead and investigate further, which he did. And in the he made a careful investigation in the department of athletics secretaries, some of the assistants and finally he found that there had been actual deliberate changes of grades going in, not only among high school and people applying for entrance (their grades weren't good enough, they gould change the grades to get them in, you see but this was true not only with football but it was also true with basketball. A man named Wilson was the basketball coach. All right. Once this became clear then Nelson Marshall was determined, I mean absolutely determined to do something about it. Now another dean could have hushed this all up. We might say that Nelson Marshall was a crusader in some ways and this I think was crusader tag stayed with him after he left, him, unfortunately. I had a lot of respect for him and certainly a lot of respect for his honesty in this thing, this whole thing. We all did, many of us did, I should say, Not all of us, but many of us. But he was determined that this was ging to be rooted out, and it was going to be we were going to get rid of this So he reported it, of course, the whole story to Dr. Pomfret. Well, Dr. Pomfret recognized this is a very explosive thing terribly explosive. It was the kind of thingthat you had to call really for the resignation of the coaches th e peoplewho were mostly involved. McCrey at that time I believe had become not only athletic football coach, but he was also director of athletics, this two-r-ore which is always boo, a fand wilson? them

So Dr. Pomfret called McCrey, and sit with him and faced print with this evidence Mecroy denied it, of course haturally denied they'd done it, but -

over thereo It became obvious that something rotten was going on Now here's the part that I think you're going to get different opinions one showing Mr. Bryan (I think if I knew Mr. Bryan would not have tolerated this. Mr. Bryan, wace he found out would have called Mr. McCrey ing and he would have asked for his resignation right then and there. He wouldn't have waited until the football season was over or something else, to call him in right then that afternoon and soid, "I'll have to have your resignation unfortunately o No matter how much I like you, I'con't help your He did that with a man, a person in the Norfolk Division. Once he found out that there was something going on there that was not honest 🥎 he asked for this man's resignation and he got it. Well, he was right. Mou can't temporize with that you see with that sort of thing, you can't do it. But I think Dry Pomfret wasn't sure if the Board would go along with hinHe was uncertain about that Board. And the Board did treat him very badly. I thought the Board treated the Mr. Pomfret very badly. How long it had been going on I don't know. But I do know know that when he recommended Harold Phagland, I know as Drown that was the first thing that came out of it, were the feeling about the Board and Mr. Pomfret and so on. And some of the people on the Board I think did not want Mr. Pomfret as President in the first place. one of them was the rector. Nevertheless this went on. So I think Dr. Pomfret hesitated about this In order to clear the matter -> he appointed a committee of the faculty to investigate the charges made

and Wilson and most particularly McCray. This faculty committee was to hear all the evidence and hear McCray and hear Wilson, hear their side of it and then make a recommendation to the resident. Well this committee was made up of I can't remember all of them. Dr. Morton, Dr. Jess Jackson was on it, I was on it, I think Dr. Guy but I'm not sure and I can't remember the other fifth. There were five of us. They'll have it in the records somewhere.

Emily: Yes, they'll have it in the records so don't worry about it.

Jones: All right. That committee never functioned really. We met as a committee I think we elected Jackson as chairman but I'm not quite sure about that. I don't remember now. But the committee never actually heard the charges for or heard the defense of McCray. The reason we didn't was that McCray and those people refused to appear before the committee and refused to have a hearing on that So this was in the spring and we sort of went on into June and the committee waiting, the Board now getting into the act, of course, the Board of Visitors, very much. And the newspapers not really getting the whole story. This was the problem, keei

keeping this out of the newspapers, you see. Finally we went through
June without any real action and finally on the 4th of July, it was on
the 4th of July Dr. Pomfret called a committee into his office, this
committee and he said, I have had a talk with McCrey and Wilson. They
have agreed to resign, if as McCrey as soon as the football season is
over (the coming football season) and Wilson as soon as the basketball
season is over but that at this time they would be unable to find other
jobs, it's too late. So in order to permit them to have time to
find other jobs wee? I will have a signed statement from eachone of them

^{*} See faculty or Board of Variotic militates for summer 1951.

that they will resign. I will have their resignations, that's it,

dated. That's the way it was. I will have in my hands their resignations,

signed to take effect so-and-so dates. What does the committee think?

The committee approve of this? Well, we had no time to discuss it.

He said I'm going to call McCrey in this afternoon and I'm going to

have him give me his resignation if the committee approves it. Well,

we knew, of course what this all meant. We knew that it meant keeping

this out of the papers; We knew it meant an easy way of easing out

of the situation. But we also knew it was the wrong way to do it

because we were temporizing with the situation and so was the resident.

For good reasons, you understand but neverthe less temporizing with

it. If it ever blew up, it would blow up badly. And there was a danger that

We have agreed to go ahead with this situation, much against our really

best judgments.

Emily: Were you the only ones he told?

Jones: Yes, the only ones he needed. He had appointed the committee, so he wantAd our advice, that's all.

He might have done it anyhow. He could have done without our approval or anything else but he felt, he was a man who always wanted to consult the faculty and was that sort of person. We pave him the go ahead so he called McCrey and Wilson in and got their resignations dated.

Lit was and But, uneasy quiet. We kept hearing rumors of somebody telling this story and telling the story somewhere else and somebody meeting somebody in Newport News, and out on a boat or on a ferry or something else. You know,

All these things kept cropping up and we would hear them. remember when it was, but it was in August I think that all of a sudden we heard that Mr. McCray had called a press conference at his house on Saturday or something a few days away. A press conference with And at that press conference he announced the press people his resignation that immediately take effect, Well, you see, what that did, The whole thing blew up. This had gone on and, kept quiet and Wilson tooo And that was when we realized what all of a sudden a terrible situation situation we were in. Now we get into the Board here, the hearings that went on, you can read them. I don't know if you have read them but you can. The Board treated Mr. Pomfret quite very gadly at that time. They heard McCray and they heard all the people. Emily: Why do you think that McCray went on and resigned affectively immediately, I never found anything to say why

Jones: I didn't know at the time wh_{J} .

January 9, 1975

- Jones: Then A suppose I simply assumed that McCray at the press conference resigned and Wilson did the same thing at the same time. This of course of got into the papers. There was a whole lot of it that was known by these by these newspaper people, more than we ever knew they knew about it.

 And the board then began having its meetings on this thing sometime I think in August, late August. They held a number of them in Richmond.

 Almost all of them were held in Richmond and I think up there Mr. Shewmake's offices are somewhere around, I don't know. I never did know where they had them. Have you ever read those things?
- Emily: Yes. Your committee, too, the one that Dr. Morton was chairman on Tr. Morton was trying to be admitted to some of these meetings, too, to hear the allogations.
- Jones: But we never attended a soard meeting, never attended a soard meeting.

 I don't think I'll say anything about my opinions about what went on,

 except that I know the soard treated President Pomfret badly.
- except that I know the Board treated President Pomfret badly.

 They were sort of laying

 Emily: Do you think it was their blame for him! That the purpose of the hearings was to blacken him? Do you think that's true, you don't know?
- Jones: I wouldn't want to say because I don't know. If I knew I would say, but I don't know. I do know that the kector of the board at no time in Mr. Pomfret's administration was in sympathy with him or particularly supported him. There was another thing that happened there that I don't know whether anybody has told you that I think it was important as the feeling of the board, particularly the kector. Did you run across a name and I cannot think of this man's mame to save my life. His wife is still living in Williamsburg right now. She's almost a neighbor of mine. She's a widow. This man is dead. But the Board of Visitors, particularly the kector of the board, sometime before this now (say two or three years before maybe and as much as four years before perhaps) appointed a certain

but now he was free to come down here. But sent him down here as put him in charge of all maintenance at the college. And also said that he would report directly to the Board of Visitors, not to the resident of the college. Now this was an indication, new looking back on it, what some of the board people thought of Mr. Pomfret, don't you see?

This is an intollerable situation to have a man in charge of maintenance at this college who was not responsible to the resident of the college, but responsible directs to the rector of the Board and the board.

I have always thought and I think a number of people also at the time thought that this man was appointed here as in charge of maintenance also to make reports back to what was going on to the Rector particularly.

Bemiss this was? The semily: I ran across his name in these hearings, and I wondered why he was at the hearings, and that explains it.

Jones: He was a very important man here at the time

Emily: And I couldn't figure out why.

Jones: We had a maintenance person, but he was pushed aside, and Mr. Bemasswas brought dun.

I don't know what Mr. Bemass did before he came here. I don't know. He

was a neighbor of the Mector and a friend, I know that. The reason I

wanted to get that in is that it will lead me up to something else k

in which Mr. Bemiss is very much involved.

I suppose he was at those hearings or at a good many of them. He I don't know what his role was there and I can't tell you because I don't know. But anyway, didn't you get the impression that Mr. Pomfret was questioned and really badly, badly treated at these board meetings?

That's the impression we had. I won't say any more about that. You can read the story there.

But, I was not part of that nor, was any faculty member here, part of that.

The only person who was not on the loard who was part of it

was Professor Oliver at the time. McCray, I suppose, I don't know whether

McCray was there or not. Was he? I don't remember. I doubt it. And

and

Mr. Bemass, from the loard, and the President, from the loard.

When this was all finished they finally ended up by appointing Mr.

Oliver as pirector of Athletics, temporarily. He served most of the next

year, however. Director of Athletics and that Made Billy Gooch

manager,

probably business, amature which he was until he died, or almost.

Now that brings us, It wasn't very long that comes to the end of August,

and we were getting close to the opening of college. The then dean was

Nelson Marshall remember. Nelson Marshall was very much under attack, however, at the time, and he called a faculty meeting. Now in the meantime, some of us (we were doing this in August) some of us on the faculty, not altogether on the faculty, the only other person, involved on this other work was Charles McCurdy, who was alumni secretary at the time. Jim

Fowler, and I think Jim Miller. (I'm not sure; I think Miller was involved in this.) We decided that something had to be done about this athletic situation. In other words, it had to be exposed as an example of what was going on not only here but in a lot of other places. And that the faculty of this college felt that the athletic situation was undermining the academic standards of this college badly, and it was probably doing it other places as well and that our role, what we had learned out of all this should be made known to the public, not only in this state, but if passible to the nation.

So we sat down and spent, I remember we did almost all the work in my office,

which was then was done up on the third floor of the Wren Building. I had my office up on the third floor of the Wren Building for a long, long time, and then I moved down to first floor for a while. That was before I got into administration. I was teaching English. We sat up there afternoon after afternoon and morning between sessions and wrote what was called the "Faculty Statement." Have you ever seen it?

Emily: Yes.

Jones: We wrote this statement, and we went over it and over it. What we wanted to do with it was to get it in shape and present copies of it and have an official copy of it and present copies of it to the IN STATISMATEL faculty at the first meeting and ask the faculty (individual members of the faculty to sign it. Once we got it signed we felt we had to get it approved by the faculty, voted, approved as a statement from the faculty of the College of William and Mary once we got it done # then we hoped to mail and telephone newspaper editors all over the eastern half of this country. We couldn't go clear over to the west, but we went as far west as Chicago, Cleveland, Buffalo, and places in the south, Atlanta and so forth, Pittsburgh, Washington, Boston, New York everywhere. We were mailing these out to them as well as calling them on the telephone. We had divided up the job. Four or five of us took the job, But we couldn't do anything until we got this approved by the faculty. I remember all this so well because it was at the time that my son was beginning his first year at prep school in Massachusetts at Mount Hermon School, and he had to be taken up there and we had to take him up.

He was only fifteen years old or something like this. And we had to take the him up and see that he got settled in and all this sort of thing. So the faculty we got this statement written. The faculty met for its first meeting. Now at the same time Nelson Marshall appeared before the faculty at this time, and as I remember this was when he turned in his resignation.

Emily: No, it wasn't until Chandler was elected, and he resigned.

Jones: I guess you're right. Things like that got mixed up.

Emily: Let me ask you one thing about the faculty statement. Did Dr. Pomfret know that you were writing it?

Jones: No, I don't think so. Pomfret didn't know anything about it,

Not until it came out, and by the time it came out and got really going
he had resigned. The events there were pretty quick. It was one thing
on top of another and very fast. The faculty met, and we presented this
to the faculty and asked that each member before he left that room come
down and sign it. We got the vote, and we had a unanimous vote except
for one or two people. And I don't know that there is any reason to
name those people, frankly. One of them, his family is living in
Williamsburg now, and I don't know that I would want to name, But it was
almost practically unanimous and then we asked that each one who had
(and that was practically every body)
voted favorally for it to come down and put his name on this long sheet.
And they did. They all lined up and signed it. Once we got it signed
we reproduced it, and I don't know how many copies were made if it. You've
seen, printed copy. I know there are printed copies around in the library.
Those were mailed. They had the things all ready to mail. All we had to

we needed to get them reproduced. Then the next we spent two or tolking to editors, three of us spent all day on the phone, telling them that we had mailed to him a statement about what we called the rootball scandal and what it was worthy of editorial comment, and was doing to the college. We felt that it was wordy. We hoped we would get editorial comment. Most of the editors were very favorable.

It was a hot subject

I remember taking this myself to Tennant Bryan in Richmond of the Richmond newspapers. I did Two of us went along haybe Fowler went along, I don't remember. We had lunch with the dditor, the then editor, Mr. Tennant Bryan who was then the owner because Mr. Bryan had died in between there and some other of the people at the Richmond newspapers. And we talked to them at lunch and told them all about what we were doing, gave them a copy, and they were very enthusiastic about it. Yes, they would editorial comment. Yes, and so forth and so on. I remember we did this one day, and the next day I had to go to take my son up. But this appeared in a lot of newspapers, We never did know how many fut we got a lot of editorial comment. This set the Board of Visitors on its ear, particularly the Kector. This was the sign of the faculty stepping outside of its role as the faculty in trying to take over the college and run the college when the faculty did not run the follege, the Board of Visitors did. This went on, the controversy there. In the meantime Dr. Pomfret took off to fee California. We thought he was going fjust to get a way, But he wasn't. He was going for something else: He had-already-been-interviewed was being interviewed for this job as director of the Huntington Library.

TIME

So he went to California, and he was gone, I think he was gone about a week, a period of about a week and in the meantime we were getting all this editorial communent and we had Tennant Bryan for us, Mr. Bryan called men T had told him that T felt; we had had two discussions about this Faculty making this statement and so on. Tennant Bryan felt somehow he got the feeling that the faculty had stepped out of its role that the faculty had gone too far. He was all in favor of what the faculty had done but that it had done it in the wrong way and should have done it through the Board of Visitors and all this kind of thing. We should not have taken the bull by the horns this way. I remember talking to him on the telephone about it I may want to cut this out later But I remember talking to him on the telephone about it and I said,"I'd like to talk to you, Tennant about this. And he said, Mel, the door is open any time you want to come up and discuss it with me. He said, ℓ' 1'11 be glad to discuss it with you. ' I never did go because 💺 things developed so fast there that it wasn't any point it was news, the next day. So Mr. Pomfret returned, I don't remember what day of the week it was, But I know it was, about a week, Mr. Pomfret returned, and when he teturned he immediately

Emily: How was it you found out about it? Did Mr. Pomfret announce it to the faculty?

early afternoon of the day of his return A And it was announced, late

afternoon sometime.

turned in his resignation to the Rector of the Board. It happened in the

Jones: No, It got into the newspapers. I think the Rector had let it lose.

Yes, he let it lose. He announced right away that the President of the College of William and Mary and so forth had turned in, had given me his resignation that afternoon, with out much explanation about where he was going or anything else. We knew later where he was going. So at five o'clock that afternoon (I remember this so well) I was called on the telephone by, of all people, Mr. Bemass and he said Mel, the Kector would like you to come up to Richmond for a meeting with him tonight. I said, well, what do you mean? "(His name was, Well, whee do you mean? What is this all about? Well, he wants to talk with some of but you people on the faculty, and he's asked me to bring you up. You, Dr . Guy, Dr. Fowler, not Morton, Morton wasn't there, somebody else. -Jim Miller He was it. He said, I'd like to bring you up. I'll pick you up at seven o'clock. "It took me so much by surprise I didn't even know what it was all about. I knew I did not want to go to see the Actor at that particular moment. I had no authority to talk to with the Rector. And that's the way the rest of is And it wasn't long after that I got telephone calls from the others. Did you get telephoned by Walker Bemass? I said yes. Well, I don't think we ought to go. This was the general feeling. "We are not representing the faculty. faculty has not given us any authority to represent them for the Kector. He knew the President resigned of course, just about the same time almost And the general feeling was that we had no business going up to see the Rector. But on the other hand, there was a general feeling also that maybe we ought to go in order to find out what keep our We were undecided hands in here whatever it is. Λ So we decided finally among us that we would

go, But that we would in no way give the impression that we represented the faculty and that we would requirest the Kector not in any way to give [word of] our meeting to the press. All right, we went up, and we met with him in his home. I've forgotten where it was A now. And we sat, I remember, outside on little fort of a patio, it was a nice evening early September. And he told us about, he said, the fresident has resigned, gentlemen Now there has to be somebody. He's resigned affective immediately. He said, "you have no president, so now I've called you here." We did, however, I might say, make it clear to him (and he promised) that he would in no way give any story to the press about our meeting, That this would be kept completely quiet that we met with him and all. He promised this. Well, I know there is a newspaper reporter rugning around here now, hee said He's been trying to get in touch with me, and I told him I have nothing to say. Then we asked him to please not say anything and somebody knew we were there, you see. He said, now gentlemen, now Bryan called you here to ask you to recommend to me who should be made acting President of the follege. He said, I have decided that it should be one of you people right here. He said, you people discuss it, and we will talk about it and we'll decide who it is." Well, we were really shocked, all of us. We made clear to him that no authority to do such a thing. He said, $^{\prime\prime}$ I'm going to let the faculty decide on who they want. I figured you people are representative of the faculty. That's why I've called you here." We told him we were not representative, and we did not represent the faculty in any way that we had been picked out, and had agreed to com e up there there but that we had not been elected in any way, shape, or form.

we could not take into our hands such authority as to recommend to him and to the board who should be the acting president of the college. All right, he said. This is the kind of man he is he said, all right, gentlemen. In that case, if you will not recommend to me who you would like to have for president, then I will pick the president and you may not like it. I'll pick him. And he said, it won't be one of you. None of us wanted acting president of the college. But then when he said that we decided we'd better discuss this with him. All right. The thing went around from one to the other. First Bill Guy. Dr. Guy was a very shy man, very wonderful person, wonderful man. I was very, very fond of him—every was.

But he was very quiet and very shy and a person in administrative work would have made him nervious and upset He just couldn't do it. We all knew that it wouldn't be good for him to try to even take it. Then we moved to Miller. Then we moved to Fowler, and then we moved to me, and so on. We all moved right around. We talked about it you should be it. Then somebody else. So finally we settled ... I think we settled on Jim Fowler. I'm not sure, but I think so. Then for a while it was to be Miller. Then we settled on Fowler. Fowler said # all right, he'd try he'd try But this was not to be known (this meeting of any way, shape or form, and it was to be understood that it would be an acting presidency. We left and went home. What do you think? When we got up the next morning and got the Times-Dispatch there was headlines saying that a committee of the faculty at the College of William and Mary had met with the Rector of the Board the evening before and had decided on an acting president for the College to replace Mr. Pomfret\who had just resigned. Well there was nobody in the world who could have given out the story except

out the story except the Rector and he promised, he said he wouldn't. Well, we were all just furious at first and so was a lot of people on the faculty furious; I don't blame them. We knew it. So after a little [we.] while discussing it between ourselves decided that I should call the Rector and tell him that he had not kept faith with us # And now we would need a signed statement from him explaining that he had called us individually himself and that we had nothing to do with representing the faculty in any way, shape, or form and that this we would have to have in order to clear our names with the faculty. So I told him that I would be up there at noon, I would be there at noon in his office. All right. He was very upset and sorry it happened but he couldn't help and the press had got hold of it and so on. He would give me a statement. meantime there was a faculty meeting aalled for that afternoon. It was called for one or two o'clock, early in the afternoon. I went on up to Richmond and I had to wait a little to see him. But he called me into his office and he dictated the statement to his secretary in front of Then he asked me if that would be a 11 right. This was a pretty clear statement that he had individually called the members, certain members of the faculty to advise with him, that in no sense were they to be considered representative of the faculty, that he would like to clear their names of anything. He didn't want to do it, but he knew he had to. So I got this. As soon as I got hold of it I went back to Williamsburg. The didn't come to Williamsburg, It took about an hour and a half, two hours sometimes todrive. At the faculty meeting I

The fact that we could see what was going on. As I recall, I think Jim Miller had taken the chair. I'm not sure the this was the meeting in which they had Nelson Marshall's resignation or not, I don't know.

Emily: I don't think so.

Jones: You don't think he resigned until after Chandler was appointed? I had the impression he had. But maybe not, maybe not. In that case he was still in the chair, he must have been.

Emily: He must have been because he gave the resignation to Miller the day that Chandler was sworn in in October.

Jones: Miller was acting President for a few days only.

Emily: The meeting was in an (They had switched it.)

Jones: Now what went on was that as soon as I came in I told who ever it was -
Miller

I can't remember if it was Marshall on the chair or not, But I have an impression

confession that somebody would know. But he wasn't dean, of course;

Marshall was:

was recognized, and I read the statement verbation.

I said, now I think this should clear the names of the faculty any complicity with the rector. Then they decided that they would appoint a committee the faculty to consult with the poard and the rector on an acting president and on a new president. And the committee was headed by Dr. Moss, I think. I believe so. I've forgotten who else was on the committee. None of us was on the committee, naturally, since we had gone up there and talked to the rector. We weren't on the committee. In fact, we asked not to be on the committee. So in the meantime, now here is where I can't quite remember when it was decided to recommend to the rector Jim Miller be made acting resident until such time as a new president was elected and that the faculty would like to have a hand in the selection.

I'M not sure it it was done then or a little later, but it was done by this committee or maybe by the whole faculty, I can't remember.

He was recommended, and the Rector accepted this; accepted that appointment. And so Jim Miller for not long, a week, something like this, moved into the president's office. The Board, of course, presumably, they weren't meeting but they did have a meeting at which time they $\operatorname{did}_{\sqrt{a}} \operatorname{def}$ the faculty committee to indicate their ideas of a new President and what we should have and so on. But I'm sure the Board # even then and certainly the Rector and some of his group and the Board were strongly, there wasa feeling that the faculty had got of hand and that what the college needed was a person to keep the faculty back in hand, would get the faculty back where it belongs as a faculty not trying to run the college.

Emily: Do you think the Rector had Chandler specifically in mind for this? Jones: Sure. I can't think of the man's name on the Board who would be the person who was in contact with him. I'm not sure if it was, I'm not going to name any Board members but I think I know pretty well who it was on the Board who knew Admiral Chandler and who had probably been in touch with him already and to when he give up his retired admiral from

the Navy. He only had a little time left before he would have gotten get his admiral pention. But he hadn't quite lived it out, not quite, and he wouldn't for a little time, maybe six months. That was the one meeting.

Then the Board met a week later and in the meantime the faculty committee was busy (not more than a week in here) the faculty committee was busy trying to decide to recommend names and so on if they got a chance to do so And then the Board met. I don't remember what day it was and when it was? but you can look it up. They must have it.

Emily: It was early in October, 9th, I think it was.

The Board met one afternoon and one of the things they did was to again hear the faculty committee. And from what I understood the hearing with the faculty committee was very pleasant. The Board agreed to (or seemed to agree to listen to the faculty recommendations and was very glad to have them and so on and so forth. And I believe the faculty committee were came away from the meeting with the feeling that things are going to be pretty good after all. We are going to have the opportunity to work with the board on this thing. Immediately after the faculty committee had left, the board went into what is known as executive session and elected Chandler. That was the thing that hurt us so badly and upset us so much was that they had given no indication whatever of this kind of action to the faculty committee. It was like a slap in the face, you see, to the faculty. And that is what the facetor wanted And they went ahead and elected Admiral Chandler. Now somebody must have known that Chandler would accept. They must have had somebody dealing with him several days before and all this. And I'm sure Chandler accepted. You might say, why did he accept. Why did Alvin Chandlers you'll get that from him when you talk with him. Lalways wondered how it was going to

I knew of course that he was the son of his father and that had something at least to do with it. He was partly, at least, an alumnus of the college, and he had gone to school here before he had gone to the Naval academy for a years. He was due shortly for retirement from the Navy, or could retire from the Navy. He had a very long period, and lost his career as a Naval officer. And he was a comparatively young man. So I suppose in a way this had an attraction for him in that he would have a position after he retired.

Emily: You hadn't known him before he became President?

Jones: I never knew Alvin Chandler before. I knew of him. I knew Chandler had this son, as well as two others, Herbert and Julian, three boys.

Was never in school when I came here on the faculty. He had already gone.

When he came back he must have come back because of his father to visit his father All I knew was that Dr. J. A. C. Chandler had a son who was a Naval officer.

Emily: How was it that you did find out that Chandler had been elected */President?

Jones: How did we find out?

Emily: Yes.

Jones: Don't you know?

Emily: I want to know how you found out. I know how some of the other people I've talked to found out.

Jones: I'll tell you how we found out: We found out by hearing it on the radio at about We had a faculty meeting that afternoon that was the interesting thing and the faculty committee to work with the Board made a report, and they had come almost directly from the Board meeting, and they made a report to the faculty saying (more or less favorable) that we had a very good hearing and a very good meeting with the board and that we had the feeling we would ald be able to work with the Board in the future on the selection of a resident. And we got home around six, and the news was on. I heard the radio blaring in my home when I came My wife came running to me and she said, Do you know what's happened? I said, what's happened? She said, they've elected a president. I said, What? Something is wrong. That isn't true. Because, I said, we just came from a faculty meeting at which the faculty committee told... She said, "I don't care anything about that. They've elected a president, and he's the son of Dr. J. A. C. Chandler. Aput that time my telephone rang I think it was Jim Miller, I'm not sure, one of the people

like that that went up with me. He said, did you hear the news? did you hear that news? "I said, "yes, I heard it, but it's hard to believe." Jound here and the Louis and I remember meeting of -it sounds like Stan Williams' placebut it couldn't have be
Stan Williams. I think it was Douglas Adair. Douglas Adair was in
history. He has died since. He went out to the west coasiant he had that little house down across for guess-it's still Well, you can imagine what went on that evening around here and the faculty. because, it sounds like Stan Williams' placebut it couldn't have been history. He has died since. He went out to the west coas#but I remember he had that little house down across from the President's house. I guess it's still there, I think.) He lived in that house and quite a number of us got in there and got to talking. We were all upset at what the Board had done and the feeling we had. None of us knew Alvin Chandler. We all felt, I think, generally sympathetic about that, about Alvin Chandler. We wondered, of course, why there wasn't more if he had ever been down here. But later we found out he had but not for any great length of time. We all wondered, of course, about why he would accept this without, in a situation that existed and it did, accepted it without looking into the thing to find out whether he would want to try and handle the situation that we had here. Things were very, very mixed up and confused as you san see it would be the resignation of a president, the sad athletic scandal that had just some the confusion with the faculty and everything else. It was a bad situation to come into. But what was, what mostly was in our minds was the way the Board had treated us and the way the Board had acted toward the faculty. In other words, this simply indicated that you people are the faculty in this college, but you don't have anything to do with choosing administrative officers. We do, and we're doing it, and that's why we did this did it this way. This was the general feeling. How could you help but think of it in any other way.

Emily: Do you think that this manner of selection affected Chandler's relations with the faculty afterwards?

Jones: That's a hard question to answer. See Alvin Chandler is a very good friend of mine. When I say very good friend, yes, I think we're very good friends. I would think that it would have, it must have affected. I would think that he must have sensed, must have known when he came. I'm sure the Rector told him, and I'm sure other members of the board told him that he had a very recalcitrant faculty here. They had caused a lot of Films should trouble. Look what they've done. They went and got this all plastered a quito all over the country and in the papers and everything else and we've got a problem. And I'm sure that the Board, I wouldn't want him to see this or hear this, Latvin, I mean. I don't think he will.

Emily: No; I don't think so.

do is discipline that faculty. And I think that was one reason why they chose an Admiral in the Navy. I'm sure this must have been lying in their minds of some way or other that we've got to get a good disciplinarian down there, you see that faculty back in line. Do you see what I mean? And I'm sure that must have been in the back of their minds if the wasn't in Alvin Chandler's it certainly was in the back of their minds.

And I know that he knew when he came the good deal about the situation,

And I know that he knew when he came the good deal about the situation, I'm sure because they told him, briefed him very much. The only surprising thing about it all is that he under the circumstances that he would accept it. He didn't need to. He had to give up, we thought. I think he thought he was going to have to give up his Naval pension because he left, although he had the approval of the president in taking this position. He did. He got the approval of the president to resign from the Navy and take this position. And as it turned out later, I believe, his pension was restored to him. How much later, I don't know, But I think

How much later, I don't know. But I think it was. That he's never told me, and I didn't know, But I'm pretty sure. In fact everybody can almost that say it was, yes. In other words, you might say as far as the faculty was concerned Alvin Chandler had two strikes against him before he ever arrived on the campus as far as the faculty was concerned. Unfortunately, Jim Miller was only in the presidency a very short time, and this upset him, too, I'm sure. I think in many ways Jim wanted to be President of the College. I do. You haven't talked to him, have you?

Emily: No, no. But I will & soon.

Jones: Well, there's that. That's the story on that. Now of course, I think the atmosphere quieted ddwn. People thought, The Board We have no respect for that Board of Visitors and particularly for that Rector, but we're going to give the new President every chance we can and every opportunity, every chance. We don't know him. We're not going to although he comes to us under a cloud, no question about it.

the comes to us with a good deal of prejudice largely because of the way it has been done. I might say T think, that I don't think that Alvin the Chandler ever really had support of the faculty. And this I don't think he quite ever understood. I think that his whole life, at least his professional life, had been an altogether different situation he had been the commander or whatever it was, the captain of a ship of later Admiral. He had been the one who gave the orders, you see. That isn't the way you operate in a college or at least in a college like this one.

Emily: Did you find, this put you in a difficult position when you became pean of the faculty?

Jones: Oh, yes. Well, more about that in a minute. But Dr. Chandler resided

matter of fact, almost all of them from the time he came in as we did not have divided faculties then. It was all one faculty have part of the faculty.

Well, it was after that, of course, almost immediately after that that Marshall resigned, and Admiral Chandler asked Jim Miller, who had been pean before if he would at least take it for a while to sort of ease things along. This was a good move, that was good move on his part there. And Jim agreed to do it, to take it over, to serve as Dean for a while, at least until something else could develop. This was a good thing for a while it was a very good thing because Jim was a faculty member anyhow and had been pean and certainly helped a good deal bridge the gap. But the faculty never he never understood the faculty mind and the faculty attitude, the individuality of the faculty members for instance, you know, which is very strong. They're individualists, faculty members are. They have to be by virtue to what they do. They have to think their subject is the most important there. They have to think that we have to get buried into this and therefore they are of a different kettle colog of fish from the average man or Military man. Do you see what I'm getting at? This is what Alvin Chandler didn't quite understand and was temperamentally unable to understand, say. I'm trying to be as sympathetic as I can be about it. He was President from 1951 until 1960. He was chancellor of the Colleges of William and Mary from 1960 to 1962 when that was dissolved the colleges was dissolved and he returned to private life in 62. Thet's go back to 51 now: Things quieted down. They had to. Nelson Marshall left and so on. He went to a place, a

college up in New York state or something like that. Then he went back into Marine Science where he came from.

He began one of those state Marine Science institutes up on Rhode Island;

I don't know what's happened to Nelson. But he had problems because this stayed with him, you see, this background.

He came to me, the idea of his being a crueruicader you see, he wanted to clear up the situation. People are always afraid of that sout of thing, you might upset the applecant somewhere else. It depends on the place. It depends on the people. Some people admire that very much; some people don't probably afraid of him. Cruicaders are generally not very popular and where the status quo is the general order of things.

Don't disturb that apple cart. It's going on pretty good. I know it's got a hole in the bottom but we can get it out, Don't point to the hole too much.

Emily: This is skipping on ahead a bit but the Admiral seems to have had a sense of history in wanting to celebrate history events and I found that you were chairman of a Marshall delebration of 1955. What were some of the activities of that?

Jones: Yes, he was. He liked England very much. He liked England. He had

gone to school in the Amperial college over there, so he enjoyed that. He

became very close to the people in England and so on, understood them. He

did have a sense of the history of this college, there is no question about

and Mrs. Chandler

that. He had a sense of tradition. He loved the President's House a just

as it was. He and Mrs. Chandler loved, they didn't want to remodel it.

They loved it the way it was, no question about that. All right. I

as Dean, but it couldn't have been more than a couple of years.

Thunk The-

It becames he couldn't get a long with Alvin Chandler. It was impossible They had quarrels, and it upset Jim a good deal, and Jim finally had to give it up. He made Charles Marsh dean, and it must have been from somewhere around 52 until 58, when he left to be Fresident of Wofford college. Wells about 53 in there, certain alumni of the law school, some of them had influencial people law school, one or two members of the Board, law graduates of this college decided that the two hundredth 9/2 birthday of Marshall he was born in 1754 I think. This is the 200th anniversary of his birth in 1954, decided that we should have le went to law school he was a student of Wythe's not for long he was chief justice. After all, we claimed him as an alumnus of this colleges there: ought to be a we ought to do something about, bicentennial of Marshall's birth. Furthermore, this was an opportunity to revivify this law school. It was a department of jurisprudence, It should be a school of law was the thinking. We needed to develop some special programs and give it some distinction and so on. So the movement got going and finally was decided that 1954-55 would be known as the Marshall Bicentennial year. 4 Hz was a federal commission appointed from this and there was a movement in the state and the college, particularly, and, was decided that there should be [a aelebration] Chandler was inaugurated when -- '5a, '53? Eisenhower came in 54 and several of us had a good but in organizing that inauguration. We had a big timeWe had a big time. There was a big crowd of people Eisenhower and Mrs. Eisenhower went by after the ceremony went back into the Great Hall of the Wren Building. couldn't have been the chapeiwe went in that side but I guess it was the Great Hall, it must hav e been. Everybody went in and shook hands with him and spoke to him. It was very pleasant. It certainly boosted

Chandler's style stock in general around the state It was decided that we should have a big time in October of 1954 and call it the Marshall-Wythe-Blackstone celebration commemorative celebration. Some of these alumni decided that the lawschool should be revivified and called the Marshall-Wythe School of Law and that we should bring in some special people; Dudly Woodbridge was then, had finally become Dean [with a] of the Law school, but the faculty was quite small, very small small IT was recommended That enrollment. And that we should develop a programtaxation law, which would be a masters graduate program and that somebody whoever these people were knew of this man in Washington at the IRS / Internal Revenue Service and had been there for years and knew more about taxation in this country than anybody else. He wasn't a director, he wasn't a political appointee he was a working mian there. He was second to the director and had been there for years. His name was Dr. Thbmas Atkanson. He had taken a doctorate, not in law_A he wasn't a $lawyer_A$ a doctorate in I guess economics or government, I don't know which he was at George Washington University or was it Georgetown, one or the other. We should get him here get him to retire from the IRS because he was ready to retire and quit and come here and take charge of this new program called Maw and Maxation. This should be advertised and developed and so on-Tom Atkinson agreed to come. As I say he was ready to retire anyhow. He had been so many years in the service and could come here and teach ? he had never taught and he would like to learn. I was very fond of him from the beginning and still am very fond of him. He's a tremendous man. He's retired from college now for a number of years. He lives in town.

So all this Then Dr. Chandler, they asked me, I don't know why the asked me, but asked me if I would sort of direct this Marshall-Wythe-Blackstone thing. So I can't remember why he asked me to do it. I hadn't had any real experience at it but I worked all summer on the thing. I started as soon as college was over in June and rehad on the first floor of the Wren Building and I had a secretary, a couple of secretaries towards the end. It was a Really big thing. And we invited the Supreme Court, all the Supreme Court. Now remember this was just before the Late Supreme Court decision on education of 1954 decision. It hadn't come out yet. But we invited them all, and they practically all agreed to come. This was in October wasn't it? Yes, I know it was because I've got all sorts of things around here on it. So we worked on the program and in the meantime certain alumni in Washington decided that we ought to have busts made of Marshall and Blackstone and Wythe. These should be presented by the alumni of the law school to the College. And they should, and they were going to get one of the best sculptors up there / His name was beweldon to build. He was very well known and expensione, I guess. I know he was frightfully expensive for what he did. So Deweldon, I don't think he took it very seriously in payments. Every time we would ment go up to Washington to have a look at the sculpture we never saw them, I don't think he had ever started them. Finally he did finish them. They're around somewhere. Where are they? They're over in the law school, The state has never approved them, never accepted them. State Art Commission has never accepted them because they didn't think

because they didn't think they were any good. But peweldon collected a lot log of money for them. We had him down here, too. He made a little speech when they were presented. Since that time he's been a great thing up there in Washington; the raising of the flag on Iwo Jima that's one of peweldon's things. I don't know what else.

other than that he is connected with one of our alumni of there, who do must work.

He was supposed to have given them the job with much less than his normal fees, but his fee was high enough then. All this came to a head-inOctober and in the meantime the Supreme Court came down with its decision on the Schools, with the segregation business, and therefore, Mr. Warren was not very

was. We brought him over this was very expensive we brought him over on the Queen Mary and paid his expenses and everything. Now I can't quite remember whether the restoration game Churchill the first and only Williamsburg award before this pand after it.

Emily: I don't remember but I can check.

much liked around here.

Jones: I have a copy of that Churchill award book. I don't know if I've got it here.

I'm trying to remember if the Draper's Company had anything to do with us during the 54 thing and I'm inclined to think they did not, no. So the Churchill thing had not come up yet. It was that important to us for another

Lones: We did invite one of the distinguished lawyers and professors of law in England and that was the man who was im master of College, the first American to be made a master of the Oxford, Arthur Goodheart. He was a well-known legal mind and editor of one of the big law reviews of England which had a lot of influence over here. He and his wife came over. We had a big time. We also tied it up with Marshall, and We, invited, somebody thought we ought to have all the Marshall family. & West started out not to be very big, but it turned out to be an awful big family. We found out a whole lot about them and found-out where they were, the sons and so forth of John Marshall .- And we invited them all to come. They had their celebration here, you see, Then theceremony, the Supreme Court came all but one or two. I think they all came, particularly Warren and Goddard. We had a dinner The Commonies the night before down at the tinn and then this thing was in the morning of and in the afternoon we had a symposium, which four or five noted jurgre juris 15 (one of them being Dr. Goodheart) read papers and so on. That was one of the lots of things we did N Later in the fall, then, since I had been mixed up with this thing I finally went on to plan some other programs. We had a symposium here in which we invited a number of legal emminent legal people, mostly from the various law schools, to come in, and bring and read papers on Marshall; the various phases of Marshall's life, work and so one Some of these people were very fine, distinguished people. We had this in the Great Hall of the Wren Building. We had an awful time with the acoustics there; but we invited quite a number of other people in from various law schools to listen to these and to make comments on them and so on. This thing went on all day one day. That was another nice thing.

And out of it I got a book. That's that book on John Marshall : A Responsibile. The

Cornell Press published it I don't have a copy of it here, But anyhow

I do have it. It was published the next year in 1955. Well, that was my

part in that thing. There were some very interesting things that went

on during the time during this we had both Warren and Goddard

the we had both Warren and Goddard

the Warren was most gracious. You know this Commonwealth of

Virginia almost chose to almost ignore this thing because of Warren. Unfortunately, the Governor particularly the Governor couldn't come the didn't come

The Governor had something else to do, and so he sent somebody else (the Lieutenant Governor), and he didn't stay too long either. Goddard got very upset. He was a strange man, Lord Goddard. He was a rather elderly man, not well. He was a bache flor and crochety in alot tot of ways. I think he was quite upset because Warren was getting more publicity. They were here together and the newspapers were playing Marren up and Warren made the speech for the occasion. Goddard, however, brought greetings from Oxford and also made a speech, too. We had him make speeches elsewhere. We took him up, for instance, to the Commonwealth Club in Richmond and we said there was a big luncheon held by the league of lawyers and the Law Association of Richmond together. He made a wonderful speech up there. But he was difficult all the time, And much publicity and he wasn't getting enough. We took him up to church. This went on on a Friday or Saturday and we took him up to church on that Sunday that Memorial church on Broad Street as you go up the hill --

an older church. You probably wouldn't have seen it or don't know it untess you happened to be there. It's one of the old churches there. We had this memorial service there in the morning and then we went over to the Commonwealth Club for lunch. But Goddard wouldn't got to the the service. Instead he went to the Commonwealth Club and fell asleep over there in the chair. Then he wasn't going to come back to Williamsburg. He was going to go on to New York and go home from Richmond. Colonial Williamsburg had planned a dinner for him that night and had invited all these people and he wasn't going to come back. 🗫 I had to get Arthur Goodheart from Oxford to talk with him and so on. I told Lord Goddard I said, You've got to come back. There's no question. I think those people will be upset and so. And so no, he wasn't going to come, He was going to take a train to New York. And that's all there was to it. Mr. Warren was leaving and so he decided he would go. But we finally got him back here. He was quite delightful that evening with everybody, and he made a big hit. Well, so what was the occasion? \ It was a good occasion in many ways. It worked out beautifully! It established the law school. We got the law school on its feet then and of Law and this tax program which is very good and which was very successful as it went along. A number people have taken it and finished it and so forth and had this great law tax masters degree; Master of Law and Taxation it was called. Then we had the symposium to mark the Marshall year and things like this. Then we got this publication out 6 and so on. The College did a whole lot during the Marshall celebration.

Harvard had a big affair, too later in the year. I went up to that. They had a big dinner, which they had some of the Supreme Court hey had Warren too

They celebrated it because the law school up there did more than anything. Protection with the Chandler rigime.

Emily: I wanted to ask you about the Jamestown celebration, too but would

Jones: That's another story. I don't mind.

Emily: But would you rather stop here and wait until next time or would you rather go on and talk about it now?

Jones: What do you want to do?

Emily:: I'm willing to go on

Jones: All right.

Emily: It goes in with this and ties in nicely.

Jones: Well, of course, that was outside the College. The only way it was related to the College was the College had some of the affairs during that period. But I was off from the College at the time. I was off from the college. I was having a year's leave of shance.

But I suppose it was because of the Marshall-Wythe thing that I got into that later. Things went along then and Chuck Marsh became Dean and Chandler.

It must have been in 1956 that Colonial Williamsburg created what they called the Williamsburg Award, which was to be given to a distinguished person, regardless of where in the world, anyplace, who had done great deal for peace, and a lot of things like this. Then there was going to be, the award was in the form of a bell made by our craftsmen here, a silver bell. I don't know, but I think there must have been some money that went with it, too. But I can't remember that, the money part. But anyhow, they gave the first award to Churchill, and that was the only awards it was the only time they have ever given the Williamsburg award. I don't know why they didn't continue it, But they haven't. They decided that they would have to go over there to present it to Churchill Churchill

about this is that it is the beginning of the Draper's Kelationship to
the College. From Williamsburg quite a number of people went. Colonial
Williamsburg took them over to this thing. One of the persons who went
was Admiral Chandler, President of the College, Mayer Stryker, of course /2
Kenneth Chorley, the President of Colonial Williamsburg, Carl Hummelsine,
who was the Director in Williamsburg on hand, not the President bute

-- a whole lot of other people. I'm not sure if the Governor went, I
don't think, maybe he did.

Emily: You didn't go, did you?

Jones: No, I didn't go. And this was quite an affair and they invited

whe
anybody in England there was anybody apparently. The was a very formal
dinner held in Draper's Hall in London. Braper is the fuild, a company,
their
Draper's Company, ancient company of Drapery in their Hall in the beautiful out
old dining room, beautiful thing. And it's very big, very big and high
sailing portraits of kings and queens and so on. It's a wonderful place.

So they took that and had it in Draper's Hall. And Admiral Chandler was
there and during after the dinner, he met the clark of Draper's Company.

Clark you know is the same thing as the executive pirector. They call
him clark. Take care of all the business of the company and these
companies have a lot of business because they are old. They go backto
the middle ages

o. V. to

There are nine I think in all, orgain: the fish

and the grocers, merchant taylors. They were given land, estates so most

emit

of them are quite wealthy and their affairs are extensive. They have what they always had back in the old days; They have a court of the These are the people who make the decisions and various things, is sort of the Marshall and takes care the Clark, the The of\a lot of\things and ends it all. The master of the company is an honorary position to a member of the company who becomes master for a It was at Dr. Pomfret's inauguration that we had the master of the Draper's company and the beetle of the company and so forth came over for that. But our relations goes back to this 1956 thing I was talking about. Admiral Chandler met the Clark, who was then Hugh Farmer, and Hugh Farmer was the Glark until a year or two ago. He went down into Farmer's office after the dinner was over and got to talking to him and made a proposal saying, Why don't we make an arrangement between the Draper's Company since the Draper's goes back to the, before or it had something to do with the Virginia Company, why don't we make an agreement to send a student you send a student over to us from one of the preparatory schools to take a degree program at the \pounds ollege and we starin turn will send a student (a senior student) over to go to Oxford or Cambridge and you people will pay his expenses, we'll pay the expenses of the one you send over. Well, Hugh Farmer picked it up and took it to the court of the Company, and they approved it, and thought it was a good idea because all these companies do have exchanges and various kind s and they support some preparatory schools and so on. So this Chandler started this arrangement and we did not have a Draper's student, however, we had. They sent one here. They had the first exchange student in this arrangement came from England here The name is Malcum Robinson. He Was in history. We went our first student in 1958 over, That's where it began, this arrangement. And it went on and we became more or less

have at the present time, then on this campus we have a usually have about six to eight of them on the campus at any one time because they come every year and take some, usually three years, I'm sorry three years, we have six on the campus at any one time.

Emily: Is there a special scholarship set up for them?

Institutions,

Jones: Oh, yes, I'm sure. Some of it comes from state funds, some of it comes from private funds, and some of it particularly some of it comes out of the fund that was known as the Chancellor Fund, which Chandler had charge of until he just resigned recently as chancellor. He was very generous with that and he provided funds for one of these people, there were two of them as a matter of fact The Chancellor's fund pays for two of them here Well, I worked on that I got into that thing when I became Deanwarticularly and I got into that and I worked with British

One of our problems with the Draper's exchange has always been to get
them into Oxford and Cambridge, and the more contacts you'll have with
the colleges over there and the masters and so on of these different
colleges and their admissions people, the easier it is to get them in.

You can have first count. So I used to go over there and develop
these taings and meet people so that when we had somebody to recommend
I could write somebody I knew. You know at that Penbroke College
we made almost an arrangement with Penbroke College, kind of an informal
association with Penbroke College, Cambridge, And University College
Oxford, through Arthur Goodheart, who became a good friend of ours and so on.

He was here in 1954, and then became a very good friend over the years.

The law school presented him with their medal, the law school medal.

They give one each year to a distinguished lawyer. They did this two or three years ago. They brought him over for it. Anyhow, then I also worked on other exchanges for other institutions. We had an exchange with the University of Exeter and have worked out a sort of junior year with Exeter. Then we also worked out an exchange with St. Andrews in Scotland. We've had a number of these over the years and the Draper's Company has been pretty close to the college and if we have any special occasion we invite them. Usually they come. They came for Domeroetism inauguration. They came when we celebrated the 175th in 1968 and they also sent the master and someone when Tom Graves was inaugurated in Table 1972. Charter Day 1972.

Emily: Were there any other events that the College was concerned with the 350 TA America of College was concerned with the Jamestown Exposition or was this,

Jones: That came

Emily: Yes, the next year.

Jones: That came in 57, 56, along in there.

Emily: Right.

that that period in there was a period when I don't think there was ever any real, I mean the feeling between faculty and President was never very good but it was, it didn't erupt at all particularly. Marsh, I think, had his problems, I know, Chuck had very serious problems, as I did, too, with Dr. Chandler with Admiral Chandler (His doctorships were honorary).

There's nothing special to mention in there. Now when the state, the commonwealth of Virginia decided that the 350 anniversary of the landing at Jamestown should be celebrated very much during 1957 which was the 350 anniversary year) They appropriated funds to build Jamestown Festival Park down here. The Federal government got into the act and they appointed a commission on the Jamestown celebration and that brought the Park Jamestown Island and so on. A commission was set \$ervice into it up in the state, the commonwealth headed by one of the general assembly 7 Lewis McMurran from New port News. I suppose you would say he was peopleLouis A the inspirational man on that thing and the master mind of it as far as the state was concerned and the general assembly. This all developed and gradually got going in 56 and and Parke Rouse was appointed as director of the Festival Park and director of the celebration. Louis Laws McMcHar-was chairman of the commission. The commission was made up of a number of mostly general assembly people Carl Hummelsine was on it and other general assembly people. They took over the old Travis S CENTRAL MENTERS Duse. The Travis House used to be located on Duke of Gloucester Street. It was a restaurant; It was a very good restaurant like the King's Then they found that the Travis House didn't stand there at all It didn't belong down there, and so they moved it, actually moved it up across from where it is now, across from the post office on the other side of the vacant parking lot, that's where it was. 4957

And the thing was about to fall apart. There were cracks in the floors odd at Came upo But it wasn't very satisfactory, But that became the main central office of the Jamestown Festival instead of the office out there. It was run by somebody directing the park out there. This was the office during the festival year. Colonial Williamsburg just turned it over to the committee, and so forthe I suppose because I had done the work on the 1954 thing they wanted somebody to direct the program for the 1957 celebration, toget up the program and direct-it. So Lewis McMurran and Parke Rouse asked me if I would do it. Weld (I think Chandler probably may have made the suggestion. I think they wanted somebody from the College. They wanted to bring the dollege into it, So I think he must have suggested talking to me. I don't know, you'll have to ask him.) So after talking about it a while I decided I'd go ahead with it it it was sort of interesting and a change; I didn't know if I could even do it I was back on the track. So we set up an organization and I asked if they would give me a leave of absence. I started it in the summer of 56 after the session

#

Well, I moved myself down to the Travis House and set up an organization down there, two or three people--not enough to do the program right but anyhow it was all we could afford. We started then arranging programs first to set up days when certain things happened such as the first landing at Cape Henry down there when they first landed. Then the landing at what is now Fort Monroe and the landing of what is now Newport News. They had a special landing there. Then finally the landing at Jamestown on May 13th, 1607. Well, these days were supposed to be special days and

was over, Then I was off from the beginning of the fall 56 through 57

until February of 58, when I came back to college

interesting man that he was. He was from Massachusetts. He came down here from Massachusetts. He still comes through every now and then $_{\bigcirc}$

Emily: But he was not the one who wrote the founders?

Jones: Oh, no. That was Paul Green.

Emily: Oh, yes, that's right.

Jones: No Paul Green wrote the Common Glory and then it was decided which would be known as The that we'd have a special show during the festival year, no it was the founders. They built a special place for the founders Tt was supposed to be performed in the afternoons. That was a mistake because you can audim ces imagine how how those afternoons were and you couldn't stand the heat out there. But still it was performed all summer. It failed badly and it never has some again, I don't think. I think it was a bad idea to start with . There were a couple of bad things that we did. The founders -was-one I think was a bad one. And also bringing in certain theatrical performances we brought in here. They never worked out very well because peoples the visitors here were tired at the end of the day and didn't much want to go to shows. So we lost money on some of those things. But anyhow the whole thing was a complex program. I finally got together a scrapbook on it. It's in the library here and you might ask to see it if you're interested. I put in that everything that went on, newspaper stories and everything that went on. I didn't know what to do with it after I finished it. So I finally decided the best place was I would give it to the library and they have it now. I gave it to Pollard, the I just thought it would be interesting to have a record of all

At T

that for later days. So that was the thing I got into and then came back to the college in 58, in February of 58. I taught English that ye In the meantime Dr. Jackson, who was head of the department, had died during the festival year, the fall of that year. No, yes in the fall. Mr. Clark. The Dean at that time Marsh came down to see me about it and wanted to know if I would like to take the chairmanship. And I said well, I'm tied up here in this festival. I can't come better get someone else. So Mr. Henwood Clarknow dead, was head of the department. And the end of that year Marsh resigned to take the presidency of Wafford College (in 58, the summer of 58) And during the summer Alvin Chandler asked me if I would accept the deanship at that time it was dean of the faculty. We had not had a dean of the college since Nelson Marshall. That particular thing had been dropped; and the law school was separate and the marine science gradually being separate but the other schools were not created yet. Although it was during Chandler's administration that the school of education was created although it had sort of a stormy career in there as a school for a while. And so he asked me if I would accept and take the deanship, I'd always said I would never take a college administrator's job because I liked teaching too well. did; I engoyed it. But somehow or other, I thought I knew how things were with Chandler, meaning the faculty. I had been of course, a member of the faculty for 30 years then, you see. A little more than 30 years. I felt that maybe I could help somewhere or other. I might be able to bridge reach the gap between the president and the faculty. Generally I think. So I thought maybe I could be of help there.

Emily: This would probably be a good place for us to break.

Jones: That was 58.

MIL

January 15, 1975

Jones: What you want now, we're going to talk about when I first became pean and so on.

Emily: When you became pean of the faculty.

Jones: You said something about how did I become Dean?

Emily: You had just finished telling about how it was that you became pean of faculty in 1958.

Jones: We didn't do things in those days like we do now. Now we have a search committee. The search committee comes up with the recommendations and than these were all acted on. Now we didn't do those thing.

Emily: The President selected you?

there was anything like a search committee was when this president was search for and elected for and elected was a search committee. [I'll talk about that. That was the last thing I did for the college or helped the Gollege. Now, I became bean in 1958. I just think it was a fairly acceptable choice of the faculty. I don't know, You never know, but I think so. I knew a lot of the faculty. I'd been here a long time, and I knew most of the older people. And those days we knew many of the younger people because the faculty hadn't got so big.

This was, at that time it was still under Alvin Chandler, Dr. Chandler, Alvin Chandler, the resident. Those two years were I would say somewhat stormy from the standpoint of the faculty and its relationship and its reaction to the resident mostly. I don't remember many things of that two years except that there was always a feeling, always a gradually growing

not resentment so much as a critical attitude to the resident that was growing and that put me in the middle of the thire because I had been a faculty member. I understood the faculty attitude. In fact, five years before that, maybe maybe in less than five years before that, I would have if you had told me that I would be pean of the college or pean of the Faculty than I would have said, you're crazy, just absolutely crazy, because I have no desire for any such thing. I wouldn't know how to do it. And in the second place I'm a faculty member. That's where I belong. I want to teach. What impelled me to go into the deanship and in then the administration, I don't know. I really don't know except possibly my attitude changed a little bit because I had been off from the college for a little while and I'd got into some sort of administrative work with the Jamestown affair, you see. I had a taste of it, I guess, and I rather liked it, you see, I meally liked it,

when President Chandler called me in and asked me if I would take the deanship for the faculty and remember the deanship of the faculty then is a very different one than it is now. We don't have a dean of the faculty now. We have a dean of the faculty of arts and sciences and the dean of the law school and the dean of this and that. Then the faculty was all in one. There was no schools, remember this, except the law school. It was merging as a school, but its faculty still belonged to the the general faculty. It was not separate until later. Education department

department was a department. It was not a school. It became one during the last days of the Chandler administration. I'll mention that in a a school Business was not; It was business department. As a matter of fact I don't know whether it was still economics and business, I think it was dividfed. It was the department of economics and the department of business administration, yes. Because Dean Marsh or Charles Marsh had been in the department of business administration and I'm not sure if he was not for a while head of the department. - can t remember now whether he was or not because he was dean during those years, too. Anyhow, so you must remember that it was a Indon't think he was both They we have mous different sort of a situation Nell, when he asked me if I would accept it, I remember calling my wife. And I said, I want you to meet me

delete

MI

down, I guess those days, you wouldn't know about it, nobody here unless they had been here then would remember. But down on the College Corner across from the wall and so forth over there, the old green house used to be. It's gone now. Do you remember where the road turns in and cuts across, well inhere used to be a green house and they took that away a couple of years ago. In turn we gave the city the right to put in this.

That cut that off. But right there in that corner there used to be a little brick affair with a flag pole up there and you could sit around on there's a little seat around on this brick thing setting there and this flag. This was put there by the klu Klux Klan. That's what it was, way back in the other Chandler administration. It had been given to the College by the Klu Klux Klan, of

tim trying to read your sorry soppessing to remove to rese.

om, T

This was the flag pole: And I said, meet me down at the Luell xull un flac pole because I want to talk to you. I don't know why I said that I can't remember. I don't remember. I'M sure I did. And she came down and I said, now listen, I'm going to tell you something. I knew she wouldn't understand. She was very opposed to my getting into any administration. And we talked about it, and I said, what do you think I should do? So we discussed it, and finally we decided that I should talk to him a little more about it and possibly maybe so ahead. Well, now she feels that she opposed it then. I guess she did, in a way. Well, all I'm telling you is that it was a difficult decision to make into administration, But I did take it and I think. Well simply a matter, what I thought I could do I don't know what I thought could do; having been a faculty member for so long. I thought I might be able to bridge the gap which was growing bigger all the time between the President and the faculty. Now if Dr. Chandler or Dr. Chandler heard this now this was he would have to agree that there was such a thing existed such a gam and that it was too bad it couldn't have been bridged, you see. But I thought I could do some good, chat could Lucen in that way more than anything ele

Emily: What do you think caused this gap?

President Chandler's failure to understand on a college or a university is not and cannot be operated like the Navy. Now I'm saying this because he used to say he was going to run a good ship. He didn't used that term. This is nothing against Admiral Chandler. It simply was his whole background had been discipline and in the Navy. He hadn't been used to this

0

He had been used to this kind of life, when you are accustomed and actually inured to this sort of life it's very difficult to change and become, shall we say and let and deal with individuals as individuals, not as part of a crew or as part of a big organization where there is a very definite step by step shall we say authority. That's never been, that's not the way a college operates and develops. So that's what it really was. Things that many of the faculty members would do naturally irrigined the President if they were not, you know, the that he wanted. If they were opposed to his ideas. He was a very strong-minded person (Admiral Chandler) very strong-minded man and his ideas were very definitehis ideas were very definite about education. Hehad a lot of ideas about education. Some of them were probably pretty good, but some of them weren't. Some of them were very they just were not in harmony with the kind of thing with a college or any other educational institution represents.

Emily: Could you give an example?

Jones: Well, yes. Of course he did not believe, you see, in faculty.

Faculty control of the say even academic phases of the college. He felt
that those were, that was not altogether the role of the faculty to run
the academic things. Of course, that is the role of the faculty to run
This is one of the big struggles, one of the big places where there was
such a difference between the faculty and the president at faculty meetings,
for instance. Faculty meetings were conducted in something of an
autocratic way, the president ran the faculty meetings, dominated them
pretty well. This did not go down with many of them the faculty.

See, faculty members are individualists; They have to be. They have to
be egotists because they are so tied up in their own work and their own
ideas. They're different from people in business, you know, very different.

his ideas of, of course it was very conservative in his ideas conservative. He was very opposed to the so-called mixing of the races.

I don't know whether he still is, but he was then. This is bad things to get into but this was true. I mean, I remember time and time he told me that when you, the races were mixed and where a family where you had intermarriate and so on all went downhill, down down hill. Well, that is probably true, was true. Maybe, I don't know whether that's a reason or not. I don't know. But anyhow. We got into, he and I got along. I tried my best to present the faculty point of view to him so that he I tried to get him to understand. And in a way I tried to defend him

hurdle you're going to run into.

to the faculty, not to the group of

the faculty who were

P

faculty and other administration but particularly the faculty and President.

And also President Chandler did not I think get along as well with the members of the General Assembly and with the shall we say, I wouldn't way the Governor as I would the various committees in the General Assembly had to be and had control over funds and giving when you present a budget you know. There is a way when you can get along with those people. He never really cared to try to get along with many of them

administration became. I mean (gradually it towards the end of it) there

If you don't see that if you don't understand that then that is the first

President Chandler always ran into. E always felt that because

That's the hurdle I think that

faculty but to individuals of

Well-nevertheless, the Chandler

Consequently our appropriations never werened very good during those years. No wonder we didn't build any of those buildings! As President Paschall used to say, There hadn't been a building built on this campus since 1935, Upintil we built Phi Beta Kappa, except for Phi Beta Kappa. that was built just around the time, finished just around the time of year (57) 56-57. None of the new campus existed very long.

Emily: Though Chandler did have plans for it.

Jones: Oh, yes, He did have plans for it, But our appropriations were always cut down, and I think it partly because some of the appropriation committies did not like the attitude that President Chandler used to take with them. I can't prove this, This was certainly one of the feelings that many of us had, And the results were pretty obvious, I think. On the other hand, President Chandler had a very strong following, too, among the very conservative elements in the state and on the campus those people on the campus who were very conservative and believed that the president after all, is the thief executive officer of the follege and therefore, he should run the follege. This caused, there was a good therefore, that two years was a difficult period for me because I tried to be both I-guess administration and faculty, too. That's pretty difficult. It's kind of a intollerable situation and while I think President Chandler and I understood each other (many ways I think we did. I think this helped, too.) But it was a period, which I personally was pulled both ways, and sometimes it was very difficult. I'm surprised sometimes I lasted out. But anyhow & I suppose we all have prejudices about people. We like people or we don't like people. But these prejudices and so forth frequently affected

President Chandler's actions in some of his promotions and approval of promotions rather and things like this. They were not based always on shall we say sound academic or sound ground-whatever the ground may have been whether it was academic or not. One tries, if he can to avoid personal prejudice in evaluating a person't abilities if you can sometimes can't always. But nevertheless you should but I think very often this was not the case with him. And these are the problems that we ran into. I should be able to remember all kinds of incidents a particular incident. I wanted to

Lwouldn't want to put in here anyhow. And I think that would be by any member anybody. Howard, I'm sure. I'm not sure about Lambert.

But Fowler, I'm sure. These things I'll have to cut out, you see.

Now we went through those two years and at the end of the two years ('60) that was the year of the General Assembly meeting and it was the was the the General Assembly created the Colleges of William and Mary. Now this was in part, of course, recommended to them (They didn't [nho] think this all up by President Chandler was very much in favor of this. THEWE WE SEE divisions of ours. Other people were too. Norfolk, Richmond divisions. Then they created, you see, the two junior colleges or two-year colleges, they were called then. They were called Junior Colleges I believe: I'm not sure but I think so because that was before the community college thing. We had these two branches, One in These rested Newport News and one over in Petersburg. a group of thing called the Colleges, It was a very unsound organization to begin with because Richmond, R.P.I. (Richmond Professional Institute) under this

college then was in a way a very separat, institution from this college; It should have been all along. It should have been separate. Norfolk pivision developed as a pivision for a while it should have been an independent institution there wasn't going to be any change in establish instead of creating this conglomerate 4 I guess you would call it of colleges of William and Mary. Nevertheless, it was created by the General Assembly, recommended by what was then the State Council of Higher Education although the State Council was not very influencial at that time-did not have very much power did not chave it staken a long time for that state founcil to get the influence it has at present: | Well, so he Thusiness manager, but we called him bursar) was the comptroler; became made the comptroler of the care made the comptroler of the Colleges of William and Mary, I'm not sure When Dr. Oliver became President of Virginia Commonwealth. Virginia

Commonwealth Commonwealth, that came after. I'm not sure whether he was President of R.P.I. before. I think he was. I think Dr. Oliver who was then head of the department of education here, had been for some time because he came from the State Department of Education here as head of our department. He had taken, he was a graduate of this college way back, land] became President of R.P.I. as part of this conglamorate and so on before of course, it became V.C.U. He was President of V.G.U., too, later. Norfolk, I was trying to remember what happened in Norfolk, who was the President down there. I think he was called the President then. It was simply. What did they call it? I can't remember now whether they called it Old Dominion or not.

Emily: I don't think they changed the name at that time, no.

Jones: I don't think so. They were simply part of this college,

and I can't remember now who was the President or head of the thing down there. I should remember, too because it was during my time.

Emily: Webb was in Richmond, wasn't he? No, he was in Norfolk.

Jones: Webb, Webb was down there. Lewis Webb, that's right. Lewis Webb was sort of the director or whatever it was, President or whatever they called it of Norfolk Pivision, part of this conglomerate. All right. The Board elected Paschall as President of this college, the Board of Visitors. The General Assembly met in 1960 (January). They created this conglomerate during this session to be effective, I think, the first of July.

Jones: President Chandler became chancelloer. He moved his office from

Emily: That's right.

what was then Washington, what is now James Blair Hall over to Phi
Beta Kappa and created the two big rooms there, one on each side.

One was his office and one the old Phi Beta Kappa. The part that did
not burn, the front part which did not burn down. We had a fire, you
know, and the other part burned. Mr. Sisson and the chancellor moved
over there. The Board of Visitors in May of 1960 elected Dr. Paschall.

Now Dr. Paschall was at that time state superintendent of sublic
Instruction. He had become appointed to the state superintendency of
sublic Instruction by Governor Stanley mabout 1957 or 58, I think,
somewhere in 1957, I believe. So and he was an alumnus of this college.

He had taken his bachelfor's and masters degrees here, his doctorate
in education at the University of Virginia. His wife also was a graduate
of this college. All right. The election of President Paschall was
entirely done by the Board. There was other candidates that many of us

heard about who, were much afraid of I won't name names. This election

generally was, we thought, generally favorable.

Emily: There was no protest as when Chandler had been elected?

Jones: No. Is that what you mean?

Emily: Yes.

Jones: The Board took it over and they finally selected

Paschall accepted and came in the first of August. All right. Now this was the kind of a setup that was impossible from the very beginning.

In the first place this college was an independent institution and had

always been independent and to have it as part of a conglomerate like (Mtage Of WM) with Mory this and to have the President of this college under the chancellor of

the colleges was a situation I do not even think the President. I never

asked him but I had forgotten to ask him I guess, but that President

Paschall he may tell you about this at the time, he may tell you what

he did, just couldn't have anticipated when he first accepted this position. He couldn't have anticipated what it was going to mean. But that is what it meant, finally. And President Paschall, in a way, was never president of the college as long as the chancellor was the chancellor because everything that he did and everything that I did had to be approved by the chancellor. The Board of Visitors when it met, the resident of this college was on call to the Board of Visitors just like the president of R.P.I. and the president of Norfolk pivision was. In other words, what I mean is that he did take part in the Board meeting. The chancellor was the presiding officer, And President Paschall had to cool his heels outside until he was called in. This was a kind of situation that we were not used to at this college. We were used to a president who was the executive officer at the college. Furthermore than that the development of this sort of thing went on and was really a, one had very little say about anything at all. I hed more problems actually.

deles ?

DATE

DESTE

And the fewer things that I tried to do during those two years, I had more problems after the creation of the colleges than I had done before with the chancellor, shall I say.

Emily: Because of having to clear things through him?

Paschall was a most unhappy man. He and I discussed the situation time and time again and we knew that it was the kind of situation that could not continue in spite of the fact. In 1961 President Paschall was inaugurated as President of the follege. We had his inauguration it was a big affair. We had a number of people here even the Draper's Company sent over its former master. We had really quite a big affair. That was also that time that the so-called the big group ROTC what do they call it?

Emily: The Queen's Guard

Jones: The Queen's Guard was created and uniformed and all this thing, for that inauguration and then it continued after that.

Emily: What then was the position of the faculty under Dr. Paschall? Did they feel that they ...

Jones: Oh, I think the faculty, generally speaking (the people that were influencial on the faculty) the faculty generally felt the situation was intolderable, too. I mean this kind of situation. They were entirely in sympathy with President Paschall at that time (very much) in his opposition to this kind of arrangement. I don't know what to tell youon this.

There was a movement, the Board of Visitors, very interesting. The Board of Visitors at this time was in many ways an interesting Board because it was a divided Board. Part of the Board was strongly in support of President Chandler and the Colleges of William and Mary. Some of

the Board was very much, gradually became to see that it was not a good, healthy arrangement and gradually came to oppose it. That part of the Board was not that the majority. The majority still supported the creation of this, all right. But there was a lot of work being done under the surface to overthrow this situation that existed. The state council came more and more to oppose this although the state council had recommended it in the first place to the General Assembly. During that two-year period in there from 60 to 62 had turned around and changed its mind and was in a sense opposed to the system and wanted to do everything it could to break it up. President Paschall was at work on this with many of his friends and he had a number of friends in the General Assembly. Our representative here, for instance, was in favor of breaking this thing up and so one

Emily: Did Chandler know this was going on?

Jones: You know, I never knew. I don't know. I'm inclined to think he didn't. I'm inclined to think he did not know that this was going on until very late, very late. Now he may have, but if he did I never knew that he did. But I did know, we were all in a way mixed up in this in one way or another, not because of Chandler, or chancellor then but because this situation was unworkable. It was unworkable. This college couldn't develop under that kind of situation, tied up with the se other institutions and so on and under the same administration of a group of colleges. If they had been branches of this college, that would have been different, but they were not branches. They were all part Granches are very different thing, branches, as for instance, the University of North Carolina has a tot of branches, but they are under the president or chancellor whatever he's called of the University of North Carolina. All right. So during that period, I say the inauguration, there was this very difficult.

dissatisfaction. We realty very little progress was made at this college pretty largely because of this situation which existed. Most of the progress, if you want to call it progress, was towards making getting this changed if possible getting this thing changed. Anyhow, when the General Assembly met in 1960 there was a strong movement already on foot at the beginning to reverse itself, the General Assembly to reverse itself and break up were this system into three parts. Some of the ideas here were generated by the state Council. This thing was all worked out by various people, and put into the form of resolutions whatever they're put in, (That there should be three separate institutions) There should be a separate and independent institution in Richmond, There should be one in Norfolk, and there should be this college, this college should be independent entirely of any system. people in Virginia understood this, too, because they our alumni didn't understand our college being under anything but its own president, you I mean it wasn't difficult to get this explain this and get it over to people. So I think there was a great wave of support here for this besides the people right here the people who were close to it. So the General Assembly broke up the system by a resolution, changed the whole thing. Admiral Chandler then was no longer chancellor. Mr. Sisson was no longer comptroger. In other words, that central office was broken up. The two branches (the two colleges junior, two-year colleges were put under the College of William and Mary Lt may have been a bad mistake right there. That may have been a mistake. As it turned out for Christopher Newport, no. But for Richard Bland, I think yes. Because when the community college system was created (and

L think it was in that 62 General Assembly) I think it was) Certainly

1962

244

DATE 9

D9/5/72

started then, or something them? Richard Bland and Christopher Newport probably should have been put under the community colleges. Instead of that they were left put under the College of William and They are two-year branches, and they created Mary, under the branch, and they put the Medical College with it, you know. This has never been very successful I don't think, administrare tively because the Medical College again was always an independent institution, and I think still wants to be independent. But we'll Leave Athat go. This finally came Old Dominion. Both of those are now bigger than Thank goodness, it's bigger there, than here. the parent institution. That's what happened and we were all very happy that that happened had bem because the situation was intollerable. \So that meant the retirement, Lhonorary chancellari in a sense of the Admiral and he was elected by the Board. But part of the Board was very bitter over this, very bitter. I don't know if you can even read this in the minutes. Even yet sometimes it will pop up There's hardly anybody now who was with people who remember those days. mon and THEM bot on the Board then Aliving one or two, not many. But they will mention back and take it back about 1962 what happened. Many of them atter the factor that it was Norfolk people who broke up this system because und sprudent they wanted their own institution down there, you see, And that they 70178 4 ereated this movement, which got going very rapidly, and very fast and finally overwhelmed the General Assembly. That was the feeling that MEMBERS some of the Board had that this ass created from down there or just remember. You hear this once in a while, "I remember what happened in

1962 and with Norfolk Division. All right, then. But this left this

college free and and independent, left President Paschall free to operate as he should.

Emily: Perhaps this is a good spot for me to ask this question. The selfstudy that was done a couple of years later said that, it finally came out, it was begun at this time; however.

Jones: Yes, it was. About 1963 I think it was.

Emily: It said that shifts in administration had meant shifts in policy and this had fragmented the faculty. How would you react to that statement from your position as Dean of the faculty at this time that shifts in administration had caused shifts in policy and that this had fragmented the faculty. Did you find this true?

Jones: I guess Emily, I hadn't followed you. Do it again.

Emily: Said that the changing in administrations, Chandler to Paschall had meant changes in policies and perhaps they also referred to this Colleges of William and Mary and breakup of the colleges. That maybe this was a shift in policy that was referred to. And that all of this change had fragmented the faculty.

Jones: Fragmented the faculty?

Emily: Fragmented the faculty. I wondered as Dean of the Faculty if you saw this.

Jones: I'm trying to think what that meant. You mean that changes fragmented the faculty. This was in the self-study?

Emily: Right.

Jones: In the introduction?

Emily: Yes.

Jones: I don't remember. What I was really doing was looking around for a

2 Kente

t & first chelle There

copy of the self-study. I thought I had one here somewhere but I don't seem to.

Emity: You didn't feel that you were presiding over a fragmented faculty?

Begin \rightarrow Jones: Well, I don't know quite what that meant. That's the point. If it meant a gradual movement towards schools and so forth, yes, If you call it fragmented in that way. If you mean fragmented in the sense that ite the various groups and faculty grew up in opposition to each other and so forth, that kind of fragmentation, well, I suppose that s always had always been the case in many ways here. There has always been a certain amount of fragmentation. It's funny I don't know where my copy is of that first self-study. It ought to be right here. I ought to have some here but I don't. I wanted to take a look at that to see what Is there one up there? There one is:

> It tended to become fragmented in that it intended to break up into different groups regarding the way the college should go, and so on and that the only way we ever made any progress was by actual administrative see actions rather than faculty action, you see. Well, yes, Lithink J

can talk about that all right if you want to talk about that because Dathink that is what's meant there is, to a certain extent that's true

I don't know more than other institutions or what other institutions but looking back at my time on this collecthere has been a varying directions which administrations have taken instead and frequently it we was never quite sure what we were whether we were a state institution which had to do a number of things or whether we were actually a college of liberal arts and sciences devoted entirely to a quality of education

That's what President Graves right now is talking about, this college really primarily devoted to excellence he calls it. And well, look at what Paschall called it, Yook at what he You see it in the quotes from his inaugural address. (I never thought we lived up to it.) He talked about the educated man a great deal and about the development of the man in all around development the educated man to take his place in the modern society. But I never thought we actually devoted ourselves to that aim and purpose along,. Look at what we were doing as early as the first Der. Chandler administration We developed there, it's what might be called we were doing extention We developed extention work. We were doing extention all over the place and that, without doubt, was draining the faculty. I mean it was draining the faculty of the effectiveness it should have on this campus. And let me give you an illustration. When my first years here at the college (in 1930, 31 32 in those years) I was teaching extention, which Lat the college] The first year I was here Lwas in Richmond. I went up to for the sylving of the state of I had come from Richmond. I had come from the University of Richmond and I had taken the job, the editorship of the Times-Dispatch book page up there, and I had to be up there two or three days a week. That's what I spent. The first year I was here I spent two or three days a week in Richmond working on the Times Dispatch book page. So I was very glad to go up there one night for a class and then stay over the next day (That's what I did) and work on this other

I was doing. But that isn't what I supposed to be doing.

supposed to be here on this faculty working here. All right. Another

2 WSEK

year I went one afternoon way over to Waverley over hore and the roads there are were not the roads they were now. We had to have a driver. I remember this very well. Professor Jackson, who was then head of the department, and I be went over to the place over there beyond Waverley anyhow and this driver would drop me at Waverley and go on and take him out to this [he would] place wait for him. When he got through with his class, bring him back, pick me up, and come on home. We'd have to stop for dinner over there at Surrey and then finally come across the ferry. And the roads in the wintertime were just awful. Our driver said we could go just as STRUGUT SHEED the way along fast sideways as you can front because we were just sliding all daylong Then another time I went down one All these things were strains on you. afternoon to Portsmouth, taught a class from four until six in Portsmouth, teachers mostly hurried down and goton the ferry. No I didn't drive: I can't remember whether I did or not. I must have because but I also remember being picked up in Norfolk and being taken back from there. So I must have got to Portsmouth some way or other, maybe with somebody from here, I don't know and then rushed down, got forry. You had to take the ferry across from Portsmouth to Norfol \Box ran into some restaurant there and had something to eat; and I was out at the Norfolk pivision at 7:30 teaching a class from 7:30 to 9:30 and then getting in a car and coming home. I'd get home at 11:30 worn out, worn out. Four hours of teaching and traveling around was bad, And alot 'tots of the members of the faculty were doing just that, a lot of people in those days. What I'm trying to get at is that you see what I mean.

The college tried to be so many things and this went on in a way although

DA PE

the policies changes and the purposes seemed to frequently change a little but the College still went on with the divergent purposes so it was very difficult to be sure what this college really was doing or attempting to do at any one time. And the same thing was true, I of course, think with Mr. Pomfret's administration because that was a wartime period, so that was different. Then when we got to President Chandler there was a divergence of opinion among the faculty and among the administration and among the Board of Visitors. It was still just exactly. We weren't unified. Now I don't know if this happens with other colleges? too. Probably does, But it certainly has with this one. So that's why it was so hard when the self-study came up here to get a statement of aims and purposes that everybody would agree on. We just couldn't get agreement on it because what if you were trying to say what the college was doing in aims and purposes, then you ran into a number of people - was m'T on the faceulty who felt that isn t what the College should be doing. And that is not what its aims and purposes ought to be, don't you see. So I think that's what that statement is about. I don't know if I have been very clear about it but that's what it is. And it's been true - -No doubt about it. I don't know, but I guess that right now that the College is devoted maybe to an aim or purpose in which there is more unity about it than there has been. And on the other hand I'm not sure about that because once I think about it, I immediately think about the schools -- the law school in many ways has divergent purposes. The school of business administration with its sponsors, and all this sort of thing, The school of education and the faculty of arts and sciences, Now these schools things all came about duing President Chandler's administration during period; all these schools and also the scientific development came about

P

what is Presented to be a light

during that period. We had remarkable development; Whether it was the right kind of development or not, I don't know. I sometimes think it/ might not have been. I think we stepped out of our roth and there When I say our role then I begin to say, well, what was our role really?" Had we known what the role of this college was we would then have been able to make a decision about the development in physics, that we say and the VARC, and the SREEL down the road all that. We would have been able to say, no, That isn't our role." But we couldn't say that because we weren't sure what our role was creept that I knew that if we went into the physics development with these high time boys, big boys coming in in physics as it was and all this down here and all the research the SREEL and so on I knew it was going to make a big, big change in this college. It bound to. And it did, it really did. I remember telling President Paschall this one day, saying, what shall we do about this? I seed we'll, whatever we do, and if we go into this then I think you must know I think that it is going to make a big change in this college because we have never been up to that time we had never been strong in the sciences. We have just piddle along with the sciences. They were all right. We taught science as a liberal arts subject. we were going into it as a professional subject, and we developed a doctoral degree in physics, you know, one of the first of our doctoral I remember that very well, and I remember how we went up to the degrees. State founcil and sold them on it.

m doared frogray

Jones: That-everybody-agreed to. That's what is meant: I guess because the College under various administrations had done various things, ha had been various things and it differed from a private institution like say Amhurst or SwGrthmore where the aims and purposes had been pretty much the same and followed administration after administration. Here, you see the gollege went through some bad periods before it became a state institution, you know. It was closed practically closed not long after the Civil War until, the state appropriated some money for it, to say. And you know, of course that when that money was appropriated which wasn't an awful loty - of course in those days it was more it appropriated this in order for the College to become a, to train teachers for the public schools $_{1}$ you see. It got its purpose got changed there $_{1}$ and it took quite a while for it to get out from under that one purpose to Lbecome 1 a college of liberal arts and sciences, don't you see. So that's what, when we speak of the word "fragmentation issue" it simply means that different people saw the college in different ways. This was true, it may still be true, I don't know. I rather think it is. But it was certainly true during the 60s because there were people on the campus who were very who believed that the College should be should perform a service to the state and therefore should develop its extention programs and go out and establish branches in the Military establishments. And ituas loslieused wat because we were a state institution this was part of our role, And that that was the trend of the times. If we insisted on being a isolated

ourselves here and being a kind of, shall we say, a college devoted to one purpose were just going to lose out. That was the feeling some people had. Well, you see what I mean by this fragmentation. fortunately, at the end of my period as vice-president for academic affairs and dean of the Gollege, right in that period, the last part it became obvious that something had to be done about this exat wary services in stall of the WOYN tention and this development of centers around, you know. For instance, a cruisy was proposed offering courses the Fort Monroe for two years there developed a center down Monroe and offered courses for the people at Fort Monroe could obtain a degree without coming up here at all. we've got a regulation in the catalog which says that no person shall receive a degree without being in residence and hold of The reguland direct a resident of at least one college session. Well, that was one of the must This word But if we established a center and called this a stumbling blocks. residence center then we get a fireback. All these problems. is all tied up with expansion and acception of business. What were we going to do? Were we going to try to be everything to

everybody or what we were going to do? So it became obvious that we had to have a decision on the whole thing. And at that time we appointed a committee, a study committee, a big committee which met for over a year these, or more, trying to come up with a solution to this so-called extention educational centers; all that, one conglomeration. And when I left when I retired the thing was still up in the air although the committee had come out with some recommendations, They were not very good; were not

(The present not very good. So this administration took that problem right up (And I'm glad they did, carried it on, and finally came to the conclusion that we ought to drop all of our extentions. We have no business being in all this extention as maybe the University of Virginia, V.P.I., but not this college. And in doing so then of course we weren't being pressed by Fort Monroe and Fort Mustis and all the rest to develop our college work on their bases, and all this. We had a lot, Fort Mustis, Fort Monroe, Langley Field and so on. All right. And that left to me that That was fine. That was one. I think one important decision that was made at the beginning of Tom Graves's administrationwas COVIDE decision finally to eliminate extention entirely. And anybody who wanted all the men around who wanted anybody else take it over and to therefore, at the same time to eliminate the possibility of our establishing centers anywhere. The only thing that we've done or changed; and we used to go down to VARG down here and have courses down there for credit. did with VARC was to make it a center for adult education and give courses that were not degree courses, simply courses that a lot of people might be interested in various language, history, literature and a lot of other things, you know, without any credit. Now I think this is a I think this there is nothing wrong with this good thing. THE TSOUSTION IS as long as you don't get college credit for it . Okey, now

Emily: Get back to the period when you were pean of the faculty, there had been not only while you were pean of the faculty but historically, it seems William and Mary has had trouble keeping young professors who come here. Is this, do you think, a salary problem? There is a large turnover in the faculty. What would you attribute this to?

Jones: When you say a large turnover in the faculty do you know, I'm not quite sure what you mean because I never did think that the turnover on this faculty was too bad, was too high because sa that period there from 60 to 70 roughly was difficult period because we were having expansion of enrollments; more and more students were coming to college. This was the World War II baby business, and we were reaching the peak of it. And-you know how hard it was for people to get into colleges, certain colleges anyhow. And this expansion required more faculty, and it absorbed most of the available people with graduate education, and a number of people then that went into graduate education. And now what's happened is that we have too many instead of too few. But there was opportunities, better opportunities , for people somewhere else , I $^{\text{i}}\,\text{m}$ sure, largely because many institutions were paying higher salaries than we were. It was not until President Paschall was able to get us free from what was called the \$tep alaries and get us into a peer-grouping where we ought to be and where we should be competing in salaries and faculty with a certain group of people of institutions, It was not until we got that and then got into graduate work enough so that we could gradually develop towards what was to be called a university, small university, to be classified as such. That brought us higher salaries. The more graduate work we had, the higher the salary scales could be do you see what I mean?

Emily: And this would have all been tied into the discussion over what was the purpose of William and Mary, waldrub

Jones: Yes, in a way. There has always been, I suppose as long as I can remember particularly in the last twenty years, there has always been

the feeling that William and Mary, unfortunately, became a training ground for people who came for a year or two or three and then went somewhere else. That feeling was rife around, and you heard a good deal, you heard it many particularly among the more outspoken faculty members who said, "well, what we are is a training program and we can't keep our people because other people take them from us." Well, to a certain extent some of that was true $\hat{\beta}$ also. We lost some good people, there is no question about it / During that period when all institutions were expanding / much too fast. The government was in education in a big way during the 60s. They were giving grants all over the place, you know —— W.T. H. and H.E.C. NSF and everything else, (National Science Foundation, NASA, and they were all giving you big grants and for science development but also for other things, too a whole lot of other things. We were branching out in that. We got into that. We had to We had to get into the business of trying to get as many fedemal grants as we could. And so I remember very well a young man by the name of Warren Heemann who was in English, who was in the English department. I remember we talked about it: We needed somebody to study these things and come to know what was available and help us to get them get these grants. So I remember talking to Warren Heemann about it, Maybe he would like to do this sort of thing. And he did; He went into it. And that was his first step towards, shall we say, The aved of development that he is in right now. This gradually developed to quite a big thing because there were so many/government federalgrants available that if you didn't know what they were and keep your fingers on it all the time you would lose these things, And the college did, I think, get its share of these grants, federal grants, mostly true for science rather than anything else. But nevertheless // this was a big help in developing the College. I don't know any other answer to that question to that question

that you asked me about the faculty except for the fact that I suppose, too, that there has always been a feeling that the follege somehow wasn't always; being a state institution we couldn't always develop very much, and we didn't have the funds to expand in the ways we would like to and we couldn't get people leaves of absence and all this kind of thing.

We had so little money, for instance, for research. I remember year after

research grants; summer grants. Well, we only had a limited amount of show, money, and we had to try to divide it up as best we could to a lot of people to spend the summer on their own work, and doing their own research.

All these things made for other institutions that had more money to could take our people. But I always felt at the end of each year when we counted up our resignations people going back to graduate school and people actually going elsewhere) I never thought that the percentage was in those were too high. Compared to other institutions I never thought we were out of line particularly on this. We have had I don't know what the policy is now, but we used to bring in a number of young people in the lower ranks. They would stay for two or three years and then they would go back to graduate school again. That took a number of our people.

Let me get back now to did I talk about President Paschall coming in?

Emily: No. You might want to start with that.

Jones: We talked about - -

Emily: About the reorganization.

Jones: the Colleges of William and Mary and the final bit of disillusion dissolution of which everybody ever after that breathed a big sigh of relief. That took an awful lot of work. It took great deal of work to get that done. We never could have done it on our own. It had to be done on the state douncil level and from General Assembly level and various thrings of this kind.

[and] and from local pressure such as Norfolk to have its own institution, R.P.I. Un Mich. to be a separate institution. So that happened, and we were left with Christopher Newport and Richard Bland College. Christopher Newport from the time it started in 60 it was a successful institution. It was bound to be because it had wonderful support from Newport News pale themselves and the people in the general assembly from Newport News. It was in the right place to develop, It was where, (as a two-year college at first, --That's what it was. So it saw, it experienced a very rapid rice in expansion. It was inevitable, I think after it got start/d two or three years going, it was inevitable that eventually Christopher Newport College would eventually become a four-year institution and that even aually probably it would be a separate institution. It is not yet, It is still Christopher Newport College of the College of William and Mary. I don't think they feel yet that they want to break loose. I think they feel they need the prestige and the name of the College behind them down there. But they have nevertheless developed into I think a very good institution. Bland never really did. Richard Bland had problems of all kinds. didn't have the support for one thing that Christopher Newport had. It was always in a way being running into criticisms and so on with the black institution there, the Virginia State College. There was trouble there brewing all the time. Its faculty, therefore, because it was in

such a weak situation, differed from Christopher Newport. Its faculty of the Couldn't get the best people, the good people thereof people didn't want to come. And this was all during a time when we were having faculty problems and difficulty getting faculty, good faculty. So much for that.

I don't know. That's a story I worked a good deal with and Christopher Newport, too but that's another story I think. I don't know how much of twentieth it you'll want in the story of William and Mary in the 20th century.

know how much you want to include. But anyhow that's for the moment now-and-stay-here.

President Paschall was inaugurated before the Colleges of William and Mary were dissolved. Once that happened it meant an independence and a freedom which was very good, very, very good. It had always been an independent institution, and it was for two years at least, labored under conditions that I think were intolderable, really. The most perhaps the most significant thing that happened shortly after the dissolution was, \mathcal{I} would say, the development. I would say, of physical science on this campus. It came about in a very interesting sort of way. In the first place finally constructed and built the physics building. (It was next to the library, but the physics building was the first building built on the new campus STHEY Once the physics department moved out of Rodgers Hall basement into this new building, it meant a number of things. But before that what, I think what what revolutionized science on this campus was the coming of the Space Radiation Effects Laboratory. Now that was, as you'll find, it was a very interesting thing that happened. The Space Radiation Effects Laboratory and its associate, Virginia Associated Research Center or VARC as it is sometimes, frequently called, were developed together. The National Aeronautics Space Administration (NASA) so to speak was at that time getting large appropriations in their budgets. The budgets were Most of the research (had) finally ended up in the moon flights, and all that was done right here at Langley Field. They wanted to build a big reactor for their own research. At the same time they wanted tog they did not want this to be operated by Langley Field or by NASA itself. They wanted it to be operated by an educational institution or university, if you will, so that it had that, shall we say, had that learning processes

Samuel anilles

involved, resevarch on the university level but at the same time see they would have it for their own use, part-time anyway. So they got appropriated (I don't know how much it was) several million dollars to Known later as the build this big reactor down here, Space Radiation Effects Laboratory. Near it very close to it was to be the Virginia Associated Research Center which originally was intended to be a combination of higher institutions of higher learning in this state and permit them to have research is space in a building (such as VARC is and was) with the idea being that they would use this research would be related to SREELY, be related to the reactor building business over there. This whole combination of things would be known as VARC. The title is important, Virginia Associated Research Center and that parimarily there would be equally three institutions, involved in it: and would be equally involved: University of Virginia, Virginia Politechnic Institute and the College of William and Mary. Well, when you look back on it now and even then it became fairly obvious that the University of Virginia with its large graduate work in physics and science and so on already, V.P.I. with its engineering and its development in sciences way beyond this college, it became fairly obvious that unless William and Mary was able to develop so that it could take its place equally with the other two institutions in at least one or two sciences, we had no business in there at all, don't you see. So the push then about 1963, the push the was for either developing our physics department (because that was the place to do it or else step out of VARC altogether, get out of VARC All right. I remember this. President Paschall and I discussed this at great length, and talked about it.

the possibility of developing our physics department. Finally, the governor gave the President; President Paschall the approval to bring ina top-notch research scientist into the physics department and also this meant, always did, this meant a man like that can't work alone; he has to have a team to work with him, \$0 this meant bringing in other good research people into the department of physics in order. We were closest to SREEL and VARC, much closer than the other two institutions. The upshot of all this was, I remember. I date many changes that have come about in this college in this expansion of one way or another. I date those changes to the decision to expand the physics department because eventually the physics department expanded way beyond any other science department at the college and way beyond what might be called a department for a college of liberal arts and sciences. We had no physics department -hardly at all. We had a very small department over there in Rogers basement. 'It was probably satisfactory for a liberal arts college but it was not a research-oriented department, nor was chemistry for that matter, Norwas biology. They were all good, I don't mean they were poor de-They were good departments for what they were intended to do, you see. I remember this wavering back and forth of what to do. I remember telling President Paschall one day standing on the Jamestown Road out there, just about where the bookstore is now (The bookstore wasn't there then saying, if we make this move, if we go ahead and expand this and do what, get into the research group, and so on, it's going to make a big, big change in this college, not only in the sciences but in every phase of this college." And it did, tt did eventually. We brought in

F

a scientist care a person who was particular a neuclear scientific person of the only reason he would come here was because of the reactor that was being thing at that time into the physics department. He in turn, I'm not going to name him, but he in turn brought in several colleagues, all who were oriented pretty much the same way, research-minded. When I say nauclear, you know what I mean. I mean the idea of people who knew how

to work on and develop such a machine as we have down here at this reactor

6W)

Now the plan was that the three institutions would be associated in the research work and that NASSA when the reactor was finished, NASA would have half of the time for their own research. The remainder of the time would be used by not only the three institutions for research but also they, in turn, would schedule the use of the machine for other from 0715 5 TOT 550 institutions, not only necessarily in Virginia but outside. Of course, the use of the machine had to be paid for by the people who were doing the research on it, But it would all be operated under the head of the thing known as VARC for the three institutions. Well, this was never a very happy thing as it rarely is when you have three institutions attempting to agree and to run a research operation such as this one; there is bound to be disagreements and there is bound to be rare kinds of problems that develop. That's what happened. I'd say the history of VARC and SREEL was for the first five years was a stormy one, real stormy one with problems all the time. The directors for instance, the first

director was a man from the University of Virginia. He came down and the was apparently your people did not think much of him. That is, they didn't feel he was qualified to direct this thing and there was a lot of feeling about this and so on; Finally he left, and we brought in a man from York, as a matter of fact. We brought this man over with all sorts of promises and things of this sort came over the had a big salary. This didn't turn out well, either. He finally was taken was taken back to the University of Virginia and (I don't know if he is still at the University of Virginia or not in physics. Eventually the stopy as it went along, eventually the governor, and I think it was this governor in his first term) Things got so confused and so bad in the way of operation that something had to be done. The governor called all parties, the presidents of the three institutions and some of the other people and director. For a while the director of VARC was not a scientist at all. He was a, I think he was a historian or political scientist or something who had been a director of State Council and who was taken from State Council to become the first director of VARC. That's right. He wasn't a scientist; We was an administrator, as a matter of fact. Well, the upshot of all this finally was that the VARC and SREEL should be put under the direction of the College of William and Mary(being the closest institution to it) and so on and that the other institutions, of course would use the research too and could have their people established at VARC to continue their research. VARC gradually became, of course, a kind of graduate center where a certain number of students were taught graduate courses and so on. Well, all of this made a big change, in the

en e

I suppose you might say it should have done. They moved into the new building and the new building was entirely devoted, all of it, to physics

so on, machine shop. They had the big various laboratories and entirely And all this was, highly devoted to a the development of graduate study in physics. First, of course, we gave a M.A., We always had an M.A But the next push, of course, was for the doctorate. It was the first doctorate we had. I remember preparing the reports and Laponoval of so on that went to the \$tate Council for a doctoral degree in physics at this college. Up to this time University of Virginia had, a doctoral That we did not. We were never degree; V.P.I. did not. They never were so set up. But they approved it, And so that was our first doctorate. Gradually we developed others. Some a si LManua Marine science, for instance came in. They were entirely for a graduate Program They did masters degree there in marine science set up, anyhow. degree Programi -And finally it was agreed that But again the doctoral part the doctor's degree in marine science should be jointly operated by the University of Virginia and the College of William and Mary and that students could take their doctoral degree at Virginia or could take it here, whichever they wished. Most of them, however, because we were fairly close to the institute of marine science, most of them took their degrees here. All this developed gradually the next graduate degree on the doctorage level

of course, was history. President Paschall had the idea of a doctoral

or dreams that he wanted. He felt that a doctoral program in colonial

history should be located here, And in many ways I think he was right.

The only trouble was that the history department as it was then organized

degree in history in his mind for a long time. It was one of his ideals

was opposed to a dectoral program, then organized and set up, pretty much the same people who are there here now, almost, were there then. (Maybe John Selby came in a little I'm not sure when John came. We had, of course Bill Abbott who was one of our good graduate people in colonial history). He finally went to the University of Virginia. But other than that, the same people were pretty much in the history department and they for a long time 1/12 opposed the doctoral degree because they did not feel really prepared for it. They did not feel thaat they had the library facilities or the faculty faculty time, and the proper perhaps appeal to attract good students to the doctoral/program. President Paschall, however, worked hard on them, pushed very hard, obtained funds for the library development and I remember very well. I thought this was wrong, but I remember very well that The arpairment he promised them \$200,000 over a period of three years in develop the library facilities toward the doctoral program. He almost met this, as a matter of fact. It was difficult to do, But he almost did. To make a long story short, opposition and I think there is probably some people in the department of history who feel we ought not to be giving a doctoral degree. I don't know but I wouldn't be surprised. Johnson said to me for a long time felt that we should not. I-think we have a very good . I think we have a very sound and very good history department and one of our strongest, by all Obtain & means o departments. To make a long story short, we finally got the The State Council and the General Assembly of Virginia and people of that sort were all for this program. They felt this was right here \hat{y} It belonged here. They were never quite sure about the physics but

they were always sure about the history graduate program. So it was

very easy to get a doctoradegree approved here and was. I've forgotten what

whom The present was withered

the date was but it was 67, 68. (L'11 have to look in the catalog, you can find out. So that was established. Then of course, the doctorate in education. Now the story of the education development into from a department into a school and then into its rather elaborate graduate the doctor's work to a doctorate degree end educational administration -- that's the degree, I think--is a very interesting story. This took place all at that time. The department of education was a conglomerate of a whole The chairman of the department wafter Dr. Oliver left and went to the R.P.I. (and then later to when it became Virginia Commonwealth University he of course, assumed the presidency of that for two or three years before he retired and came back here, The then chairman of the department was a man whose, I'm sure intentions, were good and he in many ways was a good man. But the department gradually came admitting all sorts of people into the graduate program for masters, They developed this MED program. And they were admitting all sorts of people whether they were prepared or whether they weren't. And they had their files filled with people people who maybe came in and took two or three courses and then didn't come back for a while. You had more and more people and the files were miserable. The department went downhill. Actually the school of education was created by Dr. Alvin Chandler. This was done without very much planning. It was Dr. Chandler simply felt that we needed a school of education and he set $\sqrt{}$ the task of developing such a school and setting it up and wagenizeing it

just simply

But they were

to two or three people, who went off and came up with the plan.

was approved by the Board during Dr. Chandler's administration.

entered the school at the beginning of the junior year.

created all sorts of problems, particularly with the undergraduates who

WERE OVER.

with the arts and science people who felt that they were the ones who controlled the bachelors degree, not the school of education. And this created a number of problems, not only with education but gradually with business, too. But to make a long story short, when the man who was at that time chairman was given a federal grant to do some study in the program in the went to Korkea on government work. But he left the college.

We brought in from Mary Washington College, no from Longwood College —

Dr. Richard Brooks, who was dean there. This was 1968. I remember so well because I was so very anxious to bring Dr. Brooks here. He had been here, you know before.

Emily: Yes. You had talked about that when he was here earlier.

Jones: Richard Brooks. He was here first in 47, then later went to Longwood the jobs that I asked Dr. Brooks to do was to some way or other to get the school of education on its feet and get its standards up, develop its standards, academic standards, develop its standards to admission for graduate work and particularly, to determine how many people many people now on the books in education in graduate work in education were worthy and should be continued or not. This was quite a job. Finally, the school of education had more and more moved away from the rest of the college and had got to the place where the arts and science people had no felt, had really very little respect for the school of education. There was a certain feeling of irritation developing between the two that was not good. And I asked Dr. Brooks if he would work hard to bring the school of education bakk into the college and back into its relationship with the

arts and science people so that the arts and science people would come to respect the work that was being done in the school of education. And I think he did a good deal of this, I think he made considerable progress. A don't know why, but there will always be a certain feeling on the part of arts and science people that the work in education is not really up to what it should be, you know, And that there is a certain amount of --I don't know what they call it exactly in the whole theory of teaching of education that is not very sound, A lot of it is pretty much hot air. There has always been that feelingf And there always will be, I think, to a certain extent and in some ways I blame the educationalists themselves because I think they were together in this way. This school in education I think is a good one. I think it's very good. I think it's as good as you're going to find. And I think the new dean from all I can tell is a very good man. But I think that Dr. Brooks and Robert Jones, who is associate dean, and other people who came into the faculty did a great deal to improve the graduate level, the work the graduate level, to improve the admission standards, and in a way, to gain the respect, to a certain respect, of certainly somewhat anyhow of the arts and science faculty. Then came the business [school]

Emily: And the same sort of antagonism from arts and sciences was found there, too, was it not?

Jones: Oh, yes, very definitely because the arts and science people looked upon the business school as being a too much of a trade institution, too much of a practical business and too professional, so to speak. There again we had our problems. It finally became a school. We set it up as a school, but immediately that caused a clash between the arts and

and sciences people and the business people because

of unity when do students enter the school of business? All right. They enter s

the beginning of the junior year when they begin to concentrate. All right. How much control does the school of business have over the undergraduate? I'm not talking about the MBA program because the MBA program developed on its own, But I'm talking about the undergraduate program. When? How much control? Who says, for instance, when a student has completed his degree requirement? The school of business or the arts and science faculty, which always $felt_{A}$ it was their prerogative to control the babbelors degree? And in many ways, yes, They're right. That sort of went on smouldering as this school of business developed its enrollments and as it increased its MBA program. You know it started the MBA program really did not begin until about 68, and it started as a night program only. It attracted people from Fort Hustis and from Fort Monroe and people like that, that sort of group who could only come at night. So it was a night program. And gradually they were able to attract day people to make it a full program during the day. So they have expanded their enrollment as you know, to really quite a large en-In fact I remember one of the last things I did almost one of the last things I did before I left the dean's office the vicepresident's office, was to tell the dean then who is still the dean, who is still the dean, on Quittmeyer not to expand not to go beyond what he already had the admissions. He had too many students. (I'm talking about the graduate program now. In the meantime they wanted business to go on and be accredited by an accrediting organization in the country known as the American Association of Schools of Business, I think it was. This organization

-organization had very set standards and very set ideas as to what wasconstituted a good school of business and what kind of regulations and a school of business applying for accreditation through them what kind of standards they have to meet. One of the standards that they insisted on was atonomy of the school, That is of its controlling of the requirements for its undergraduate degree. At first the school of business applied for accreditation on the undergraduate level - In other words, just the bachelor of business administration. Later, only recently has it finally received accreditation on the graduate level, the MBA level. When they applied for their accreditation we had to prepare a large report and so on and when it was submitted, instead of allowing it to go to the whole organization and so on we decided to try it out on a couple of the officers of the organization and see what they thought. We were turned there. They turned us down. They said, there's no use in submitting this to the whole organization (American Association o Business Schools because you have not met the requirements the one important one that you're not atonomous. You are not. You do not control the requirements for your degree. The arts and science people were determined they were not going to allow the business school to do this. So there we were at loggerheads. In the meantime the school of business had gradually developed a rather power, so-called advisory group of business people outside of the college. They had, for instance, people like the president of Newport News Ship Building and Dry Dock. They had some bankers, They had some people of rather considerable influence. It gradually grew to a group of some 15 thteen on or 29 people. These people were pressing very hard for this accreditation, very hard, pressing us for getting this accreditation , Vorking some-The school thing so that they could meet the requirements. Well, if you don't think

In case of the Interpretate or argent thank the property for the real are two and a served and a

this was a problem and a job with almost an adamagnt attitude on the part [people] of the arts and sciences and at the same time an adamant attitude on the part of the business to the extent that when you got people together to sit down and talk about it to see if there was any common ground you could arrive at, you usually ended up by having deans and so-on and faculty members and so on really calling each other names, and saying to each other that they were fools and this kind of thing went on. It was, difficult, very difficult. Finally working with the two and trying to get the dean of arts and sciences them and the dean of the school of business, neither which I think had much respect for each other (particularly from the arts and science standpoint that we had some sort of, we had worked out a compromise on the thing so that while the business school could work out the program for an undergraduate student in business & and could certify his degree. See before that the dean of arts and sciences could certify his degree. And if there were any problems that arose between the two, that these would be worked out by some sort of compromise I've forgotten or something. I forgot now what the details were. It was a shaky business, no question about it. But nevertheless it did meet the it did enable the school of business to slip in the line and get its accreditation. It did provide what might be called enough atonomy as a -school in controlling its own requirements and so on so that it could slip order in line and finally got its accreditation and everything was very happy. But it was a job and it was a worry to me for a long, long time. Since then they have also received it, I believe, on the graduate level as well. I think the first big step, the hard one, is the undergraduate level. Once that's approved then the other is very easy. That then appeared the advisory council and the dean. \Now you might want to know - You asked me this question. Where was it that I became dean of the faculty. In

64 I became dean of the college. In 68 I became vice-president for academic affairs. I wasn't vice-president just alone.

Emily: No, it was for academic affairs.

Jones: That's right. Well, the reason for all that is tied up with the growth of the college and with the general changes of conditions / administrative conditions which in a sense brought it about. When I became dean of the faculty, that meant just what it said; that the faculty of the college was the whole faculty including the law school people there. They were members of the general faculty. The education people it was a department; We didn't have a school. There wasn't a school. The only school we had, if you can call it so, is a law school. That had been created again or recreated with the 1954 business and so on, when it became the Marshall-Wythe School. Other than that we had the departments. Everybody therefore f the dean of the faculty was very much the same thing as the dean of the college. Now back when I first came to the college and for some long time after that we had a dean of the college, you know. became the dean of the faculty during Mr. Bryan's administration. This was a term that was generally used in most institutions that had a dean of the faculty in a sense academic dean. It became fairly obvious in 1963 or 4 that that was about the time that we did the self-study: for The South from And one of the recommendations of the self-study was that as the, there was gradually a law school, then the marine science group gradually developed towards a school. Education was moving toward a school, kind organization.
of, not yet established, but moving towards a school. We were developing graduate work, and the physics development came along. All this made for a certain amount of fragmentation in the faculty, especially, TWESS GROUPS & SALLY MOTE ISOLATED THE FACULTY. So there came

to be and what seemed to be a different kind of organization set up.

That is why in 1964 we proposed a new administrative organization. draw of a different drew up all these charts. Every so often we'd out another chart, and we'd work on that. In other words the need developed for a change in administrative organization. Part of it was the result of a self-study. We then developed the, I'm not sure it we didn't develop the dean of students at that time, Not only the dean of men and the dean of women, but the dean of students, too. I think so. Mr. Lambert became dean of Then we changed the title to pean of the college general, as a whole bean of the college in order to indicate the academic officer for all of these different elements, We were beginning to break up somewhat and break away. That went along for four years. Then the schools had begun had developed, you see. We had the school then of education, We had the school of marine science. We had the school of law, and I think we we had [we had] either had, yes, the school of business. All right, all these different schools and the arts and sciences. So a new organization was obviously needed. So in 1968 again we worked up these charts and so forth and finally came up and recommended to the Board another administrative organization. Of course one thing that happened in the self-study was that it was discovered--although we knew it all along but, just didn't have it made clear to us--that there were something like, 23 different people reporting directly to the Fresident. This was absurd. We needed something better than that, cutting that down to three or, five people reporting to the president. That's why the dean of the college finally got developed. All right. Then the same problem came again because here were these deans and these schools and headed by these deans, and yet we didn't have a proper division of the administration.

So we worked out the plan of the president having three or four vicepresidents reporting to him, and under those vice-presidents came a whole number of various activities admissions, the library, faculties, departments, schools, and what not. So we developed the idea of a vice-president for student affairs (Under him was the deans of the men, the women and dean of students even. I think we did have a dean of students, too) then the vice-president for business affairs, which generally was true in most institutions, and a vice-president for academic affairs. Under the vice-president for academic affairs came all the dapartments, the schools and the branches. So that was the reason for that change. And that seemed to be a pretty workable business. Also you see then, once the schools developed on their own, then we had to separate, had to recognize that there was a large faculty known as arts and sciences which was the biggest of all the faculties and included, I don't know how many departments. When they take the schools away I Think it includes something like eighteen departments, undergraduates and graduates, tog because of course, they had their own, some of them. More and History had. We gradually developed into more graduate work. That's another thing that went on which complicated things. We have now many departments developed master's programs during that time? History was one of the older ones. Physics __ And then of course the doctoral program in physics. Biology developed a master's program. Chemistry developed one, never strong, never very many students in it. It did not always have its support of the full faculty in chemistry, But they did have a master's program. Psychology had a doctoral program approved which never

got off the ground and hasn't yet, as far as I know. But psychology had a strong M.A. Master's program. English eventually, They had one and then they dropped it, and then they finally picked it up again. They developed it. It's now a going program. English and what else?

Emily: Education. That's out of arts and sciences, right %

I'm thinking about the academic departments now. What about government. Jones: Government developed a master's program. So you see a number of master's programs developed and this is why we were able to claim that we were really a small university with all the schools and graduate programs and everything else. And that, of course, enabled us to get into a different classification on salaries. \dot{T} the first breakthrough on salaries from the old lock-step business step scale sort of thing was the press made in sciences. If we could get bigger salaries in sciences, eventually it this opened the door for all the rest (that's what the resident felt) And it did. It did because we were first able to get the better salaries In order to get the physicists we had to pay them higher in physics. That s why we opened the door and changes came about. We increased salaries a great deal during President Paschall's administration. They needed to be but nevertheless they were. We pressed hard for these, and we got them. And we still need a long way to go yet, I know, and probably always will. But still, it did an awful lot. The law school, for instance, was always ahead of the rest of the faculty. But the law school is now behind other law schools in this faculty salaries

assistant to a Democratic governor. So he resigned as assistant to a se-ealled director of administration, or whatever they called it of the governor. And the then President, President Paschall, was, it was hinted bo President Paschall to him by the governor, Mr. Godwin that the administrative position at the College might be a good thing for Carter Lowance. He had already had during one governor's administration been vice-president at the Medical College of Virginia before it became part of V.C.U. And that this would enable Mr. Lowance to continue with the Commonwealth, and so on, the kind of thing he probably would like to do. Well, we discussed this with the Board and finally the Board approved it and the President discussed it with Mr. Carter Lowance. At that time Mr. Carter Lowance was uncertain as to what he was going to do. He was Resilions he offered, he had two or three other places that he might geunder consideration. And what we did then. And I'm not sure. What I can be concentrated if we decided that the organization that we had was not as good as it should be and what we really needed was an executive vicepresident and three vice-presidents under him, generally under him: academic, business and student affairs. I think this was the philosophy us had in mend. of administration. We were thinking about it before Mr. Lowance became available, yes. But the availability of somebody who was as well-trained in administration -- What we needed for the executive vice-president was not an academic man, we need an administrator and a good one. Of course, Mr. Lowance had a long history of administration with all the governors he had worked for. Furthermore he was was wellknown in state government and with many of the General Assembly people,

and this would eventually become something of a help to us with the General Assembly. Here again, making a long story short, I'll simply say this. The organization was approved. Oh, yes. That was it. Now I've got it. It wasn't set up because of Mr. Lowance at all. It was already set up by the Board. The Board set up the new organization and approved it, and asked me if I would take the executive vice-presidency. And I said no because I had already requested the year before that I be permitted to retire in 1970 (at the end of the session of the 69-70 or in September of 70 Lasked then in the fail of the year that I be permitted to be retired. And the Board requested that I remain for one more year because President Paschall had indicated finally that he was going to retire Tinally in 71, And he and the Board asked me if I would stay during his last year up to the time they changed and then this could all be schanged. -Angt I, at that time, had things that I wanted to do of my own A here, up here. And they agreed that I should be, if I stayed for one more year, should be permitted to spend part of my time working up here on my own things, I never got that time, of course. So the Board approved this organization, and then Mr. Lowance considered, And I remember he and I had a long talk between us. He said he told me at the time that he would not accept this position if he thought I would accept it. Finally I told him that I would not under any circumstances, And so he did. I'm so pleased that he did. The first year that he was here, 70-71, was my last year. We worked well together. There were many things that he would have to learn. So the two of us together worked beautifully, and

really we had a good year. In the meantime we set up the other organization: executive vice-president under (Mr. Lowance) and then we set up the three vice-presidents under student, business, and academic, That's the way we There were several administrative changes, all brought about by changes in the college, All brought about by I suppose you might say, by administrative organization seemed to me) became more and more important everywhere, all around. Not just at the college here. But more attention was given to the administrative organization and so on and how to do things that way and better. And we directed ourselved into that sort of thing from time to time hoping always to get the proper one The last important thing you did for the college. . . Jones: What happened was when Dr. Paschall finally, he said earlier he was going to retire and he changed his mind at the request of the Board. But in the fall of 1970 or sometime during that fall he announced to the Board without any question that he was going to retire from the presidency of the College in September of 1971, and at the same time he also announced September of my returement in 1971. I suppose he did make the provision that if a new

president had not been appointed by that time he would remain until he was but that was the time he wanted to retire was the date he gave. In December, I think it was the executive committee of the Board met that fall and that was still 70 They set up two committees. The first time that the Board, had ever been done, two committees to search for and to recommend to the Board someone for the presidency of the college and someone for the vice-president of academic affairs. These two committees were separate. One group to do academic affairs was largely made up of faculty members, Maybe one Board member. The other one was made up quite interestingly as I'll tell you in a minute. They decided that they

they decided they would set up this committee. This committee was given the permission of recommending to the Board of Visitors five names in order of preference of the committee to the Board of Visitors, presumably, if possible, before September 1971. This was December 1970. They also provided that the make-up of that committee would be - Freez or five Transformer now, five members from the Board, three members the faculty of the College, two members from arts and sciences, (oll of them), one from the schools, two members of the alumni association, one being the President of the alumni association, the other to be chosen by the Board, and two students. Now this was it, the committee representing all the College. The committee had its first meeting. Then the Board asked me if I would serve as co∮ordinator of the search committee. They made the chairman of the committee sthe rector of the Board, Mr. Ernest Goodrich was chairman of the committee but I was the coordinator It meant that I had almost all the work to do. that, beginning in January, a good deal of the work in my office centered on this committee and the search for the President. The first meeting of the committee was in January, early in January on a Saturday morning the amating was hid following the meeting of the Board of Visitors in the Blue Room of the Wren Building. It was felt that the work of the committee was so important

Wren Building. It was felt that the work of the committee was so important that it should be rather symbolic that they should meet in the old Wren Building and in the Blue Room where the Board used to meet in the old days. We did, and the committee established certain procedures and so on. All right. It met in all as a committee not more than five times during from January to June, no more than five times as a committee. There was a subcommittee of faculty members and students, three faculty members and

two students a subcommittee to assist in cutting down the lists of possibilities over the period of time. The committee started out by canvasing all the alumnic, each of the faculties of the College, particularly arts and sciences had its own committee. Students had their own student committee, and everybody was canvased for recommendations. We ended up with something like two or three hundred names all kinds--internal in the College, external. None of these names, we didn't know, we can be a considered to the contract of the cont available or not. They were all names recommended by alumni, faculty, and everything else. And the job of the committee, first of all was to look into the qualifications (if possible) of most of these people and to narrow the list down to some sizable amount. And that was turned ment bers and The students on The Comm over partly to the faculty group to assist the main committee in this. The committee gradually narrowed the list until we got down to a group that we wanted to, we felt we would like to know about their availability. The smaller ust So once we decided on that then my job was to determine the availability of various people, whether they were interested or whether they weren't, whether they like to continue to interview and all this. We finally ended up with something like about ten candidates whom we felt were qualified and everybody agreed we should consider and we should interview and who had also on their part agreed to be considered and would We began the interviews in like to be considered for the position. April and we scheduled these interviews sometimes they got as much as The committee divided itself into two groups: group A and group B. At each interview one group was expected to be present during the time of the interview candidate was here and would interview the candidate, Group A first and then group B and then back and forth. Any other members of the committee who were able to do so could sit in. We carried on the interviews for a period of two or three weeks, I guess.

whether These is deviced works

candidates I think we finally ended up with eight, people coming; eight candidates. They were here for two days, at least two days. They pretty well covered the college. They interviewed the committee one whole morning. interviewed the President, all the deans, they interviewed the committees of different faculties. They spent some time with students at a lunch with them and a walk with them so the students could get a chance to Each amaidade Everything we could do we kept them busy for two meet them informally. days. And at the end of the interviews the committee met and considered all the candidates and finally voted. They voted five candidates. came up with five dandidatesand with first, second, third, fourth, and fifth, in order. Then the committee prepared itself prepared to report to report to the Board. The Board met in its regular meeting in May of that year, the dates of which I have here, but I don't know that you need them; in May, The Board metastarted early for that meeting and carried They spent Friday with the committee, on its regular business on Thursday. the committee met with the Board and each member of the committee dis-The committee, again, cussed the candidates with the Board as a whole. during the afternoon of Friday continued its discussion of candidates and VZOUTHWILLDER by Standarder of the committee.

January 22, 1975

what I've already said about the search committee and its procedures and finally its recommendations to the board in connection to the new president. The first place there were five members of the board. I think I indicated four or five, five members including the officers of the board and two others of and two others besides the officers were faculty members. I've forgotten whether I did indicated the three faculty members. I've forgotten whether I did indicated the schools, all the schools together. And the alumni members were to be I don't think I indicated that, were to be the president of the flumni Association at the time and the vice-president. The first meeting of the board was a Saturday morning of January 9, 1971. That's the first meeting that was held, as I indicated that in the Blue Room.

Another correction or two is that the committee met a number of times as a committee and a number of times individually one thing and another.

But the committee meetings of the committee as a whole—remember this committee was scattered. Some of them were in Richmond, Norfilk, and various places, and we couldn't always get them together, and sometimes it meant a little inconvenience for them because once we met, when we did meet, we tried to meet as long as we needed to. Usually this lasted four or five or six hours because there was a great deal to do. Even though we had subcommittees working on the list and all that sort of thing and I was spending most of my time telephoning and writing and whatnot, nevertheless the work of the committee had to be done as a committee.

So the committee met the following dates: the 9th of January in the Blue Room; It met the 12th of Februzary, and started at dinner that evening,

ten o'clock in the morning until four in the afternoon. On the 27th of March it met from ten until about two in the afternoon and again on May 10th from ten to four. This was the last meeting of the committee and ENTREM SIM KG A it prepared its recommendations. There was a subcommittee of the faculty members, students if they wish to sit in and the co-ordinator and they met three times in February, February 16th, 18th and 19th. These meetings were held close together simply because the job which they did was to winn ow eliminate this list down to something like thirty names although this did not exclude anybody that was taken out by the subcommittee. people could be brought back in at any time by the whole committee and some of them were during the time that work went along. The committee was asked to prepare itself for the meeting of the 10th by each person on either of the subcommittees of the division that interviewed one or the other as I indicated they were divided into two groups, We called on one group for one candidate and another group the next because it would be too much to expect to get these people. In some instances, I indicated twice a week, in some instances actually we would

and it met until after midnight. On the 27th of February it met from

Emily: How many candidates were there?

Jones: At this point about ten. I'm not going to name them, I don't want to name them. There were ten. The committee, the various members of the committee were asked to write his or her estimate of the candidates that he had interviewed. So we actually got about five or six pieces of writing about on five or six people on each candidate. The some instances, such as the

say goodbye to one candidate and send down to the airport to pick 4

up another one at the same time. Well, this happened two or three times.

In some instances, such as the Rector who tried to be present at almost all the interviews he could and the students and faculty people were present at all of them because they were here. They were right on grounds, so they didn't have to travel and they were asked to write. So we had really more than five. _ We had sometimes seven and eight pieces of writing; actual writing. And at the meeting on the tenth these were all read to the committee. Subsequently, after the report was made and so on, they were destroy. These were destroyed. I'll these pieces of writing about the committee were destroyed. There was some attempt at times to coordinate our efforts with the other committee searching as a faculty committee primarily, searching for a vice-president because in many instances the committee on the vice-presidency was considering the same people that the presidential committee was considering. As a matter of fact & when we eliminated people from our list (when I say we I mean the committee) # It was at times suggested, not always, but suggested that this person should be referred to the other committee as good candidates Te CONSID WI for them. So there was a cofordination. There had to be because there were more than you would think, were considered for both. And so the two committees needed some communication back and forth. The other committee, COGNIZANT however, was quite cogniscent 🔨 that the presidential search committee should act before the other committee acted because it was felt that whoever the newly elected president would be should have something to say about his vice-president for academic affairs because it would not be fair to have him elected and hand to him on a platter already done. So that's why the other committee lagged on much longer, in fact it lagged on, it continued its discussions until a couple of months after realing With Is PEPERTO other committee was ready, had made its report and the job was finished.

Was there a corsolous attempt to find a president and for a vice-president from oxiside the area area for the area.

THE Presidential Search Committee did not 1554 consciously to select and iscommend a person for The office from outside The Callege or The qui svol arra in which The Calls gr is located. There were a mumber of names on The original 115T who were ruren boss of THE faculty Pressi. visidents. There were bloo a feir num ber of Alumni on The list. Among The fund Tru, however, only Two were Virguiaus, and only one was an alumnus. At Though it was chary understood That william and Many Alumini, town Ty, etc. were To be considered for The Presidency, I Think There was a testing, uns po Kry, which Truded to go out of state for The condidate. From what I know of The Procedures of the Vice Presidential committee, There was 1505 urgs to go beyond The faculty or traiding of Virginia for The Condidate.

The present
In fact, theepresent president who was elected conferred with the chief
candidates of the other committee and for the vice-presidency.

In other words, for this college it was a novel experience. It probably wasn't as much for some other institutions because some institutions there had always been a good communication with alumni, with everybody else before a president was selected. This would be true in most of the (Harvard, especially)

Ivy League places because they can consider the overseers and T don't

know_if the overseer is the same as our Board of Visitors but the

and all the rest of them are part of the job.

Emilly: Why was there this great departure in the way William and Mary made its selection. ?

had been over tramped on and overridden and everything else by the Board of other times when presidents were selected. This time the Board itself, I think was consectious of this, and also remember we had gone through a period of very strong movement towards a more democratic administrative procedures on the part of colleges. We had gone through all the student business. They wanted to have something to do with the running of the college, wanted to be on committees. We kept getting requests for wanting on faculty committees. And now they are on a good many faculty committees. It all was a part of that same part of this had happened, and it was a very democratic process all the way through. You'd be surprised how much a part the students took in it. Not only did they have representatives on that committee and they were always there and always articulate.

at the same time these two students who were on the committee had their own committee of students, some ten or twelve of them that represented of the things all the students one reason that they requested was that they be permitted, when a candidate was going to be seriously considered -- that meant the last ten, I guess -- that they be permitted to interview and consult with students on the campuses where these people came from to see how they were liked on that campus by students. And this happened at several instances. These students wanted to have more time with the candidate than we could allow them because there just wasn't that much time. ndatiod with But they did always have the candidate alone without anybody else with Medandidot s them except students. They took them to lunch, usually over in the dining hall and they had a table where they all sat, usually both husband and We wanted to talk to the wives too The randical se wife because they asked them to bring their wives along. And then they wanted to take a walk with them, walk, around the campus and see how they acted informally. And they did that. So the students had a good part

Emily: Did having so many people having a voice in it lead#to any kind of fractionalism?

in it as well as the alumni and the Board.

in this whole process than anything I've ever seen. It was a general, joint cooperative effort. Nobody quarreled with anybody. And the interdsting thing about it as one of the members of the board at one meeting got up and made a speech about it in which he said that he had never, he would not have believed that all the elements in the college on this committee represented could agree as they have done on one or two or three of the over the stall; candidates. There was no disagreement and no quarrel. There was really

whittle. It was quite amazing because we had a fairly militant power member

very from the student body, a president, a very active and bright girl. But she was a very, she was quite active inthings. She was, in a way sort of to the left about things, so you wouldn't have expected this agreement, but we got it. That's why it is an important part of the history of the particularly college. It wouldn't be an important part or significant part if this had been sort of the rule all along. But since it wasn't, now for instance, look what's happening. It has set a precident so that now when there's a job open in the college, what do they do? They appoint a committtee to make recommendations. They had one on this new job but they gave up because they didn't have enough funds. It was supposed to be a vicepresident for planning or something of the sort and they had a committee set up of faculty people and so on to come up with the recommendation. But this is what I mean, This is why I say, significant, this particular phase in the history of the college. I think this will always go on. T don't think, I can't foresee a time when the presidency or when the top administrative jobs on this college will not be filled by a recommendation by search committees. I don't foresee any time like that again. But here it got started and it didn't take any great revolution to change It seems to me as I recall, that when the executive committee met after the president and I indicated our resignations (when they were going to take place and so on), when the executive committee met sometime in November or December there didn't seem to be any question about how are you going to do this thing. I don't know why it wasn't. Well, we must have a committee.

Emily: This was a Board-initiated idea?

Jones: Yes, The Board initiated it. Oh, I may say I think that maybe the President and I had something to do about suggesting to the Board they ought to do it like this. But there wasn't any great discussion about it

there wasn't any great discussion about it, oh no, this is the Board'5 business. There wasn't any. It was, yes, by all means. This is the way we should do it and let's get on with it. Now we come to a few things I want to say about that last thing. Here I am on delicate ground; because I have to be very careful because certain things occurred that you just have to forget. The committee met with the Board on Friday, May the 21st, all day practically, Not quite all day but most of the morning and somewhat after lunch as I indicated with the fourt and they presented their redommendations. Then they supposrted these. Each member of the committee -- and there were only two absences and those were because of illness--expressed himself in what he had to say about each of the candidates to the whole Board. The Board then, after that had been done, they decided that they would meet without the committee and discuss this. However, I was present at their discussion. My administrative adistant, who was served as secretary of the committee and so on was also present for the discussion and for the rest of the procedures of the Board at this time. Mr. Lowance who was then executive vace-president was present. Mr. English, who was the bursar or vice-president of business affairs was present. I do not remember whether the vice-present of student affairs was present or not. I rather think he was not This discussion took place and finally the recommendation of the committee indicated by the committee's preferential order that there were two outstanding candidates, two. That didn't mean that they dropped the others But as a matter of fact, after some discussion they did, yes. They had to. They had to get down to eliminating. You couldn't elect five and go on with five. So we did eliminate gradually discussing one or two: And finally there were two outstanding candidates. The Board could do one of two things. They could have gone ahead and voted then or they could have

I he was

6K

said, no we're not ready to vote. Now all this material had been sent the Board previous to the meeting. They had received the material that you get together on a candidate. They had received a dossier on everybody. They had received everything about them, letters we had received about them and all that. The Board had a good deal of information. But it was requested by vote, I think, that before the Board made any decision they would like to interview the two outstanding candidates. And since it was Frdday evening, and the Board was to meet on Saturday and was expected to make a decision because the committee had made its recommendations, and to delay it much more would simply have meant that would have had to call another meeting and everybody had to come back from where they were And it was decided to make every effort we could to have those two top main candidates present for the Saturday meeting. That's what we did. My executive, and I got on the phone, and we were able to have both candidates coming the next day to meet with the Board. One of them, it happened, had already planned to be there in the morning, So we didn't have any problem with him. The other on The Board met Saturday morning, when 22nd. It spent a good deal of its time with one of the two candidates that morning. The second one arrived And so we had the interesting situation of having in time for lunch,

and a little awkward. They both knew it; it was obvious. This is my epinion I think it was a mistake. I think it was a mistake to have both candidates interviewed at the same time, the same day. But under the circumstances it appeared that this was the most convenient thing to do. Any how they interviewed for some time in the afternoon the second of the two candidates and I suppose you might say approximately four o'clock the Board got down to voting or deciding on which one they wanted to elect.

both top candidates at lunch, It is all right, a little embarrassing

I think one can only say here if that the Board did vote and the vote elected Mr. Graves. The Board decided that there would be a press conference held and they would announce this to the press. The press knew all about this and they were just waiting to get in here and get the thing. The Rector and the vice rector, by the way, the President of the \$\psi_011ege, \quad Paschall, was not present at any of these discussions on the presidency, none, He was present at the Board meetings when they discus but not at the meetings when the search committee met; deplement de de Materiale

nor was he present on Saturday. He was at lunch on Saturday with the Board but he was not present at the sessions. So in the meantime we had to notify the candidate who had been elected. It just happened that he was already here because he had just been here for an interview. We notified him and he accepted, him and the press conference was held. and announced and so forth. That is the story.

Emily: Did the Board meet long after they started voting? You said they met at four o'clock to start voting it was not a long time.

Jones: Once the voting was finished it was just a matter of procedure of what to do from here, notify the candidate, yes. The candidate did meet with the Board not too long after the election. It was a very wonderful procedure. I was so pleased about the thing and I think everybody on the Board was pleased about the general procedure on the thing and the way it spirit of had been done and the whole cooperation had taken place over the months. That evening we had a dinner with the candidate, the new President and the Board people and so on. That was I guess you might say it was the last important duty that I performed at the College because that was in a good deal I did help, when the President-elect came down on several visits we worked together and Mr. Lowance and I worked with him and get him

K

getting him immoculated and oriented into a lot of things about the college. He knew a good deal because he had an interview with everybody and he had read a good deal, too. All those candidates when they came were provided did you ever see that ten-year report

Emily: Yes.

Jones: They supplied them with a copy of that report so they could get a good view of the college and something of the history of the ten years before. They were all informed. They didn't come here blind and they all knew if they wanted the job because they wouldn't have come if they hadn't. There was only one candidate should say, who came uncertain about whether he wanted. Subsequently after he left, he notified us that he would not be interested. Now, that's that story.

Emily: Did you stay on until a new vice-president was picked?

Jones: Yes, because actually the new vice-president was not selected until, as I recall, late in August. Jim Fowler knows more about this than I do because Jim was chairman of that search committee, being dean of the faculty of arts and sciences. But as I recall the then president-elect, I think the committee, search committee recommended two or three people for this. I think the president-elect, in Boston er somewhere interviewed most of them, all the ones that were nominated or recommended. And I think that did not take place until August or sometime after that. This is my recollection. The actual date of my retirement was the 31st of August, 1971

I suppose there are a lot of places to fill in here, but that's the main There's no use going into a lot of details of this selection. Don't think for a moment, there weren't difficult times in that period from January to May because there were there were difficult things. There were prejudices, unquestionably, there had to be. People had strong feelings about certain candidates, bound to be. And these had to be ironed out. That's why these meetings were so long because they had to be a full and open discussion about this. And there were times when I got quite concerned about how the thing might go so that it would go, I didn't want it to go any other way but to have the committee make the recommendations as they were supposed to do. & I felt, as I told the Board when we met with them on Friday, after the committee had left, I said, it seems to me that this Board must take accept the recommendations of this committee because if it doesn't do so, it doesn't have to pick number one or number two or number three but it has to pick one of those candidates, because this committee has worked hardfand it knows a good deal about what it has been doing, much more than the Board could possibly know. But the Board felt, I'm sure, and rightly the reaction to what I said was, rights. "Yes, But remember that no committee except the Board elects this president. We select this president. And that was correct; That's true. That Board could have very well have gone out altogether from what the recommendations were and have $selected_{A}$ their own as had been done, in the past. They could have done that. But if they had, under this procedure done the way it was done, it would have caused a very serious situation in this college, faculty particularly, and alumni, and students, no question about it. This had been a democrate procedure.

The committee had worked hard and made its recommendations. The board was bound -- or it shouldn't have ever started the process in the first place.

All of that is alt part of what I call a very significant phase of the history of the college of this century. Whether we were right or whether we were wrong, something else again that's a matter of opinion of It was very interesting to come through. course. You get it all the time.

Emily: You have seen now 456 even more than 45 years of the history ...

Jones: I have retired and been at the College 43 years.

Forty-three years and three years since, too twentieth

Emily: 43 years, do you think that William and Mary in the 20th, century, from what you have observed has lived up to its distinguished past? This is very much an opinion question.

Jones: It's not an easy question to answer, But I would say that the college in the 20th century is a very, very different college from when I came here in 1928. I would say that generall speaking it is a much better college than it was in 1928.

Emily: In what ways?

Jones: Academically, from the standpoint of admissions (This is all part of the academics but I mean admissions, academic standards, general outlook. The college has broadened in its out look towards, integration and all that. The changes there are just amazing, They were all over the state and country, but all over the state, particularly. I remember some very serious incidences at the University of Richmond which indicated the strong, strong prejudice against the blacks and so forth. To me, coming

These were unpleasant, most unpleasant. But this college has grown a lot in that way. Its faculty is much better. We had some fine people in the faculty. They so frequently speak about the Seven Wise Men, But when you look at the Seven Wise Mena I'm not questioning their wisdom nor am I questioning the fact that

before my time

because most of them were. I did not know any of them except one. They were all They were living, one or two, but I did not know them. The one who I might have known, had he not died just before I came was J. Leslie Hall, who was English. But I did not know him because he died just before I came here. When you look at these people in their day I think they were distinguished, But I think the faculty had many distinguished people They may not have been as individualistic and may not have been as excentric. The faculty has grown terrificatly. When I came here the faculty was small. The English department could meet around the desk of sat around the desk of the the resident, I told you earlier about four or five people, Now the English department is some people or more. That doesn't mean the College is any better because the departments have grown. You could argue that the college is split up into groups. You have the law school, the business school, school of education and so on and so forth and this has changed the general direction and the unity of the dollege. Of course it has. But that's not any different from any other institutions I don't think that a state institution supported by tax funds, whether it's this state or any other state, can be can continue to be devoted to one goal and that is to a teaching and development of the arts and sciences in the general way. I do not think so. I think that private institutions can do so because they determine their own goals and they are not responsible to the tax payers. But a state institution has got to respond in some way or other to the various kinds of education and frequently the professions, as well as the general, education educated person. So that's why the trend

nor am I questioning that they were distinguished members of the faculty,

the trend has been this way, I think at this college. But this college is still devoted, I think primarily to the arts and sciences and the general educated person. I think it still is and I think it is its main purpose. And I don't think anybody who thinks about it sensibly could say anything ele even if they were members of wirts school member of the faculty of this school. So, yes, I think when you say lived up to its great tradition, one has to define that tradition. The college was a small men's college if you speak about its history up to the late 19th nineteenth century. Now it is a cojeducational, small university made up of not only the arts and sciences group but other professional groups as well. If you mean to say & has it lived up to its tradition as a college of arts and sciences, no, not altogether because it has branched out and done other things. But at the same time I think this is a 20th century development of the traditions of the colleges, and I think that in many ways it is still dominated by the college of liberal arts and sciences yes, I do. And I think its better, a lot better. I think our students in many ways are better. We're away from the so-called Gentlemanly tradition of a college now to a good democratic institution. So I think the answer to your question is by all means I think it has lived up to its traditions, and I think it has developed those traditions and expanded them, and I think it is a much better institution, certainly, than it was when I came here. I can't talk about it before I suppose in many ways, well; I don't -know-anybody. When I came here we all knew everybody on this faculty, And that was true up until the second World War. We knew everybody on the You got to know them. faculty. After the war the expansion came. And even as a dean of the college in 1964-68, my period, I did not know everybody on the faculty.

om to

I knew their names and I'm not even sure if I knew that because somebody would say, do you know them. And I would say, what department. Oh, yes. They brought him in last year but I don't know them. That's true

now_I'm sure I dare say that in any department that you pick in any of the schools that the people in that department will not know everybody in any other department. They just won't. They may know a couple, but they will not know all. The arts and sciencespeople will go to a faculty meeting and I'm sure there will be fifty percent of people on that floor that any one person does not know, And that is maybe not good. If you want to call it fragmentation, I don't know if I would call it fragmentation because I don't thin k it is, but you do have a lot of different elements in the college. This is why before I left the administration I was pushing hard for a faculty center. The Southern Association of Colleges and Schools had told us in there, in their new report, not the first study by back in 68, that we should have a faculty body representing the whole college, a central body to do some of the college business. This

is what we're pushing for. We haven't got a faculty center yet and

you know why. President Graves is after it; I think most of the

administration people are after it, but the faculties are quarreling among

themselves simply because it's a matter of representation; how many

people are we going to have on the senate? If it is going to be dominated

by arts and sciences we don't want to belong--that sort of attitude you

get; and this happens. We'll get a senate. We'll have to, or something

It just takes a little time

like it., The faculty in arts and sciences is really too big to come to

any sound decisions.

This is a great college, it really is f--I think. I think its development has been remarkable in many ways it's remarkable it has kept its identity and it hasn't grown too big. We're still around five thousand at most, a

little less, probably. And I think its role would be spoiled if it got too much more than that.

Emily: What do you foresee the future for William and Mary? Do you think it will remain about the size it is now, in programs?

That's what the Board has set up as the maximum and the war. I don't know. There are so many factors involved here that I think it's awfully hard to say. I think it's going to have to grow, I hope it doesn't grow too big. It's going to grow. How can you stop growth? I think the whole business of higher education in this country is wrong, gone on the wrong premare. I don't think everybody should be subdugated to higher education. I think there are lots of other ways of educating people besides the soll-called college education towards a de-I question seriously for instance, whether the professions -dentistry, medicine, law, wonder if they ought to be put through college for four years before they go into professions. Why should all these people who want to pertain good jobs have to have a bachelor's degree? Why shouldn't hhey have some other kind of degree? I think you have to fit your education to the individual, And I don't think we're doing it very well. We are trying to put people through some of these educational prepared for processes they are not, they don't want it and they are going through simply because they have to in order to get the job. I think business in the last 25 or 30 years has gone strongly towards this sort of thing, degrees, the higher the better and so on: And I wonder if they always get the best people like this. I'm just raising these questions. I think we have a lot of students at this college now who really ought to be doing something else. Therefore, it's a little hard to predict the future of this college because it's going to depend a good deal on the future of higher education in the country.

Emily: I can only thank you for spending so much time talking about past for this program. This has been a real contribution of yours.